



# ANNUAL STATUS AND OPTIONS REPORT V1.3

DECEMBER 2021

## Document Control

Document History				
Version	Status	Date	Author	Changes from Previous Version
1.0	First Draft	Oct 2021	William McCrae	Update 2020 ASOR report with current available data for management team review
1.1	Second Draft	Oct 2021	William McCrae	Added photos, text edits and other additional data.
1.2	Updates	Oct 2021	Hugh O'Neill	Up to date figures added
1.3	Head of Service Amendments	Nov 2021	Jim Smith	Various amendments
1.4	Pre Agenda amendments	Nov 2021	Jim Smith	Minor amendments

Document Control			
Version	Status	Date	Comments

## Contents

Report Content.....	5
Management of Road Infrastructure Assets.....	9
1.0 Carriageways .....	10
1.1 Road Length.....	10
1.2 Condition .....	10
1.3 Asset Valuation .....	10
1.4 Investment.....	11
1.5 Capital .....	11
1.6 Strategic Timber Transport Fund.....	12
1.7 Maintenance Backlog.....	12
1.8 Capital Road reconstruction .....	13
1.9 Winter Maintenance .....	15
<b>OPTION 1 — £3M</b> .....	16
<b>OPTION 2 — £5M</b> .....	16
<b>OPTION 3 — £8M</b> .....	16
<b>OPTION 4 — £11M</b> .....	16
1.10 CAPITAL RESURFACING INVESTMENT OPTIONS	
1.11 Revenue Funded Preventative Maintenance Investment Options.....	17
2.0 Footways.....	18
2.1 Length.....	18
2.2 Condition .....	18
2.3 Asset Valuation .....	18
2.4 Maintenance backlog .....	18
2.5 Investment.....	18
2.6 Public Liability Claims .....	19
2.7 Investment Options .....	20
3.0 Street lighting .....	21
3.1 Inventory.....	21
3.2 Condition .....	21
3.3 Valuation .....	21
3.4 Investment.....	22
3.4 Investment (Cont).....	22
3.5 Energy Consumption .....	23
3.6 Investment Options .....	25
4.0 Structures.....	26
4.1 Assets.....	26

4.2 Condition .....	26
4.3 Asset Valuation .....	27
4.4 Investment.....	27
4.5 Maintenance backlog .....	27
4.6 Flood Prevention .....	28
4.7 Match Funding Opportunities.....	28
4.8 Flood Prevention Maintenance Backlog .....	28
4.9 Investment Options .....	29
5.0 Traffic Signals .....	30
5.1 Assets.....	30
5.2 Condition .....	30
5.3 Valuation .....	31
5.4 Investment.....	31
5.5 Maintenance Backlog.....	31
5.6 Investment Options .....	32
6.0 Street Furniture .....	33
6.1 Assets.....	33
6.2. Condition .....	33
6.3 Valuation .....	34
6.4 Investment.....	34
6.5 Maintenance Backlog.....	35
6.6 Asset Data and Knowledge.....	35
6.7 Electric Vehicle Charging .....	36
6.8 Weather Stations.....	37
6.9 Vehicle Restraint Systems.....	37
6.10 Investment Options .....	39
7.0 Climate Change and a Resilient Network .....	40
7.1 Investment.....	40
7.2 Condition .....	40
7.3 Maintenance Backlog.....	40
7.4 SCOTS Asset Management Project Case Study — Stirling Council Gully Cleaning .....	41
<b>Context</b> .....	41
<b>Desire</b> .....	41
<b>Risks</b> .....	41
<b>Solution</b> .....	41
<b>Implementation</b> .....	42
<b>Outcomes from Data Driven Approach</b> .....	43
<b>Future Development</b> .....	43
7.5 Investment Options .....	44

## Report Content

This report has been compiled based on current available data which may be subject to change as more information becomes available. Where little or no data exists then various assumptions and estimates have been made to provide illustrations or add context to the subject matter. The report provides a snapshot of road infrastructure assets within Argyll and Bute Council based on 2020-21 data for asset condition, previous investment, asset valuation and other relevant information. It provides indicative future investment options for consideration within each asset group based on available information and resource levels to develop same.

Note: Due to access restrictions, accomodation availability and also ferry availability due in the main to the ongoing pandemic, it has not been possible for the specialist condition surveys to be carried out on all of our road network. The specialist surveys are carried out through a single contract arranged via SCOTS/Pert and Kinross Council to all 32 Scottish Local Authorities.

