Image: Section of the section of t									
LINEDistrict of the set of the					ement	Walking/Cycle Link		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Product of a p									
Bit was been way in a set of the set o	Project Partners	Provide infrastructure to enable delivery of housing development site rear of playing field (H-AL 13/4) Potential to include registered social landlords		to remove constraints to development in this par Tarbert Transport Scotland		and Kennacraig to existing pavement at Carrick Sustrans, landowners	hore	include offices for TSCT Tarbert and Skipness Community Trust, ABC	
Add the second secon	If 3rd party lead, checks on organisation undertaken	N/A			1			Formally constituted development trust, up to d	date
Data set al se		Would enable additional housing and an increasing	2	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	
Alternational Automational Automatical 				· · ·	1		1		
And status i Constrained	2. We have infrastructure that		1	· · ·	1				
AnomeA product of the second seco	3. Education, skills and training	Additional infrastructure provided	1	Improved infrastructure provided	1	Improvement in infrastructure	1		
Both and and any set of the			0		0				
and controlAAA		Would support a wide range of housing choices	1		0	Improve cycling network across Argyll and Bute	2	Would support wider regeneration of Tarbert	-
Amerikanskinskinskinskinskinskinskinskinskinski	the LDP?	H-AL13/4	1	AFA13/1	1		0		_
Image: Section of the section of t	Other Council priorities or actions?		0		0		0		
$ \frac{1}{2} + 1$	Economic/Social Benefits (Impact)								
example controlexample (spin-production structure str	SMART outcomes expected from	Circa 40-50 houses, which would help to support local facilities Improved footpath links	4	Improved access for existing premises Potential for additional housing development, subject to land availability Potential for further development of Tarbert	4	Opportunities for active travel Improved links between communities with potential	3	potential to increase visitor numbers Additional community meeting space Office accommodation for TSCT and other)
Answere manual hand in board attemption of the second in a second		The only interest in this site has been from housing		This infrastructure project would not be addressed		This infrastructure project would not be addressed			
Intermed agenerative law contract law control data Image in the intermediant law control data Image intermediant Image intermediant <td></td> <td>level of demand</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>to access Sustrans support</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td>		level of demand	2		2	to access Sustrans support	2		
Share of the second			0	Potential for improvements to this area	1		1	Potential for improvements to this area	
Super poi Super point is at a large service service Super service se						Could support local jobs during works and indirectly			
Autom <th< td=""><td>Supporting jobs</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>Could support jobs during the construction phase</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>the building</td><td>+</td></th<>	Supporting jobs		1	Could support jobs during the construction phase	1		2	the building	+
image image <th< td=""><td>Possible pegative impactor</td><td>additional housing could have some minor negative</td><td>_1</td><td></td><td>_1</td><td>None expected</td><td>0</td><td>uses/businesses within Tarbert which could affect</td><td></td></th<>	Possible pegative impactor	additional housing could have some minor negative	_1		_1	None expected	0	uses/businesses within Tarbert which could affect	
Markada not	IMPACT SCORE	,	-				13		1
Under the outer of the oute					1				
Image: And provide interpreter output of any provide interpreter output of an	Unavoidable cost to council of doing	No revenue cost expected for Council	0	No additional costs over standard maintenance	0	50	0	the open market, so no ongoing maintenance costs	
specification for accords and software in the second of	notning				0	ΞŪ	0	expected	
Marking of the building, hinder bargeting bargeti	а	specifications for road. Average costs of £850 per line: meter are typical for road only, this site could require additional costs due to soft ground, no allocation for drainage or other services is included. 15% for fees inc	ar	undertaken to confirm the required specifications. It expected that the costs could be between £100,000- £500,000 depending on the amount of retaining requ on the shore side of the road. For the purpose of		per m average cost + 15% additional costs and fees -			osts no
Recent in additionation of production of the section of the sect	Contribution from TLRF	majority of this funding, further investigation	1		3	Assuming 50% - £980,000	5	be required from the fund but further works	
Scient justice hours part of hours Other agent of hours Other agent of hours Other agent of hours Other agent of hours Protects or the data hours part of hours Protects or the data hours agent of hours Protects or the data hours		None expected in relation to infrastructure provision							
Peterbil carbotics for on the period for the lass of the same area of subort of gamma behavior of gamm			0	None expected	0	None	0	None expected	
Arronoautry scot 1 mathematical scot 3 mathematical scot 10 Balance Ba	funders	Adopted roadways would require maintenance and therefore some additional revenue costs would be		Transport Scotland would be approached however we are advised that they would not normally contribute to junction improvements. Additional junction space would require maintenance and therefore minor additional			5	Lottery Investing in Communities if building can be purchased and need can be demonstrated, could fund as much as 100% but assume 50% for the purpose of assessment	
