

Stage 2 Scoring

	Maximum Score	Comment
Support for Council Policy (Impact)		
Overall SOA objective	2	0 = would not contribute to this objective 1= would contribute to this objective 2= would contribute directly to this objective
1. The economy is diverse and thriving	1	0 = would not contribute to this objective 1= would contribute to this objective
2. We have infrastructure that supports sustainable growth	1	
3. Education, skills and training maximises opportunities for all	1	
Is the project in the MAKI EDAP?	2	0 = is not in the MAKI EDAP 1 = not directly referenced but would support overall objectives 2 = is directly referenced in the MAKI EDAP
Does the proposal support delivery of the LDP?	1	0= would not support an allocation in the LDP 1 = would support an allocation in the LDP
Other Council priorities or actions?	1	0 = is not in a Council plan 1 = is in a Council plan
Economic/Social Benefits (Impact)		
SMART outcomes expected from Project:	8	Based on the number of deliverables identified at stage 1, work may be required to make these outcomes more SMART should the project proceed
Addresses market failure	2	0 = the project can be delivered without TLRF funding 1 = the project may be able to be delivered without TLRF funding 2 = delivers a project which could not be delivered without TLRF funding
Environmental regeneration	3	0 = not expected to deliver environmental regeneration 1-2 = delivers some environmental regeneration 3 = delivers environmental regeneration to currently degraded/derelict land
Supporting jobs	3	0 = not expected to support jobs 1 = expected to support jobs during construction 2 = expected to support jobs indirectly 3 = expected to create jobs directly
Possible negative impacts:	0	-3 = A number of potential negative impacts identified -1--2 = Some potential negative impacts identified 0 = No potential negative impacts identified
IMPACT SCORE	25	

Affordability		
Unavoidable cost to council of doing nothing	5	0 = No cost to the Council if the project is not progressed 1-4 = Some costs to the Council if the project is not progressed 5 = Significant cost to the Council even if the project is not progressed
Estimated Project Cost		No score allocated
Estimated contribution from TLRF	5	0 = More than £1.5m 1 = £1.25m - £1.5m 3 = £1m - £1.249m 5 = £750,000 - £999,999 3 = £500,000 - £749,999 1 = Less than £500,000
Potential contributions from Private Sector	5	0 = 0% 1 = 1-10%
Potential contribution from other funders	5	2 = 11-20% 3 = 21-30% 4 = 31-40% 5 = 41% or more
Revenue implications	5	-2 = Significant likely revenue expenditure for the Council 0 = Some revenue expenditure anticipated 4 = No revenue implications 5 = reduced revenue expenditure expected
AFFORDABILITY SCORE	25	
Deliverability		
Deliverable by 2020?	5	0 = not deliverable by 2020 5 = deliverable by 2020
Business plan developed?	2	0 = no business plan/feasibility works developed 1 = business plan/feasibility works developed but issues to be addressed 2 = business plan/feasibility works developed and no significant issues
Consents in place?	2	0 = no consents in place 1 = some consents in place 2 = all consents in place
Land ownership	4	0 = no indication of land owner support 1 = indicative landowner support 2 = formal agreement with landowner 3 = landowner is promoting 4 = Council is owner
Funding in place?	2	0 = no funding in place 1 = some funding in place or applied for 2 = all other funding in place
Technical concerns	4	0 = significant technical concerns 1-3 = some technical concerns works required to confirm that these can be resolved 4 = no technical concerns

Conflicts with other ongoing projects?	2	-2 = significant conflicts with ongoing project 0 = likely conflicts with ongoing projects 2 = no conflicts with other ongoing projects
Community support?	4	Based on the promoted by column from stage 1
DELIVERABILITY SCORE	25	
Risks		
Potential Risks	0	An identification of the possible risks -25 to -21 = works not undertaken before, significant risks expected -20 to -16 = increasing number of risks expected -15 to -11 = some risks expected -10 to -6 = non-routine but fewer risks anticipated -5 to 0 = routine works, very few risks anticipated
RISK SCORE	0	
SCORE	Max. 75	