DRAFT

This is a headline summary on the condition of Argyll and Bute Council Road infrastructure assets. It provides key information on inventory, condition, funding and the growing need for investment to address the maintenance backlog.

Our roads support thousands of journeys every day and are a vital component of a thriving economy for our remote communities. Road transport infrastructure benefits everyone by providing access socially, commercially, educationally and enabling service access for energy supply, communications and the digital network (i.e. service ducts, maintenance).

Our roads are currently safe and fit for purpose, although every year we record the poorest condition in terms of the Road Condition Index (RCI) in Scotland. This position on the RCI scale will not change without funding far beyond Argyll and Bute Councils reach. Innovative use of available capital investment and funding applications over the last decade has achieved marginal improvement over time from RCI 55% (2009) to 54.4% (2019) particularly noticeable on the strategic and timber haulage routes which benefit from external funding (STTS) support. Without the high level of investment the Council has allocated over the last 10 or so years, the road condition would be significantly poorer. This level of investment has without doubt provided a positive benefit to our road condition which is reflected in the fact that we have steady state improvement.

In line with the majority of Scottish local Authorities and indeed the United Kingdom, our council has a significant backlog maintenance value which is calculated at circa £140M for the road infrastructure assets (roads, footways, street lighting, structures, traffic signals and street furniture) The annual investment needed calculated as annual depreciation is £22.9million (2019/20 asset valuation) with current funding at £14.1million / Year (2019/20 Asset valuation)

Where possible we strive to carry out 'right first time' repairs on a proactive rather than a reactive basis. To assist with this method of work, we have been carrying out trials of 'find and fix' which have proved to be beneficial in terms of the amount of reactive work which is carried out. This process essentially means that repair teams will go into an area carrying out repair works prior to safety inspections being carried out. This in turn means we are better able to utilise the resource focussing on fixing the defects before they become too significant. Carrying out the safety inspections also ensures that we are complying with our statutory requirements as a Roads Authority.

Argyll and Bute Council, in line with the majority of other council's across Scotland, carry out scanner surveys at a frequency of:

- 100 per cent of A class roads with the direction of travel changed in alternate years
- 50 per cent of B and C class roads with the remaining 50 per cent surveyed the following year. The direction of travel is also alternated such that every B and C class road lane is surveyed every four years
- 10 per cent of unclassified roads are surveyed in one direction each year

Over and above the survey levels detailed above, Argyll and Bute Council generally commissions a full network survey every 4 years. This additional survey ensures that there is a more up to date baseline survey available across the full network rather than having periods between surveys of up to 10 years.

These scanner surveys produce Road Conditions Index (RCI) values which officers use alongside the Roads Asset Management Plan (RAMP) to produce prioritised lists of resurfacing and reconstruction schemes together with providing an annual update on the condition of the road network through the Annual Status and Options Report (ASOR).



Argyll has over 2280km of roads, equivalent to driving from Oban to Naples



Over 500km of footways, equivalent to the distance from Arrochar to Birmingham



Over 900 bridges, spanning 5km, equivalent to 10 Queensferry crossings



Over 14,000 Street lights, 450km of cabling using enough energy to power 1200homes



Only 24 signalled junctions or pedestrian crossings. This is the smallest road asset group in Argyll




Several thousand street furniture assets including over 70km of vehicle safety fencing.


The scanner surveys produce a reliable and consistent report of road condition across the whole of Scotland. The frequency of survey together with the type of survey used produces a good 'high level' analysis of road condition which in turn can be used to select and develop schemes as part of an asset sustainability programme of surfacing works.

For locations such as Islay which have an increasing number of HGV movements, often across roads which are founded on weak, sometimes unstable ground, it can mean that together with the RCI index there is also a heavy reliance on local officer engineering judgement in order to formulate a list of schemes which accompany the RCI scheme list which ensures best value is achieved, that the road network can be maintained to a satisfactory standard and the available funding is invested in a way which provides best value with the allocated funding.

In conjunction with the Northern Roads Collaboration, Argyll and Bute Council are in the process of organising specialist road condition surveys to be carried out with the intention of these surveys firstly providing additional information which may be used to influence future capital spend in terms of resurfacing and in some cases reconstructing key sections of road. Secondly, the additional data will be available to determine if there is compelling evidence to suggest that a lobbying approach be made to Government Treasury and/or distillers seeking financial support to upgrade the affected road network, similar to the investment which is made through the STTS.

