

Argyll and Bute HSCP Equality and Socio- Economic Impact Assessment (EQIA)

Section 1: About the proposal

| Title of Proposal | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Youth Justice Strategy Project | |

Intended outcome of proposal

The development of an Argyll and Bute Youth Justice Strategy that meets the needs of local children and young people that satisfies all legal requirements and meets the National Youth Justice Standards

Description of proposal

A multi-agency working group will be set up to deliver a completed and functional Youth Justice Strategy that is acceptable to all concerned parties and meets the needs of children / young people and their families.

HSCP Strategic Priorities to which the proposal contributes Child health, protection and development Public Protection Health Equality Violence Against Women and Girls Child Poverty

| Lead officer details: | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Name of lead officer | Shona Williams | | |
| Job title | Justice Manager | | |
| Department | HSCP | | |
| Appropriate officer details: | | | |
| Name of appropriate officer | Alex Taylor | | |
| Job title | Chief Social Work Officer. Head of Service | | |
| Department | HSCP | | |
| Sign off of EQIA (Head of Service): | th. | | |
| Date of sign off: | 20/02/20 | | |

| Who will deliver the proposal? | ļ |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Shona Williams | |
| | |

Section 2: Evidence used in the course of carrying out EQIA

Consultation / engagement

Consultation with staff in all key organisations.

Consultation with Service Users.

Engagement with key organisations (Social Work, Health, Education, SCRA, Police, Fire and Rescue, Drug and Alcohol Services, Justice, Community Justice, COFS) Working Group will report to COGPP.

Data

Data to be collated includes: Organisational Needs Analysis, Staff Feedback, Staff Skills audit; EEI and SCRA data. Data on offending and risk taking behaviours.

| Other information | | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

Gaps in evidence

It is anticipated that not all organisations engaging with the project will be able to provide clear data on their service users in relation to offending and risk taking behaviour.

Section 3: Impact of proposal

Impact on service users:

| • | Negative | No | Positive | Don't |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|-------|
| | | impact | | know |
| Protected characteristics: | | | | |
| Age | | | X | |
| Disability | | | Х | |
| Ethnicity | | | Х | |
| Sex | | | Х | |
| Gender reassignment | | | X | |
| Marriage and Civil Partnership | | Х | | |
| Pregnancy and Maternity | | Х | | |
| Religion | | | Х | |
| Sexual Orientation | | | Х | |
| Fairer Scotland Duty: | | | | |
| Mainland rural population | | | Х | |
| Island populations | | | Х | |
| Low income | | Х | | |
| Low wealth | | Х | | |
| Material deprivation | | Х | | |
| Area deprivation | | Х | | |
| Socio-economic background | | Х | | |
| Communities of place? | | Х | | |
| Communities of interest? | | | Х | |

Impact on service deliverers (including employees, volunteers etc):

| | Negative | No impact | Positive | Don't know |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------|---------------|
| Protected characteristics: | | _ | Х | |
| Age | | | Х | |
| Disability | | | Х | |
| Ethnicity | | | Х | |
| Sex | | | Х | |
| Gender reassignment | | | Х | |
| Marriage and Civil Partnership | | Х | | |
| Pregnancy and Maternity | | Х | | |
| Religion | | | Х | |
| Sexual Orientation | | | Х | |
| Fairer Scotland Duty: | | | | |
| Mainland rural population | | | Х | |
| Island populations | | | X | |
| Low income | | Χ | | |
| Low wealth | | Χ | | |
| Material deprivation | | Х | | |
| Area deprivation | | Х | | |
| Socio-economic background | | Х | | |
| Communities of place? | | Х | | |
| Communities of interest? | | | Х | |

| If any 'don't knows' have been identified, at what point will impacts on these groups |
|---|
| become identifiable? |
| |

| How has 'due regard' been given to any negative impacts that have been identified? |
|--|
| None identified |

Section 4: Interdependencies

| Is this proposal likely to have any knock-on effects for any other activities carried out by or on behalf of the | YES |
|--|-----|
| HCSP? | |

Details of knock-on effects identified

It is likely that clarity in the way in which organisations, including the HSCP, responds to offending, risk taking behaviours and factors that may young people in this direction, will impact positively in many areas. These would include family functioning and wellbeing; keeping children and young people out of care, child protection, child poverty and violence against Women and Girls.

Section 5: Monitoring and review

How will you monitor and evaluate the equality impacts of your proposal?

Following completion of a Youth Justice Strategy, a Youth Justice Partnership will be constituted to monitor and evaluate all aspects on the new strategy and processes. It will be able to take any actions necessary to improve performance and mitigate any negative aspects.

Section 6: Publication

| How will you publish this EQIA? | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Agree Council Web site. | |