Vis delivery expected to be possible by 2020 athough houring development frag to the most of possible by 2020 Vis delivery expected to be possible by 2020 Vis delivery expected to be possible by 2020 Business plan developed (b) Some voors to possible or 2000 Some voors to possible by 2020 None O to Conserts in place 2 to During in place 2 to Durin		expected	0	revenue costs would be expected	0 3	Some maintenance obligations expected			1
abbasis abbasis bits abbasis bits	Deliverability								_
Deliverable to 2007 Hore in a demand 4 9 Part - elleway expected to be possible to 2000 5 Ver- enleway expected to be possible to 2000 No Builders diversed TV Part - elleway expected to a possible to 2000 No No No Consents in place? No 0 No No No No No Fandeng in place? No 0 No No No No No Fandeng in place? No 0 No No No No No Fandeng in place? No 0 No No No No No And downership in factors supportention 0 No						Yes - delivery of at least parts expected to be			
Conserved is in place? Some works to public roads can be undertakent under germitelik development, this would exet to 0 be confirmed. Isome		there is no demand			4	possible by 2020			+
Conserts in place No No No No No No No Funding in place No No No No No No No Funding in place No No No No No No No Forestry Connetsion overethig, indicative upper Indicate or the parameters in provide No No No No No No Land overethig indication overethig, indicative upper Indicate or the parameters No				Some works to public roads can be undertaken					
Instrume Instrume Instrume Instrume Instrume Building owned by the Council who have indicated that the yound in the solid owned by the council who have indicated that the yound indicated have and the promoting proposal. Land ownership for the existing rank would need to a be community Instrume Building owned by the Council who have indicated that we indicated that the yound indicated have and the existing rank would need to a be community Instrume Building owned by the Council who have indicated that we indicated that the yound indicated t				be confirmed.					+
required. see the junction improved. see the junction improved. Additional feasibility work is required to confirm that the cycle/toppath route can be accommodated Petruitaling to adoptable indication on existing publicing so limited Technical concerns Transpan and ground conditions to be investigated. I a le relocated. I a legitimet the cycle/toppath route can be accommodated I fuses incorporated within the hub draw activities Technical concerns Forestry Commission Land Management Plan for I a legitimet to conflict with other ongoing or I fuses incorporated within the hub draw activities Conflicts with other ongoing projects? Corrambus junction improved. I a legitimet to conflict with other ongoing or I a legitimet to conflict with other ongoing or I a matet. Community support Tost Tost I Tost I a legitimet to solution to adoptable in the solution to adopt		Forestry Commission ownership, indicative support		Harbour Board have indicated that they would offer land free of charge and are promoting proposal. Land ownership for the existing road would need to	3	Understand that some discussions have taken place		Building owned by the Council who have indicated	
Energy Commission Land Management Plan of Conflict with other ongoing or Conflict with other	Technical concerns	required. Issues access(es) to be to adoptable standard. Potential impacts on existing parking arrangements.	1	see the junction improved. Would need to confirm stability of made ground. Could be issues with services which would need to	3	that the cycle/footpath route can be accommodated	2	technical concerns at this stage but could vary depending upon final uses within building If uses incorporated within the hub draw activities away from other community facilities this could impact viability. Property has been requested through third sector	
Community support? TSCT 1 THA 2 TSCC 2 TSCT 2 TSCT 2 TSCT DELIVERABILITY SCRE 6 6 10 11 0 Staks A registered social landlord has already looked at developing this site but decided not to proceed. It is understood that infrastructure costs were a significant issue for this previous application however current indication is that there is not a need for additional afforable housing within the settlement. Work would be required to confirm whether a RSL or other body would develop this is indicated that the infrastructure coils be supportive. The Council has experience of delivering this is project. Potential risks relate to identifying a technical solution to deliver the link and getting the appropriate landowners agreement, this has halted development of the site which could remain the infrastructure could be provided but duing the infrastructure could be provided but this mould still not stimulate the development of the site which could remain Risks largely revolve around the ability to overcome any technical constraints. Support would be required from Transport Scottand development of the site which could remain the infrastructure could be provided but this not could remain the infrastructure could be provided but this mould still not stimulate the development of the site which could remain Risks largely revolve around the ability to overcome any technical constraints. Support would be required from Transport Scottand development of the site which could remain the infrastructure could be provided but that the infrastructure could be provided			1	proposed projects	2	proposed projects	2	expected that the site will be offered on the open	
