Project Name Project Location Project Ref	Tarbert Housing Infrastructure - Easfield Tarbert		Barmore Road (A83)/Garvel Road junction improvement Tarbert		Carrick to West Loch Shores and Kennacraig Walking/Cycle Link Tarbert		Community Hub Tarbert	
Project Rei	T01 Provide infrastructure to enable delivery of housing development site rear of playing field (H-AL 13/4)		T02 Improve junction of Garvel Road with Barmore Road (A83) to remove constraints to development in this part of		T04 Construct a pavement extension linking West Loch S and Kennacraig to existing pavement at Carrick		T05 Develop community hub within former library building, to include offices for TSCT	
Project Description Project Partners Lead Delivery Partner	Potential to include registered social landlords ABC	1	Tarbert Transport Scotland ABC		Sustrans, landowners ABC	•	Tarbert and Skipness Community Trust, ABC TSCT	
If 3rd party lead, checks on organisation undertaken	N/A		N/A		N/A		Formally constituted development trust, up to d accounts require to be checked	late
Support for Council Policy (Impact)	Tarbert Housing Infrastructure - Easfield Would enable additional housing and an increasing		·		·		·	
Overall SOA objective	population	2	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1
The economy is diverse and thriving We have infrastructure that	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1
supports sustainable growth 3. Education, skills and training	Additional infrastructure provided	1	Improved infrastructure provided	1	Improvement in infrastructure	1	Additional community infrastructure	1
maximises opportunities for all		0		0		0	May offer some educational facilities	(
Is the project in the MAKI EDAP? Does the proposal support delivery of	Would support a wide range of housing choices	1		0	Improve cycling network across Argyll and Bute	2	Would support wider regeneration of Tarbert	1
the LDP?	H-AL13/4	1	AFA13/1	1		0		(
Other Council priorities or actions?		0		0		0		(
Economic/Social Benefits (Impact)								
SMART outcomes expected from	LDP - H-AL13/4 Circa 40-50 houses, which would help to support local facilities Improved footpath links Potential community woodland/open space		LDP - AFA13/1 Improved access for existing premises Potential for additional housing development, subject to land availability Potential for further development of Tarbert Harbour facilities	4	Improved walking and cycling link Opportunities for active travel Improved links between communities with potential links to Kennacraig Ferry Terminal	3	Visitor information/interpretation possibly linked to potential to increase visitor numbers Additional community meeting space Office accommodation for TSCT and other organisations	3
	The only interest in this site has been from housing		This infrastructure project would not be addressed		This infrastructure project would not be addressed			
Addresses market failure:			by the private sector unless significant development land could be released, this is not expected	2	by the private sector and match funding is required to access Sustrans support	2	Grant funding may be available to deliver this project	1
Environmental regeneration	Any environmental improvements need to be balanced against loss of greenfield site	0	Potential for improvements to this area	1	Potential small-scale improvements associated with new infrastructure	1	Potential for improvements to this area	1
Crosse white = 1, 1	Could support jobs during the construction at a	4	Could support jobs during the construction phase		Could support local jobs during works and indirectly should additional cycling services be required	~	Could provide job creation dependant upon uses in the building	
Supporting jobs	Could support jobs during the construction phase None expected as a result of infrastructure, additional housing could have some minor negative	1	Improvements may require additional land which	1	onoura adartaoriai cyclinig services de requirea	2	May duplicate/compete with existing community uses/businesses within Tarbert which could affect	1
Possible negative impacts:	impacts such as additional traffic	-1 12	Improvements may require additional land which could impact on harbour side area	-1 11	None expected	13	their sustainability	-1
Affordability		-12				13	The former library building is owned by the Council	1
Unavoidable cost to council of doing nothing	No revenue cost expected for Council	0	No additional costs over standard maintenance.	0	£0	0	but a decision has been made to sell the building on the open market, so no ongoing maintenance costs expected	(
a	Design work and ground investigations required to co specifications for road. Average costs of £850 per line: meter are typical for road only, this site could require additional costs due to soft ground, no allocation for drainage or other services is included. 15% for fees in - minimum £170,000 plus drainage and other services	ar	undertaken to confirm the required specifications. It expected that the costs could be between £100,000-£500,000 depending on the amount of retaining requ		Estimate based on 8,500m of path at average £200 per m average cost + 15% additional costs and fees - £1.96m		We have not been able to confirm refurbishment co	sts nor
	It is expected that the Fund would contribute the						We have assumed that no more than £500,000 will	
	majority of this funding, further investigation required to confirm likely contribution.	1	It is assumed that the Fund would contribute the majority of the funding for this project.	3	Assuming 50% - £980,000	5	be required from the fund but further works required to confirm costs	1
Potential contributions from Private	None expected in relation to infrastructure provision but may possibly lead to development of some private housing plots	0	None expected	0	None	O	None expected	
