

## Supporting Communities Fund SCORING MATRIX

| SCORING MATRIX SUMMARY |            |                            |                   |             |
|------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Section                | Max. Score | Weighted score for section | Overall Weighting | Final score |
| Community Impact       | 10         | #VALUE!                    | 50%               | #VALUE!     |
| Sustainability         | 10         | #VALUE!                    | 30%               | #VALUE!     |
| Financial              | 10         | #VALUE!                    | 20%               | #VALUE!     |
|                        |            |                            | Total             | #VALUE!     |

(out of 10)

| COMMUNITY IMPACT |  |         |       |           |         |   |           |         |
|------------------|--|---------|-------|-----------|---------|---|-----------|---------|
| Ref              |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure   | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q1               | Fairer communities - tackling poverty by sharing opportunities                       | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | General benefit with no aims to improve circumstances for people on low incomes or tackle poverty                           | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|                  |  | Average | 5     |           |         | Project provides short term solutions to poverty / benefits people on low income in the short term                          |           |         |
|                  |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Project has clear aims to tackle poverty and / or creates opportunities for long term change for people on low incomes      |           |         |
| Q2               | Resilient communities - capacity building / rebuilding and repairing from a pandemic | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | No pandemic recovery activity / project does not support community resilience (geographical or community of interest)       | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|                  |  | Average | 5     |           |         | Some recovery activity delivered / attempt to build resilience within the community (geographical or community of interest) |           |         |
|                  |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Project supports recovery activity / builds community resilience (geographical or community of interest)                    |           |         |
| Ref              |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure   | Weighting | Outcome |

| Q3  | Greener, cleaner communities - environmental action        | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | No environmental targets / benefits evidenced  | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|-----|--|---------|-------|-----------|---------|--|-----------|---------|
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | Does not specifically contribute to climate change but some environmental benefits evidenced                       |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Has clear climate change targets / improves built or natural environment / has positive biodiversity impacts       |           |         |
| Ref |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q4  | Creative communities - creativity for health and wellbeing | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | No creative activity or aims   | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | Clear creative aims but does not specifically target health and wellbeing  |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Clear aims to improve health and wellbeing with creative activities e.g. diversionary activities                   |           |         |
| Ref |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q5  | Education and training                                     | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | No clear learning targets  | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | Project has broad aims but may provide the opportunity for skills development for participants                     |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Project aims to increase skills and knowledge / qualifications of participants                                     |           |         |
| Ref |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q6  | Health and wellbeing                                       | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | No clear health and wellbeing targets  | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | General statement provided without targets or means to assess impact   |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | The organisation can evidence clear physical or mental health and wellbeing targets and how these will be measured |           |         |

| Ref |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
|-----|--|---------|-------|-----------|---------|--|-----------|---------|
| Q7  | Addressing social inclusion - targeted groups: people with disabilities, black and minority ethnic groups, young people, people on low incomes, elderly people, LGBTQ+ | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | General community benefit  | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | No specific group supporting people with protected characteristics named, but clear benefits for one or more of these groups   |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | One or more groups of people with protected characteristics targeted   |           |         |
| Ref |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q8  | Community capacity building  | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | Activities may result in individuals or organisations gaining more skills or confidence to make a difference in their community, but this is not an aim of the project or measured | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | Skills and / or structures of the organisation are developed to enable it to play a stronger role within its community   |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | The project supports community engagement to identify needs and priorities e.g. development of a Community-led Action Plan   |           |         |
| Ref |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
|     |  | Weak    | 0     |           |         | Activity brings people together for personal benefit   |           |         |

| Q9  | Community impact               | Average | 5     | 10        | 0       | Activity brings people together or creates short-term (< ~1 year approx.) improvement or development of their local community (geographical or community of interest)                        | 9%        | 0.00    |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|--|-----------|---------|
|     |                                | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Activity brings people together for activity that creates or sustains long term improvements to their local community (geographical or community of interest)                                |           |         |
| Ref |                                | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Awarded  | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q10 | Alleviation of rural isolation | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | Community is not disadvantaged by its rural location for accessing services  | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|     |                                | Average | 5     |           |         | Project increases access for rural communities or small towns to services that are available in urban areas  |           |         |
|     |                                | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Project increases access for island and remote rural communities to services that are available in small towns or urban areas  |           |         |
| Ref |                                | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q11 | Partnership working            | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | Applicant evidences no partnership working or support from other organisations   | 9%        | #VALUE! |
|     |                                | Average | 5     |           |         | Applicant has consulted or can show support for the project from other relevant organisations  |           |         |
|     |                                | Strong  | 10    |           |         | The project involves partners or is delivered in partnership with other organisations. Duplication of service delivery or potential displacement of other organisations has been considered. |           |         |