Name Name Name Name Name Name Name A registered social landlord has already looked at developing this site but decided not to proceed. It is understood that infrastructure costs were a significant issue for this previous application however current indication is that there is not a need for additional affordable housing within the settlement. Work would be required to confirm whether a RSL or other body would develop the site. The Council has experience of delivering this infrastructure could be provided but that the infrastructure could be provided but that the infrastructure could be provided but that the is would still not stimulate the development of the site which could remain Risks largely revolve around the ability to overcome any technical constraints. The Council has experience of delivering this is project. Potential Risks undeveloped. The building owner has indicated that the building will be sold on the open market but it is not currently being marketed. Potential Risks undeveloped. Risks largely revolve around the ability to overcome any technical constraints. The Council has experience of delivering this type of provided but that this would still not stimulate the development to that the infrastructure could be provided but that this would still not stimulate the development of the site which could remain Risks largely revolve around the ability to overcome any technical constraints. The Council has experience of delivering this type of project and the landowner appears to be supportive. There is a risk that the infrastructure could be provided but that this would still not stimulate the development of the site which could remain of the fracting project. Support would be required from Transport Scotand is not the mainthe fa		TSCT	1		2	TSCC			
developing this site but decided not to proceed. It is understood that infrastructure costs were a significant issue for this previous application however current indication is that there is not a need for additional affordable housing within the settlement. Work would be required to confirmRisks largely revolve around the ability to overcome appropriate landowners agreement, this has been the council has experience of delivering this infrastructure projects.Potential risks relate to identifying a technical solution to deliver the link and getting the appropriate landowners agreement, this has been the council has experience of delivering this infrastructure projects.The Council has experience of delivering this the landowner appears to be supportive.Support would be required from Transport Scotland solution to the weak experience of delivering this would still not stimulate the generated through the final uses accommodated weithin the building are sufficient to maintain the related to the AS3.Here is a risk that the infrastructure could be generated through the final uses accommodated weithin the building are sufficient to maintain the facility.Cycle routes have been developed by the Council and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of and so we have experience of delivering this type of<	Risks		0				- 11		F
development of the site which could remain other complementary projects such as T11 are also and so we have experience of delivering this type of Lead organisations may require support to deliver Potential Risks undeveloped. -17 delivered. -5 project. -18 project. -18 project.		developing this site but decided not to proceed. It is understood that infrastructure costs were a significant issue for this previous application however current indication is that there is not a need for additional affordable housing within the settlement. Work would be required to confirm whether a RSL or other body would develop the site. The Council has experience of delivering this infrastructure projects. There is a risk that the infrastructure could be		any technical constraints. The Council has experience of delivering this type of project and the landowner appears to be supportive.		solution to deliver the link and getting the appropriate landowners agreement, this has been flagged as a particular concern as it has halted development to date. Support would be required from Transport Scotland since the majority of the route will need to be related to the A83.		will be sold on the open market but it is not currently being marketed. This project is still at an early stage and details of refurbishment requirements are not yet confirmed. Further work required to ensure that revenue generated through the final uses accommodated within the building are sufficient to maintain the	
		development of the site which could remain		other complementary projects such as T11 are also		and so we have experience of delivering this type of		Lead organisations may require support to deliver	
		undeveloped.		delivered.					-1 -1

[
Project Name	Indoor Bowling Facility		Skipness Bunkhouse		Pavament /nublic realm improvements		Harbour facilities	
Project Location	Tarbert T07		Skipness bunkhouse Skipness T09		Pavement/public realm improvements Tarbert T10		Tarbert	
Project Ref	107				Inprovements to pavement areas with extended pa	aving	T11 Provision of new offices, toilet facilities, waste and r	mariı