Argyll and Bute Council is driving aspirations for population growth and greater economic activity and have been successful in securing a rural growth deal which aims to attract more visitors and employment opportunities. This is very welcome news, however these objectives need to recognise the corresponding impact on our fragile road infrastructure through greater volumes of traffic. Appropriate investment in road infrastructure assets is needed now to support achievement of council goals and realise the long term benefits to our community health and well-being.






A decade of capital investment has provided steady state/marginally improved RCI condition through a planned and prioritised programme of works. The maintenance backlog for carriageways is £112m (£140m for all road assets - 2019 SCOTS report).



The recent £1m capital investment 2017-20 has tackled some of the poorer condition areas delivering approx. 3km of refurbished footway. Third party insurance claims have increased to 14 No. last year costing almost £8k in settlement claims. The asset valuation for footways is £91.6m with an annual depreciation calculated as £871k/yr. The 2021/22 investment allocation for footways is £900k.



The bridge condition index shows asset condition to have deteriorated over time with slight improvement recently due to prioritised repair works. Maintenance backlog is calculated at £20m. There is currently 15 bridges which have acceptable weight restrictions imposed. 28 bridges do not meet the European Standard assessment and 29 bridges are subject to special monitoring measures same as previous year. Where bridges do not meet the European Standards, weight limits are used to manage the asset appropriately. The asset valuation for bridges is £290m with an annual depreciation calculated as £1.3m/yr. This year the combined capital and revenue funding for bridges is £232k or 18% of annual depreciation. A number of bids have been put forward for external investment to improve the Council's bridge stock. At the time of writing this funding has not been confirmed.



Energy consumption for street lighting has almost halved since the LED replacement project was undertaken. As part of the LED project, a high level column assessment has been carried out which will be used as part of the process for identifying column replacement, as per the original LED business case.



The traffic signal asset condition has recently been surveyed highlighting a substantial number of issues to update and modernise assets to comply with current regulations. This requires the use of specialist contractors through a procurement process to undertake the works. These works will need to be prioritised and funded through available budgets and dependent on the overall cost, the work are likely to be programmed over a number of years.



As part of the ongoing asset inventory update, a survey to produce a full inventory of safety barriers is proposed to be carried out, this having been delayed due to the ongoing pandemic. The survey will enable a full inventory to be completed together with a prioritised programme of safety/crash barrier improvements. Currently this area is not fully funded and this will be subject to further reports to the relevant committee.





Climate change has increased annual rainfall and the frequency of severe weather events. Water is the road's greatest enemy and can cause extensive damage very quickly. Funding of £500k was allocated to tackle flooding issues and enhance the gully cleaning operations. This funding is welcome and will allow action to ensure the road infrastructure drainage assets, ditches, gullies and associated pipework are improved. The completed works should be reported on a regular basis to clearly demonstrate prudent stewardship and ensure maximum protection is afforded against the risk of much more expensive damage when severe weather events do occur.

## Management of Road Infrastructure Assets

Argyll and Bute Council participates in the SCOTS Road Asset Management (RAM) project with all other Scottish Local Authorities. The project facilitates collaboration and development of a consistent asset management approach across Scotland. The project recently commissioned consultants ATKINS to audit authorities' progress with developing the SCOTS asset management framework practices. The audit when complete, provides individual authority reports and a national summary report for submission to the SCOTS Executive.

The Annual Status and Options Report, which is part of the RAM project, provides decision makers with an update on asset condition and is designed to provide reassurance that a sound asset management process is being followed whilst delivering better value and demonstrating a well-managed asset portfolio. This is in line with the SCOTS Asset Management Framework.

The SCOTS audit of Argyll and Bute Council's processes was completed in August 2020. The report highlighted a key factor limiting asset management progress was resource constraints. It provided three recommendations that are anticipated to provide significant benefits:

### Develop a Data Management Plan.

Focus data collection on business needs, requirements and priorities.

Identifies, risks and supports mitigation

Documents data management processes

- Enables review and auditing of data, systems and processes
- Supports consistency of data collection and management.

Provides data ownerships and promotes continuous improvements

### Development of local monitors and KPI's that:

Align with the corporate plan and assist in linking performance across the service to its influence on achieving the corporate objectives / priorities / outcomes.

Link monitors to key risks identified in the Road Asset Management Plan.