|              |  |  |  |  |  |  |      |         |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|---------|
| Total Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100% | #VALUE! |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|---------|

|                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <b>SUSTAINABILITY</b> |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| Ref |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
|-----|--|---------|-------|-----------|---------|--|-----------|---------|
| Q1  | How will the project continue in the future, beyond the initial funding? | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | The organisation has not identified potential funding sources or strategies for continuation of the project  | 25%       | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | The organisation is aware of potential continuation options (funders etc) but has no specific plans in place   |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Standalone project / activity or if the project is expected to continue, clear plans for how the project will be funded are in place   |           |         |
|     |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q2  | Has the project provided evidence of need?                               | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | No evidence provided   | 25%       | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | General statement provided with limited evidence e.g. anecdotal, or not locally relevant data  |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Strong evidence of need for project provided, either qualitative (community or participative research) or quantitative (data from consultations, statistics, research reports) |           |         |
|     |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q3  | What are the barriers and challenges to the project?                     | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | Little or no identification of risks, barriers and challenges to project delivery  | 25%       | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | Some risks to the project, people or organisation have been identified but risk management is missing or basic   |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Relevant risks to the project, people or organisation have been identified and good risk management demonstrated   |           |         |
|     |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure  | Weighting | Outcome |

|    |  |         |    |    |  |   |     |         |
|----|--|---------|----|----|--|---|-----|---------|
| Q4 | How will the project be monitored and evaluated? | Weak    | 0  | 10 |  | Applicant has no evaluation plan for the project/the plan lacks clear measures  | 25% | #VALUE! |
|    |  | Average | 5  |    |  | Some targets and success measures are identified but largely quantitative   |     |         |
|    |  | Strong  | 10 |    |  | Evaluation plan involves / gathers feedback from service users and demonstrates how this will inform change and shape future activities |     |         |

|              |  |  |  |  |  |  |      |         |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|---------|
| Total Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100% | #VALUE! |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|---------|

## FINANCE

| Ref |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure   | Weighting | Outcome |
|-----|--|---------|-------|-----------|---------|---|-----------|---------|
| Q1  | Increasing opportunities for new applications and projects: first time application / or project has not received funding in the last 2 years | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | Repeat application for a project which has received funding from Argyll and Bute Council in the last 2 years  | 25%       | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | The organisation has not received funding for this project from Argyll and Bute Council previously although has received a Supporting Communities Fund award for other activities within the last 2 years |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | First time applicant or not received funding from Argyll and Bute Council in the last 2 years   |           |         |
|     |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure   | Weighting | Outcome |
| Q2  | Match funding, viability of project being delivered within the funding period  | Weak    | 0     | 10        |         | Project requires additional funding which is not yet applied for / no clear fundraising plans   | 25%       | #VALUE! |
|     |  | Average | 5     |           |         | Project requires additional funding which has been applied for / or clear fundraising plan in place   |           |         |
|     |  | Strong  | 10    |           |         | Secured or not required i.e. application is for full funding  |           |         |
|     |  | Level   | Score | Max Score | Awarded | Measure   | Weighting | Outcome |

|              |               |              |              |                  |                |   |                  |                |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------|
| Q3           | Reserves      | Weak         | 0            | 10               |                | The organisation has significant unrestricted reserves which could be used to fund the activity   | 25%              | #VALUE!        |
|              |               | Average      | 5            |                  |                | The organisation has some unrestricted reserves which are ring fenced for other purposes  |                  |                |
|              |               | Strong       | 10           |                  |                | The organisation has no unrestricted reserves which could support the activity or a robust reserves policy in place to support service delivery |                  |                |
|              |               | <b>Level</b> | <b>Score</b> | <b>Max Score</b> | <b>Awarded</b> | <b>Measure</b>  | <b>Weighting</b> | <b>Outcome</b> |
| Q4           | Additionality | Weak         | 0            | 10               |                | Project activity is a core function   | 25%              | #VALUE!        |
|              |               | Average      | 5            |                  |                | The project is in addition to organisation's core activities and has been previously delivered  |                  |                |
|              |               | Strong       | 10           |                  |                | The project is new and in addition to organisation's core activities / the organisation has been constituted within the last 2 years.           |                  |                |
| Total Scores |               |              |              |                  |                |   | 100%             | #VALUE!        |