Project Description Project Partners	Develop indoor bowling facility to provide facilities for across the Kintyre and Mid Argyll area Tarbert Bowling Club	r clubs	Development of bunkhouse at Claonaig to provide for for walking and cycling routes and Arran Ferry use Tarbert and Skipness Community Trust		areas outside eating establishments to enable outo seating to be provided N/A	loor	services to enhance Tarbert Harbour. Also longer t project to replace East Pier Tarbert Harbour Authority	term
Lead Delivery Partner If 3rd party lead, checks on	Tarbert Bowling Club		Tarbert and Skipness Community Trust Formally constituted development trust, up to da	ite	ABC		Tarbert Harbour Authority	
organisation undertaken Support for Council Policy (Impact)	No checks undertaken, checks required		accounts require to be checked		N/A			
Overall SOA objective	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective Would support a small-scale business and local	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective Could improve economic benefits associated with	
1. The economy is diverse and thriving 2. We have infrastructure that		0	tourism	1	Knock on benefits could support this objective	1	Tarbert Harbour	
	Additional community infrastructure	1	Improved ferry waiting facilities	1	Improved public realm	1	Improved harbour infrastructure	
maximises opportunities for all		0		0	Regenerate our key settlement locations: Inveraray,	0	Realise greater economic development benefit from	1
Is the project in the MAKI EDAP? Does the proposal support delivery of		0		0	Bowmore and Tarbert.		our ports and harbours	
the LDP?		0		0	AFA13/1	1	AFA13/1	
Other Council priorities or actions?		0		0		0		
Economic/Social Benefits (Impact)			Bunkhouse facilities for those using walking and					
	Additional leisure facility Potential to attract visiting bowling clubs and		cycling routes Ferry waiting facilities		LDP - AFA13/1		LDP - AFA13/1	
SMART outcomes expected from	tournaments - approx. 500 bowlers in west Argyll Potential health and social benefits if additional		Potential business opportunity associated with kiosk Potential to increase visitor numbers staying in		Potential business opportunities associated with outdoor seating areas		Improved facilities for harbour users Potential for additional business space	
	users are attracted	3	Skipness area	4	Improved public realm	3	Potential to increase visitor numbers	
	Grant funding may be available to deliver this		Grant funding may be available to deliver this		This infrastructure project would not be addressed		Grant funding may be available to assist in	
Addresses market failure:	project	1	project Potential for improvements to this area to be	1	by the private sector Potential for significant improvements to		delivering this project	
Environmental regeneration	Potential for improvements to this area	1	balanced against loss of greenfield site	0	streetscape	2	Potential for improvements to the harbour area	+
Supporting jobs	Could support jobs during the construction phase, advised 2 FTE jobs would be created	3	Could provide job creation	3	Could support jobs in local businesses if it encourages people to visit the town	2	If additional marine services are provided additional jobs could be created	
Dessible possible important	Nexe expected	0	Neve eveneted	0	Come loss of poulting appears adjacent to retail units	1	May duplicate/compete with existing businesses	
Possible negative impacts: IMPACT SCORE Affordability	None expected	0 10	None expected	0 11	Some loss of parking spaces adjacent to retail units	-1 14	within Tarbert which could affect their sustainability	r
Attordability								
Unavoidable cost to council of doing nothing	No revenue cost expected for Council	0	No revenue cost expected for Council	0	No additional costs over standard maintenance.	0	No revenue cost expected for Council	
		-						
							Total costs of new toilet and shower block, refurbish	ned
	Based on Sport England Facilities Cost Guidance @ ap £1,100/m2, assumed approx. 1,100m2 plus 15% for fe				Based on projects delivered elsewhere costs could be	in the	office block and chandlery, waste facilities and refuel berth approximately £690,000. Replacement wooder	-
a	£1.4m		Based on options appraisal - £350,000		region of £400,000		also suggested at approximately £4 million	Τ
	Assuming £600,000 grant received - £800,000 from		Assume 50% but may be lower if additional other		Assume majority of costs are bourne by		Assume remainder of upgraded facilities - £125,000 plus up to 50% of pier redevelopment - total	
Contribution from TLRF	Fund	5	funds can be secured - £175,000	1	Regeneration Fund	1	£2.125m	
Potential contributions from Private							THA indicate £265,000 to be allocated from internal funds for upgrading facilities. Assume some	
Sector	None expected	0	None expected	0	None expected	0	contribution will be made to pier replacement	
	Sport Scotland Sports Facilities Fund - up to				Elements of traffic calming and improving facilities		Application made to Coastal Communities Fund for	
	£500,000 NHS Highland Endowment Fund also identified - up		Coastal Communities Fund, The Big Lottery, Scottish		for cyclists may be eligible for up to 50% funding from Sustrans, work required to confirm to what		£300,000 for upgrade of harbour facilities, other funding sources are expected to be available such as	<pre></pre>
Potential contribution from other funders	-	5	Land Fund and LEADER identified within Options Appraisal. Assume at least 50% contribution.	5	extent these benefits could be included, have assumed it could contribute up to 10% of total costs	1	Big Lottery. Other sources of funding would also be required for pier replacement.	