### Develop an Asset Management Communication Plan.

Provides key asset management stakeholders

Identifies key stakeholder's asset management knowledge and competency

Stakeholder AM knowledge and competency gap analysis

Stakeholder AM knowledge and competency improvement plan

Actions to address the issues raised in the SCOTS audit detailed above are being built into team plans to enable these areas to be progressed. However, it is worthy of note that the recent focus has been on delivering a larger than normal capital programme, bidding for external funding, delivering externally funded schemes together with dealing with the ongoing pandemic.

# 1.0 Carriageways

## 1.1 Road Length

A Class Roads	505.3km
B Class Roads	613.5km
C Class Roads	434.3km
Unclassified Roads	733km
<b>Total Network Length</b>	<b>2286km</b>

The chart opposite shows that nearly one third of our network is made up of unclassified roads (U Class). Most of the carriageway is rural with over 80% of the network in rural areas. It should be noted that 38% of the network is located on an island and approx. 24% of the network built on peat. This incurs additional cost to maintenance operations through associated transportation and remote working costs. Careful planning is required to make the most of available resources when undertaking island road maintenance works.




## 1.2 Condition

Road condition is measured by the Scottish Road Maintenance Condition Survey (SRMCS) which assesses parameters such as surface texture and cracking, smoothness and rutting. This provides an indication of the residual life of the road structure.

The 2021 survey results are currently unavailable due to impact of covid-19 pandemic delaying the survey start date. However the results are not expected to indicate significant change from previous years which showed marginal improvement from 16.34% to 16.10%. A slight improvement was shown for roads assessed as amber from 38.08% to 38.07%. Roads assessed as green also showed slight improvement from 45.58% to 45.83% in the same period. Overall continuation of this marginal improvement or steady state is expected when the latest RCI results become available. Overall the RCI over previous years demonstrates effective delivery of the roads reconstruction programme by officers working within very tight budgets and timescales.

## 1.3 Asset Valuation

The asset valuation for carriageways is detailed within the table 3.7 opposite. It shows the Gross Replacement Cost as £2.17billion. This is the cost of a new replacement asset. The Depreciated Replacement Cost as £1.94billion. This is the present value of asset based on condition data. The Annualised Depreciation Cost as £18.27 million. This is the calculated level of annual investment needed to sustain current asset condition.



**Table 3.7 Carriageways Valuation (These values include the regional and inflation factors for the current year)**

Road Classification	Gross Replacement Cost	Depreciated Replacement Cost	Annualised Depreciation Cost
Principal (A) Roads (Urban)	£166,857,464	£151,444,703	£1,406,017
Principal (A) Roads (Rural)	£580,776,581	£536,202,625	£3,776,814
Classified (B) Roads (Urban)	£72,872,998	£65,912,867	£614,907
Classified (B) Roads (Rural)	£459,025,985	£415,964,581	£3,323,408
Classified (C) Roads (Urban)	£55,302,758	£49,171,026	£526,103
Classified (C) Roads (Rural)	£263,859,225	£232,464,486	£2,358,025
Unclassified Roads (Urban)	£326,727,643	£280,739,655	£3,869,089
Unclassified Roads (Rural)	£244,200,469	£210,486,802	£2,399,720
<b>Total</b>	<b>£2,169,623,123</b>	<b>£1,942,386,746</b>	<b>£18,274,083</b>

### 1.4 Investment

The capital reconstruction programme delivered £7.5m of investment in 2020/21 with surface dressing deferred until this financial year. The 2020/21 programme was on a range of surfacing projects aimed at improving network condition across Argyll. The adjacent table details the surfacing quantities and value within each activity. The percentage split across activities shows the bulk of investment (77%) is attributed to Surface Dressing (SD) and thin surfacing works to maximise network coverage. The aim being to seal and extend surface life with a SD treatment and tackle as much deteriorated surface as possible with thin surfacing works so as to help reduce demand for reactive treatment works.

The adjacent table provides an indicative guide on asset sustainability by comparing annual works delivery via capital reconstruction programme against expected service life and asset inventory. This provides an indicative treatment cycle in years.