Potential contribution from other funders	None expected		Not that we are aware of sources of grant funding. Transport Scotland would be approached however we are advised that they would not normally contribute to junction improvements.	0	Sustrans up to 50% funding	5	Potential for funding from the likes of The Big Lottery Investing in Communities if building can be purchased and need can be demonstrated, could fund as much as 100% but assume 50% for the purpose of assessment	į
Revenue implications AFFORDABILITY SCORE	Adopted roadways would require maintenance and therefore some additional revenue costs would be expected	0	Additional junction space would require maintenance and therefore minor additional revenue costs would be expected	0	Some maintenance obligations expected	10	Revenue costs to be borne by TSCT	10
Deliverability Deliverable by 2020? Business plan developed?		0	Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020 No	4	Yes - delivery of at least parts expected to be possible by 2020 No		Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020 No	4
Consents in place?	No		Some works to public roads can be undertaken under permitted development, this would need to be confirmed.		None	_	No	
Consents in place? Funding in place?			No Harbour Board have indicated that they would offer		None No		No No	(
Land ownership	Forestry Commission ownership, indicative support given to local community	1	land free of charge and are promoting proposal. Land ownership for the existing road would need to be confirmed.	3	Understand that some discussions have taken place but that issues have arisen	C	Building owned by the Council who have indicated that it will be sold on the open market	(
	Water and waste water improvements may be required. Issues access(es) to be to adoptable standard. Potential impacts on existing parking arrangements. Drainage and ground conditions to be investigated.		Expect that Transport Scotland would be keen to see the junction improved. Would need to confirm stability of made ground. Could be issues with services which would need to be relocated.	3	Additional feasibility work is required to confirm that the cycle/footpath route can be accommodated along the length of the route	2	Refurbishment of existing building so limited technical concerns at this stage but could vary depending upon final uses within building If uses incorporated within the hub draw activities	4
Conflicts with other ongoing projects?	, , ,		Not expected to conflict with other ongoing or proposed projects TSCT	2	Not expected to conflict with other ongoing or proposed projects TSCT	2	away from other community facilities this could impact viability. Property has been requested through third sector asset transfer process but this was denied, it is expected that the site will be offered on the open market.	(
Community support? DELIVERABILITY SCORE	TSCT	1 8	THA	2 15	TSCC	2 11	TSCT	2
Risks	A registered social landlord has already looked at developing this site but decided not to proceed. It is understood that infrastructure costs were a significant issue for this previous application however current indication is that there is not a need for additional affordable housing within the settlement. Work would be required to confirm whether a RSL or other body would develop the site. The Council has experience of delivering this infrastructure projects. There is a risk that the infrastructure could be provided but that this would still not stimulate the development of the site which could remain		Risks largely revolve around the ability to overcome any technical constraints. The Council has experience of delivering this type of project and the landowner appears to be supportive. Full benefits from project would only be achieved if other complementary projects such as T11 are also		Potential risks relate to identifying a technical solution to deliver the link and getting the appropriate landowners agreement, this has been flagged as a particular concern as it has halted development to date. Support would be required from Transport Scotland since the majority of the route will need to be related to the A83. Cycle routes have been developed by the Council and so we have experience of delivering this type of		The building owner has indicated that the building will be sold on the open market but it is not currently being marketed. This project is still at an early stage and details of refurbishment requirements are not yet confirmed. Further work required to ensure that revenue generated through the final uses accommodated within the building are sufficient to maintain the facility. Lead organisations may require support to deliver	
Potential Risks RISK SCORE			delivered.	-5 - 5	project.	-18	project.	-14
SCORE SCORE		-17		-5 24		-16		-12

Project Name	Indoor Bowling Facility		Skipness Bunkhouse		Pavement/public realm improvements		Harbour facilities	
Project Location Project Ref	Tarbert T07		Skipness T09		Tarbert T10		Tarbert T11	
Project Description Project Partners Lead Delivery Partner	Develop indoor bowling facility to provide facilities for clubs across the Kintyre and Mid Argyll area Tarbert Bowling Club Tarbert Bowling Club		Development of bunkhouse at Claonaig to provide facility for walking and cycling routes and Arran Ferry users Tarbert and Skipness Community Trust Tarbert and Skipness Community Trust		Improvements to pavement areas with extended paving areas outside eating establishments to enable outdoor seating to be provided N/A ABC		Provision of new offices, toilet facilities, waste and marine services to enhance Tarbert Harbour. Also longer term project to replace East Pier Tarbert Harbour Authority Tarbert Harbour Authority	