					Maintenance costs dependent upon surfacing material, any drainage gullies likely to require			
	Revenue costs would be expected to be borne by				additional maintenance although potential for small amount of extra income through street café			
AFFORDABILITY SCORE	Tarbert Bowling Club	4 14	Revenue costs to be borne by TSCT	4 10	licensing process	0 2	Revenue costs to be borne by THA	
Deliverability								
Deliverable by 20202	Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020 but could be delivered sooner	л	Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020	4	Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020	Λ	Yes - delivery expected to be possible by 2020	
Business plan developed?		4	Initial options appraisal completed	1	No		Yes	╞
Consents in place?	No	0	None	0	Expect that works would be undertaken under permitted development	1	Planning consent in place but amendments requested	
Funding in place?			None	0	None		No	
	Assume Bowling Club own and they are promoting						Assume within Harbour Board ownership and they are promoting, would need to confirm position	
Land ownership	the proposal	3	Land owners support not yet indicated	0	Assume within Argyll and Bute Council ownership	4	regarding East Pier	+
					Dessible issues			
	Descible issues to press to site for an attention		Diagoning iscuss to black too		Possible issues associated with drainage to be addressed, could affect gradient of sitting areas.		No concerns at this stage regarding upgraded harbour facilities however replacement of East Pier	
Technical concerns	Possible issues re access to site for construction Removal of tennis court infrastructure required	1	Planning issues re blast zone Water supply to be confirmed	2	Would need to ensure adequate pavement space is maintained.	3	requires works to understand specification for any new structure	_
	Clubhouse currently hosts winter bowling activities so additional revenue could be limited	1	Not expected to conflict with other ongoing or proposed projects	2	Potential conflict with community aspirations to increase number of parking spaces	1	Not expected to conflict with other ongoing or proposed projects	
Community support?		1	TSCT		TSCC	1	TSCT THA	
DELIVERABILITY SCORE Risks		10		10		14		t
							Limited risks associated with upgrade of facilities as	
							these are smaller scale and have been investigated by THA. Replacement of the East Pier holds significant risks	
							Replacement of the East Pier holds significant risks with design work required to confirm specification and requirements for replacement pier.	
							Work also required to confirm business case for replacement over refurbishment and how upgraded	
	This project is still at a very early stage and issues associated with development may be encountered.		Risks associated with health and safety concerns regarding blast zone.				facilities would generate additional revenue for THA. There are significant unknowns surrounding ground	۱.
	Further work would be required to confirm whether revenues associated with the Bowling Club would be		Landowner consent required. Long term sustainability could be a concern if		May be issues over loss of car parking as this is		conditions and sea conditions which could impact on the construction methods and therefore the	
	sufficient to maintain the new facility. Lead organisations may require support to deliver		estimated revenue generation is not achieved. Lead organisations may require support to deliver		already a concern in the village. Benefits of works may not be fully realised if		expected costs for the project. Lead organisations may require support to deliver	
1			project.	-17	location of eating establishment changes.	-5	project.	1
Potential Risks RISK SCORE	project	-14 -14		-17		-5		

Annual Average Damages are approximately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approximately £2 million however this was some ti ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun £2.5m costs. We would expect that the fund would need to cover Contribution from TLRF the majority of the costs It is not expected that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made to Potential contributions from Private Sector by flooding issues The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders in the short term. It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by Revenue implications Conte AFFORDABILITY SCORE	
Project Description to alleviate the impact of tidal floading Project Partner Impact Partner If and party lead, check on organisation undertaken organisation undertaken Impact Partner Overall SOA objective Knock on benefits could support this objective 1. The economy is diverse and thriving Knock on benefits could support this objective 2. We have infrastructure that support sustainable growth Improve and protect infrastructure 3. Education, skills and training Does the projocal support divery of the LOPP Does the proposal support divery of the LOPP Objective (103901/Action (1039010005) Reduce risk in Tarbert from costal floading from Local Other Council priorities or actions? SMART outcomes expected from the disk Management Plan Enderstein Plan State and thriving the disk Management Plan Enderstein Plan Addresses market tailure funding might be available in the future improvements would depend upon design of Environmental regeneration defence structures Environmental regeneration defence structures Supporting jobs phase Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Supporting jobs phase Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Supporting jobs phase Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase <	
Lead Delivery Parner If 3rd april (ead, check on organisation undertaken upport for Council Policy (Impact) Overal SOA objective Knock on benefits could support this objective 1. The economy is diverse and thriving Knock on benefits could support this objective 2. We have infrastructure that support sustainable growth Improve and protect infrastructure 3. Education, skills and training maximises opportunities for all Objective (103901/Action (1039010005) Reduce risk in Tarbert from coastal flooding from Local Other Council priorities aractions? Flood Risk Management Plan Consmit/Social Benefits (Impact) Flood Risk Management Plan Consmit/Social Benefits (Impact) Flood Risk Management Plan SMART outcomes expected from Protect residential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from singer of flooding Protect businesses from Street remain open during flooding conditions Project Maritania access to Tarbert-Portavale Ferry This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector however's cottish Government Addresses market failure funding might be available in the future Improvements would depend upon design of Environmental regeneration defence structures Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could impact visual Possible negative impacts Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesy flood defence works were comp in a costs. Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesy flood defence would be made to the add ablog approaches would be made to the add ablog appro	