### Summary of surface treatment types carried out in 2020/21



Surface Treatment	Length (m)	Cost (£)	Percentage
Surface Dressing	82389	£2,263,946	30%
Thin/Micro Surfacing (up to 25mm)	1191	£108,125	1%
Thin Overlay (>25mm to 60mm)	39010	£2,244,509	30%
Moderate Overlay (>60mm to 100mm)	866	£94,181	1%
Structural Overlay (>100mm)	1130	£146,570	2%
Thin Inlay (>25mm to 60mm)	9079	£1,250,779	17%
Moderate Inlay (>60mm to 100mm)	3658	£565,766	8%
Structural Inlay (>100mm)	1019	£227,842	3%
Planned Patching	0	£24,366	0%
Reconstruction (250mm+)	3707	£599,584	8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>£7,525,669</b>	

Treatment	Expected Service Life (Years)	Quantity Works (Km)	Inventory	Treatment Cycle (Years)
Surface Dressing	12-15	82.4	2286	28
Resurfacing Works	20-30	55.9	2286	41

### 1.5 Capital

The tables and charts below and adjacent illustrate the relationship between investment and the annual RCI results. By comparing the capital spend average against the RCI average, this shows an almost steady state road condition budget allocation of £7.688m/year for combined capital and revenue carriageway surfacing treatments. It must be noted that the current RCI value did not include our island areas due to COVID in 2020 and may well affect the accuracy of the data. This value differs from the SCOTS Steady state figure £11.5m which can be attributed to the SCOTS methodology using a more robust treatment matrix in the context of nationwide networks as opposed to treatments specifically aimed at Argyll and Bute Council's variable network. Surfacing treatments in Argyll are based on several factors often unique such as island works that are not necessarily truly reflected in a national modelling tool unless specifically formulated for that purpose. The treatments carried out are proportionate to the traffic volumes, local geography and available funding. The treatment programme is designed to provide best value and utilises techniques such as surface dressing etc to maximise the area covered therefore helping to reduce the risk of deterioration due to ingress of water etc.



Year	Capital & Revenue	Survey year	RCI
2013-14	£9,826,466	2013-15	55.6
2014-15	£8,896,996	2014-16	54.4
2015-16	£6,799,499	2015-17	55.3
2016-17	£5,821,104	2016-18	55.5

2017-18	£5,149,311	2017-19	54.4
2018-19	£9,639,640	2018-20	54.17
2019-20		2019-21	
Average Spend	£7,688,836	Average	54.895

Note – RCI number = the smaller the better

### 1.6 Strategic Timber Transport Fund

Argyll and Bute Council has consistently secured significant funding support from the Strategic Timber Transport Fund (STTF).

Agreed standard bidding process across all local authorities, final decision being made by STTF. The STTF funding is earmarked for projects which minimise the impact of timber lorries on our rural road network. It means that for every £1 Argyll and Bute Council spend the STTF funding support, on average more than doubles this investment.

The works being undertaken will make it easier for local residents and businesses to share the roads. Getting timber off our own road network and improving journey times when shifting timber from forests to processing facilities is another major benefit of improving the network.

Roads which have seen improvements from the joint funding between the council and STTF are:

A816 Lochgilphead – Oban strategic route;

Lochawe haulage routes- B840, C30 and C29;

Kintyre B842; and

B8000 Strathlachlan, Cowal.

Forestry is a key industry sector in Argyll and Bute, growing our economy and providing employment in management and harvesting whilst providing forest trails for communities to enjoy.

It should be noted that the investment of the STTS funding is determined by forestry activity which enables the Council to make bids for specific locations. This means that the investment levels align with forestry activity rather than necessarily being distributed across the full Council area,

Argyll and Bute Council continue to explore the possibility of further external funding with the Northern Roads Collaboration and SCOTS to approach the Scottish Government regarding funding from Spirit Based Transport from Distillery's (similar to the STTS Funding) which would provide additional roads funding.

### 1.7 Maintenance Backlog

The SCOTS Headline Maintenance Backlog figure is calculated every two years using road condition data collected via the Scottish Road Maintenance Condition Survey (SRMCS). The calculation uses surveyed condition data with a surfacing treatment matrix and unit rates to determine the extent of maintenance required to bring whole network surfacing to an 'A1' condition.

For the steady state calculations the model was run and values were output so that each authorities red RCI percentage was held at the current level by treating any amber RCI values which would otherwise deteriorate into red values in the subsequent year. This has been evidenced as best value.