If 3rd party lead, checks on organisation undertaken			Formally constituted development trust, up to da accounts require to be checked	ite	N/A		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Support for Council Policy (Impact) Overall SOA objective	Knock on benefits could support this objective		Knock on benefits could support this objective Would support a small-scale business and local	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective Could improve economic benefits associated with	1
The economy is diverse and thriving We have infrastructure that			tourism	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Tarbert Harbour	1
supports sustainable growth 3. Education, skills and training maximises opportunities for all		0	Improved ferry waiting facilities	0	Improved public realm	0	Improved harbour infrastructure	1
Is the project in the MAKI EDAP? Does the proposal support delivery of		0		0	Regenerate our key settlement locations: Inveraray, Bowmore and Tarbert.		Realise greater economic development benefit from our ports and harbours	1
the LDP?		0		0	AFA13/1	1	AFA13/1	1
Other Council priorities or actions? Economic/Social Benefits (Impact)		0		0		0		(
	Additional leisure facility Potential to attract visiting bowling clubs and tournaments - approx. 500 bowlers in west Argyll Potential health and social benefits if additional users are attracted		Bunkhouse facilities for those using walking and cycling routes Ferry waiting facilities Potential business opportunity associated with kiosk Potential to increase visitor numbers staying in Skipness area	4	LDP - AFA13/1 Potential business opportunities associated with outdoor seating areas Improved public realm	3	LDP - AFA13/1 Improved facilities for harbour users Potential for additional business space Potential to increase visitor numbers	4
Addresses market failure:	Grant funding may be available to deliver this project	1	Grant funding may be available to deliver this project Potential for improvements to this area to be	1	This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector Potential for significant improvements to	2	Grant funding may be available to assist in delivering this project	1
Environmental regeneration	Potential for improvements to this area		balanced against loss of greenfield site	0	streetscape	2	Potential for improvements to the harbour area	1
Supporting jobs	Could support jobs during the construction phase, advised 2 FTE jobs would be created	3	Could provide job creation	3	Could support jobs in local businesses if it encourages people to visit the town	2	If additional marine services are provided additional jobs could be created	3
Possible negative impacts: IMPACT SCORE Affordability		0 10	None expected	0 11	Some loss of parking spaces adjacent to retail units	-1 14	May duplicate/compete with existing businesses within Tarbert which could affect their sustainability	13
Unavoidable cost to council of doing	No revenue cost expected for Council	0	No revenue cost expected for Council	0	No additional costs over standard maintenance.		No revenue cost expected for Council	,
notning	No revenue cost expected for Council	0	No revenue cost expected for Council	0	No additional costs over standard maintenance.	U	No revenue cost expected for Council	
a	Based on Sport England Facilities Cost Guidance @ ap £1,100/m2, assumed approx. 1,100m2 plus 15% for fe £1.4m	es -	Based on options appraisal - £350,000		Based on projects delivered elsewhere costs could be region of £400,000	in the	Total costs of new toilet and shower block, refurbish office block and chandlery, waste facilities and refue berth approximately £690,000. Replacement wooder also suggested at approximately £4 million	lling
Contribution from TLRF	Assuming £600,000 grant received - £800,000 from	5	Assume 50% but may be lower if additional other funds can be secured - £175,000	1	Assume majority of costs are bourne by Regeneration Fund	1	Assume remainder of upgraded facilities - £125,000 plus up to 50% of pier redevelopment - total £2.125m	(
Potential contributions from Private	None expected	0	None expected	0	None expected	0	THA indicate £265,000 to be allocated from internal funds for upgrading facilities. Assume some contribution will be made to pier replacement	
Potential contribution from other funders	Sport Scotland Sports Facilities Fund - up to £500,000 NHS Highland Endowment Fund also identified - up to £100,000 Assume £600,000 - 43% in total	5	Coastal Communities Fund, The Big Lottery, Scottish Land Fund and LEADER identified within Options Appraisal. Assume at least 50% contribution.	5	Elements of traffic calming and improving facilities for cyclists may be eligible for up to 50% funding from Sustrans, work required to confirm to what extent these benefits could be included, have assumed it could contribute up to 10% of total costs Maintenance costs dependent upon surfacing	1	Application made to Coastal Communities Fund for £300,000 for upgrade of harbour facilities, other funding sources are expected to be available such as Big Lottery. Other sources of funding would also be required for pier replacement.	5
Revenue implications AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability	Revenue costs would be expected to be borne by Tarbert Bowling Club	4 14	Revenue costs to be borne by TSCT	4 10	material, any drainage gullies likely to require additional maintenance although potential for small amount of extra income through street café licensing process	0 2	Revenue costs to be borne by THA	10
_ siverasinty								
Deliverable by 2020? Business plan developed?	Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020 but could be delivered sooner No		Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020 Initial options appraisal completed	4	Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020 No		Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020 Yes	2