Overall SOA objective Knock on benefits could support this objective 1. The economy is diverse and thriving supports sustainable growth improve and protect infrastructure 1 3. Education, skills and training maximises opport divery of the LOPP 1 Does the proposal support divery of the LOPP 1 Objective (103901]/Action (1039010005) Reduce risk in Tarbert from costal flooding from Local other Council priorities or actions? 1 Controll priorities or actions? Iood Risk Management Plan 1 Controll priorities or actions? Iood Risk Management Plan 1 SMART outcomes expected from flooding conditions. Protect residential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of Rioding Protect businesses from Impact Visual Protection metal regeneration defence structures Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase This firstructure reaction additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could impact visual appearance or harbour and listed structures Elioupon accos the whole Tacheer Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Protectio	
2. We have infrastructure that supports sustainable growth improve and protect infrastructure 3. Education, skills and training maximises opportunities for all is the project in the MAKI EDAP? Does the proposal support delivery of the LDP? Objective (103901)/Action (103901005) Reduce risk in Tarbert from coastal flooding from Local Other Council priorities or actions? Flood Risk Management Plan commic/Social Benefits (Impact) Protect residential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Protect mesidential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Protect or control provide and the pA33 and Harbour Street remain open during SMART outcomes expected from Holp A33 and Harbour Street remain open during SMART outcomes expected from flooding conditions Project: Maintain access to Tarbert-Portavadie Ferry This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector however Socitish Government Addresses market failure: Inding might be available in the future Improvements would depend upon design of fleefere structures Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could Impact visual appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SOME Kfordability Annual Average Damages are approximately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of the Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing cost aconnot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compil for approximately 2 million however this vais some ti ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £25m costs. We would be expec	
3. Education, skills and training maximises opportunities for all Is the project in the MAK EDAP? Does the proposal support delivery of the LDP? Other Council priorities or actions? Flood Risk Management Plan Consent/Social Benefits (Impact) Protect residential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Protect Portavadie Ferry This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector however Soctish Government Addresses market failure; Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could impact visual Possible negative impacts; appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SCORE Could supportaite provimately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing protectis. On this basis we will assume aroun a 2.5 m costs. </td <td></td>	
Is the project in the MAKI EDAP? Does the proposal support delivery of the LDP? Objective (103901)/Action (103901005) Reduce risk in Tarbert from coastal flooding from Local Other Council priorities or actions Flood Risk Management Plan Commit/Social Benefits (Impact) Protect residential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Protect outsinesses from impact of flooding Protect on outside the provide outside the future Improvements would depend upon design of Environmental regeneration Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Possible negative impacts: Depending upon requirements could impact visual appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SCOM Kfordability Annual Average Damages are approximately E110,000 across the whole Tarbert Portantially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing Cost Contribution from TLRP He majority of the costs Contribution from TLRP He majority of the costs The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national profites, profites are set to syncycle a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a E2-Sm costs. Potential contribution from TLRP He majority of the costs The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national profites, profites are set to syncycle a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a E2-Sm costs. Potential contribution from TLRP He majority of the costs The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included w	
the LDP? Objective (103901)/Action (103901005) Reduce risk in Tarbert from coastal flooding from Local Other Council priorities or action?? Flood Risk Management Plan Sconomic/Social Benefits (Impact) Protect residential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Protect actions Project Addresses market failure: Indian and theore sub-off depend upon design of Environmental regeneration Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could Impact visual Possible negative impacts: appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SCORE Annual Average Damages are approximately El10,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will be arsome of this nothing cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approaches would be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £2.5m costs. Potential contribution from TLRF the majority of the costs <td></td>	
Other Council priorities or actions? Flood Risk Management Plan Coommit/Social Benefits (Impact) Protect residential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Help A83 and Harbour Street remain open during BMART outcomes expected from flooding conditions SMART outcomes expected from flooding conditions Project: Maintain access to Tarbert-Portavalie Ferry This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector however Socitish Government Addresses market failure: funding might be available in the future Addresses market failure: Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could impact visual Possible negative impacts: apperance or harbour and listed structures Affordability Annual Average Damages are approximately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing thought would be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a ga and though approaches would be provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a ga ant the undit would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a faits in trunk road can be affected be for the costs. Potential contribution from TURF the majority of the costs Potential contribution from there threaper to be appropriate to be appropriate to provide a high level of protectis no. Onthis basis we will assume aroun	
Protect residential premises from impact of flooding Protect businesses from impact of flooding Hejp AB3 and Harbour Street remain open during SMART outcomes expected from flooding conditions Project: Maintain access to Tarbert-Portavadie Ferry This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector however Scottish Government Addresses market failure: Improvements would depend upon design of Environmental regeneration Guid support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could impact visual appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SCORE Annual Average Damages are approximately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approximately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approximately £21100 however this was some 1 ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £3 m costs. We would expect that the fund would need to cover the majority of the costs It is not expected that private contributions would be made athough approaches would be made to Potential contribution from TLRF the majority of the costs The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected that overail flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by Revenue implications Feducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Deliverability Coste Deliverability Feducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Feducion for mage Feducing clean u	
