Argyll and Bute Council: Equality and Socio-Economic Impact Assessment

Section 1: About the proposal

Title of Proposal

Events and Festival Savings

Intended outcome of proposal

To reduce budget to third sector organisations

Description of proposal

To reduce the Early Years Budget line used to support third sector organisations

Business Outcome(s) / Corporate Outcome(s) to which the proposal contributes

Outcome 3 - Education, skills and training maximises opportunities for all Outcome 4 - In Argyll and Bute children and young people have the best possible start

Lead officer details:	
Name of lead officer	Wendy Brownlie
Job title	Head of Service
Department	Education
Appropriate officer details:	
Name of appropriate officer	Lorna Cameron
Job title	Development Officer
Department	Early Years, Education
Sign off of EqSEIA	
Date of sign off	

Who will deliver the proposal?

Lorna Cameron

Section 2: Evidence used in the course of carrying out EqSEIA

Consultation / engagement

Early Years have always made third sector groups aware that this funding isn't statutory and may not always be available

Data

Budget allocation over last few years

Other information

Gaps in evidence

Section 3: Impact of proposal

Impact on service users:

•	Negative	No impact	Positive	Don't know
Protected characteristics:		impact		KIIOW
Age	 ✓ 			
Disability		✓		
Ethnicity		✓		
Sex		\checkmark		
Gender reassignment		\checkmark		
Marriage and Civil Partnership		\checkmark		
Pregnancy and Maternity		\checkmark		
Religion		\checkmark		
Sexual Orientation		\checkmark		
Fairer Scotland Duty:				
Mainland rural population		\checkmark		
Island populations		\checkmark		
Low income		\checkmark		
Low wealth		\checkmark		
Material deprivation		\checkmark		
Area deprivation		\checkmark		
Socio-economic background		\checkmark		
Communities of place		\checkmark		
Communities of interest		\checkmark		

If you have identified any impacts on service users, explain what these will be. Services may reduce or stop completely or the groups may have to introduce charges or increase charges

If any 'don't know's have been identified, at what point will impacts on these groups become identifiable?

Impact on service deliverers (including employees, volunteers etc):

	Negative	No impact	Positive	Don't know
Protected characteristics:				
Age	\checkmark			
Disability		\checkmark		
Ethnicity		\checkmark		
Sex		✓		
Gender reassignment		✓		
Marriage and Civil Partnership		✓		
Pregnancy and Maternity		\checkmark		
Religion		✓		
Sexual Orientation		✓		

	Negative	No impact	Positive	Don't know
Fairer Scotland Duty:				
Mainland rural population		\checkmark		
Island populations		\checkmark		
Low income		✓		
Low wealth		✓		
Material deprivation		✓		
Area deprivation		✓		
Socio-economic background		✓		
Communities of place		\checkmark		
Communities of interest		\checkmark		

If you have identified any impacts on service deliverers, explain what these will be.

For some deliverers there could be job loses

If any 'don't know's have been identified, at what point will impacts on these groups become identifiable?

How has 'due regard' been given to any negative impacts that have been identified?

These are non statutory services

Section 4: Interdependencies

Is this proposal likely to have any knock-on effects for any other activities carried out by or on behalf of the	No
council?	

Details of knock-on effects identified

Section 5: Monitoring and review

How will you monitor and evaluate the equality impacts of your proposal?

The EY Development Officers will monitor and support the groups affected