Consents in place? Funding in place?			None None	0	Expect that works would be undertaken under permitted development None		Planning consent in place but amendments requested No	(
	Assume Bowling Club own and they are promoting						Assume within Harbour Board ownership and they are promoting, would need to confirm position	
Land ownership Technical concerns	Possible issues re access to site for construction Removal of tennis court infrastructure required		Land owners support not yet indicated Planning issues re blast zone Water supply to be confirmed	2	Assume within Argyll and Bute Council ownership Possible issues associated with drainage to be addressed, could affect gradient of sitting areas. Would need to ensure adequate pavement space is maintained.		No concerns at this stage regarding upgraded harbour facilities however replacement of East Pier requires works to understand specification for any new structure	3
Conflicts with other ongoing projects? Community support? DELIVERABILITY SCORE		1	Not expected to conflict with other ongoing or proposed projects	2 1 10	Potential conflict with community aspirations to increase number of parking spaces TSCC		Not expected to conflict with other ongoing or proposed projects TSCT THA	15
DELIVERABILITY SCORE Risks	This project is still at a very early stage and issues associated with development may be encountered. Further work would be required to confirm whether revenues associated with the Bowling Club would be sufficient to maintain the new facility. Lead organisations may require support to deliver		Risks associated with health and safety concerns regarding blast zone. Landowner consent required. Long term sustainability could be a concern if estimated revenue generation is not achieved. Lead organisations may require support to deliver	10	May be issues over loss of car parking as this is already a concern in the village. Benefits of works may not be fully realised if	144	Limited risks associated with upgrade of facilities as these are smaller scale and have been investigated by THA. Replacement of the East Pier holds significant risks with design work required to confirm specification and requirements for replacement pier. Work also required to confirm business case for replacement over refurbishment and how upgraded facilities would generate additional revenue for THA. There are significant unknowns surrounding ground conditions and sea conditions which could impact on the construction methods and therefore the expected costs for the project. Lead organisations may require support to deliver	
Potential Risks RISK SCORE	project.		project.	-17	location of eating establishment changes.	-5 -5	project.	-18
		20		14		25		20

Project Name Project Location	Tarbert	
Project Ref Project Description Project Partners	Improvements to flood defences for the inner harbot to alleviate the impact of tidal flooding	ur area
Lead Delivery Partner If 3rd party lead, checks on organisation undertaken Support for Council Policy (Impact)		
	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1
The economy is diverse and thriving We have infrastructure that	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1
supports sustainable growth 3. Education, skills and training maximises opportunities for all		0
Is the project in the MAKI EDAP? Does the proposal support delivery of the LDP?		0
Other Council priorities or actions? Economic/Social Benefits (Impact)	Objective (103901)/Action (1039010005) Reduce risk in Tarbert from coastal flooding from Local Flood Risk Management Plan	1
SMART outcomes expected from Project:		4
Addresses market failure:	This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector however Scottish Government funding might be available in the future Improvements would depend upon design of	1 0
Environmental regeneration Supporting jobs	Could support additional jobs in the construction	1
Possible negative impacts: IMPACT SCORE Affordability		-1 9
Unavoidable cost to council of doing nothing		2
a	Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were comp for approximately £2 million however this was some ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a hig level of protection. On this basis we will assume arou £2.5m costs.	time her
Contribution from TLRF Potential contributions from Private Sector	It is not expected that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made to Transport Scotland as the trunk road can be affected	0
	The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed	0
Revenue implications AFFORDABILITY SCORE		5 7
Deliverability	Questionable and lifthe full server from	
Deliverable by 2020? Business plan developed?		0
Consents in place?		0
Funding in place? Land ownership	Would need to confirm land ownership depending on scheme design	0
Technical concerns	Should be technically possible although issues identifying all drainage channels can be expected.	
Conflicts with other ongoing projects?	Not expected to conflict with other ongoing or proposed projects, would support aims of Flood Management Plan to undertake study into preventative measures	2
Community support? DELIVERABILITY SCORE		1 3
Risks	Technical issues associated with designing appropriate solution including identifying all the drainage channels. There is the potential that should studies show that a viable flood defence scheme could be implemented in Tarbert and that this was identified as a national priority, that up to 80% funding could be made available from the Scottish Government. This process could take around 15 years to complete and is by no means certain but could mean that	
Potential Risks RISK SCORE SCORE	monies spent at this stage may not be required.	-13 - 13