Protect businesses from impact of flooding Help A83 and Harbour Street remain open during Froject: Maintain access to Tarbert-Portavadie Ferry This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector however Scottish Government Addresses market failure: funding might be available in the future Improvements would depend upon design of Environmental regeneration Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could impact visual Possible negative impacts: IMPACT SCORE Mfordability Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approximately £2 million however this was some ti ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £2.5m costs. Contribution from TURF the majority of the costs It is not expected that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made to Potential contributions from Private Transport Scotland as the trunk road can be affected Sector by flooding is the system of the would be made to dever works would regret that the fund would need to cover the heave therefore assumed that no external Potential contribution from other graits would be walable if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in Gyear cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external Potential contribution from other graits would be available if the project is to proceed funders in the short term. It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by Revenue implications AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Business plan developed? No	
This infrastructure project would not be addressed by the private sector however Scottish Government Improvements would depend upon design of Environmental regeneration Environmental regeneration Supporting jobs phase Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs Possible negative impacts: IMPACT SCOME Affordability Annual Average Damages are approximately E110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compile for approximately £2 million however this was some in ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £2.5m costs. Potential contributions from TURF the majority of the costs Sector by flooding issues We would expect that the fund would need to cover Contribution from TURF the majority of the costs with the next expected to a protein swould be made although approaches would be made to Potential contributions from private Sector by flooding issues Potential contribution from other grants would be available if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to a agreed in 34 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders Potential contribution from other grants would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by Revenue implications reducing clean up costs Affordabability Score funders Questionable, onl	
Addresses market failure: funding might be available in the future Improvements would depend upon design of Environmental regeneration Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could impact visual Depending upon requirements could impact visual Possible negative impacts: appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SCORE Annual Average Damages are approximately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vunerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compifor approximately £2 million however this was some to ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £2.5m costs. Ve would expect that the fund would need to cover We would expect that private contributions would be made a through approaches would be made to Potential contributions from Private Transport Scottand as the trunk road can be affected sector by flooding issues Potential contribution from TLRF The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3.4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles would be available if the project is to proceed funders in the short	
Environmental regeneration defence structures Could support additional jobs in the construction Supporting jobs phase Depending upon requirements could impact visual appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SCORE Affordability Annual Average Damages are approximately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approximately £2 million however this was some ti ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £2.5m costs. We would expect that the fund would need to cover Contribution from TLRF the majority of the costs It is not expected that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made to Potential contributions from Private Sector by flooding issues The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external Potential contribution from other grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders in the short term. Potential contribution from other grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders in the short term. AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Deliverability Consents in place? None	
Supporting jobs phase Possible negative impacts: appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SCORE Annual Average Damages are approximately E110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approximately £2 million however this was some ti ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £2.5m costs. Contribution from TLRF We would expect that the fund would need to cover the majority of the costs It is not expected that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made to Potential contributions from Private Sector The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external Potential contribution from other grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders Potential contribution from tother grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by reducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Deliverability Questionable, only if the full costs of works can be found without the need to wait for Scottish Deliverable by 20202	
Possible negative impacts: appearance or harbour and listed structures IMPACT SCORE Annual Average Damages are approximately £110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this nothing Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approximately £2 million however this was some ti ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £2.5m costs. Contribution from TLRF the majority of the costs It is not expected that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made to Potential contributions from Private Sector The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders Potential contribution from ther grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by Revenue implications reducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Questionable, only if the full costs of works can be found without the need to wait for Scottish Deliverable by 20207 Business plan developed? No Consents in place?	
£110,000 across the whole Tarbert Potentially Unavoidable cost to council of doing Vulnerable Area, the Council will bear some of this cost Costs cannot be confirmed until technical solution is confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were comple for approximately £2 million however this wass some ti ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume around a £2.5m costs. We would expect that the fund would need to cover Contribution from TLRF We would expect that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made although approaches would be made athough approaches would be made to Potential contributions from Private Sector by flooding issues The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed in the short term. Potential contribution from other funders It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by reducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Questionable, only if the full costs of works can be found without the need to wait for Scottish Government funding (should this be forthcoming).	
confirmed. Rothesay flood defence works were compl for approximately £2 million however this was some ti ago and it would now be appropriate to provide a high level of protection. On this basis we will assume aroun a £2.5m costs. We would expect that the fund would need to cover Contribution from TLRF the majority of the costs It is not expected that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made to Transport Scotland as the trunk road can be affected by flooding issues The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed in the short term. Potential contribution from other funders in the short term. AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Business plan developed? No Consents in place? None	
Contribution from TLRF the majority of the costs It is not expected that private contributions would be made although approaches would be made to Transport Scotland as the trunk road can be affected by flooding issues Potential contributions from Private Transport Scotland as the trunk road can be affected by flooding issues The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed funders in the short term. Potential contribution from other funders It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by reducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Questionable, only if the full costs of works can be found without the need to wait for Scottish Government funding (should this be forthcoming). Business plan developed? No	ime her
be made although approaches would be made to Transport Scotland as the trunk road can be affected by flooding issues The Scottish Government can contribute up to 80% of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed in the short term. It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by reducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Questionable, only if the full costs of works can be found without the need to wait for Scottish Business plan developed? None	
of costs but only if the project is included within national priorities, priorities are set in 6 year cycles with the next expected to be agreed in 3-4 years time. We have therefore assumed that no external grants would be available if the project is to proceed in the short term. It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by reducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Questionable, only if the full costs of works can be found without the need to wait for Scottish Deliverable by 2020? Business plan developed? None	
It would be expected that overall flood defence works would reduce revenue expenditure by Revenue implications reducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE Deliverability Questionable, only if the full costs of works can be found without the need to wait for Scottish Deliverable by 2020? Government funding (should this be forthcoming). Business plan developed? No Consents in place? None	
Revenue implications reducing clean up costs AFFORDABILITY SCORE	
Questionable, only if the full costs of works can be found without the need to wait for Scottish Deliverable by 2020? Government funding (should this be forthcoming). Business plan developed? No Consents in place? None	
Consents in place? None	
Would need to confirm land ownership depending Land ownership on scheme design	
Should be technically possible although issues Technical concerns identifying all drainage channels can be expected.	
Not expected to conflict with other ongoing or proposed projects, would support aims of Flood Management Plan to undertake study into	
Conflicts with other ongoing projects? preventative measures Community support? TSCT	
DELIVERABILITY SCORE	
Technical issues associated with designing appropriate solution including identifying all the drainage channels. There is the potential that should studies show that a viable flood defence scheme could be implemented in Tarbert and that this was identified	
as a national priority, that up to 80% funding could be made available from the Scottish Government. This process could take around 15 years to complete and is by no means certain but could mean that Potential Risks monies spent at this stage may not be required. RISK SCORE	