SCOTS Headline Maintenance Backlog	2019 SCOTS Report	Average Annual Investment
Headline Backlog Figure	Steady State Figure	Capital and Rev (2012-2019)
£112,251,000	£11,507,000	£8,095,428

### 1.8 Capital Road Reconstruction

The photo to the right shows some of the road reconstruction and resurfacing works being undertaken as part of the 2019-2020 capital investment programme. The photo opposite is part of the Islay retread process which involves churning up existing deteriorated surfacing, adding some bitumen and regrading and compacting the surface to restore surface condition. The process reduces the quantity of new materials required and contributes to lower carbon emissions for the project as well as delivering best value on the Island road network.



The photo to the left shows resurfacing works on B842 High Askimol and the photos below show carriageway widening on A816 Blaran. The surface dressing process which is a preventative treatment aimed at sealing the road surface against the ingress of water whilst restoring surface texture to worn or slippery surfacing. The treatment enables surfacing service life to be extended by 5-10 years prior to a more robust surfacing project being undertaken to strengthen and reshape the carriageway.



Photos below illustrate some of the recent capital reconstruction road resurfacing works. These photos show a range of treatments including localised edge strengthening, full width resurfacing and reinforcing weak roads using a geotextile membrane (this helps to stop reflective cracking providing additional tensile strength which helps prolong the road life).



### 1.9 Winter Maintenance

Keeping our roads ‘open for business’ is vital for our economy and the health and well-being of our communities. This includes carrying out winter maintenance services aimed at keeping our roads safe during periods of snow and ice through the winter months. This requires substantial resources to monitor weather conditions, predict treatments, procure and store de-icing salt, gritters, loaders and drivers to deliver same across Argyll and the Isles every day of the winter period.

Climate change is affecting how we deliver the service with winter weather becoming more marginal and less predictable requiring more responsive treatments and actions all within the confines of complying with driver hours legislation. The table below provides some details of the scale of winter operations over the last ten years undertaken on 31 planned treatment routes using a fleet of 33 gritting vehicles.



Winter Treatment Information	Ten Year Average	
Total number of planned treatment runs (equiv Full Fleet)	81	Runs
Total aggregate annual treatment mileage travelled by all gritting vehicles on all planned routes	83186	Miles
Total tonnage of salt used on carriageways	15073	Tonnes
Total Winter actual spend carriageways	£2,278,209	Spend

The cost of providing this vital service is a significant portion of annual road maintenance spend at almost 40% of existing total revenue budget. This impacts other essential preventative maintenance activities with less works being afforded. Consideration may be needed on alternative funding mechanisms for winter services so that the full extent of revenue budget allocation can be utilised to achieve more extensive planned preventative maintenance to extend service life of assets. Delivering these tasks to appropriate service standards can better support council corporate goals whilst demonstrating well managed assets.

Budgets	Roads	Winter	Total	Winter %
2015-16	£3,957,298	£1,644,490	£5,601,788	29.36%
2016-17	£3,972,055	£1,836,286	£5,808,341	31.61%
2017-18	£3,832,056	£1,636,828	£5,468,884	29.93%
2018-19	£3,832,056	£1,621,674	£5,453,730	29.74%
2019-20	£3,411,055	£2,122,154	£5,533,209	38.35%
2020-21	£3,506,058	£2,122,618	£5,628,676	37.71%
2021-22	£3,422,540	£2,119,974	£5,542,514	38.25%


Spend	Roads	Winter	Total	Winter %
2015-16	£4,173,702	£1,832,248	£6,005,950	30.51%
2016-17	£4,243,332	£1,885,851	£6,129,183	30.77%
2017-18	£3,926,258	£2,669,341	£6,595,599	40.47%
2018-19	£3,765,604	£1,791,150	£5,556,754	32.23%
2019-20	£3,485,315	£2,165,845	£5,651,160	38.33%
2020-21	£3,360,100	£2,251,432	£5,611,532	40.12%

### 1.10 CAPITAL RESURFACING INVESTMENT OPTIONS

Options 1 – 4 show different investment scenarios forecasting future conditions


#### OPTION 1 – £3M

An annual investment of £3m purely for roads resurfacing, would lead to a substantial deterioration on overall RCI with 69% of our roads requiring attention after 20 years including 38% of roads considered in the red category, this would significantly increase risk to road users safety. The volume of reactive temporary repairs would steadily rise, year on year as would public liability claims. Customer satisfaction levels can be expected to steadily decrease.




#### OPTION 2 – £5M

An annual investment of £5m purely for roads resurfacing would lead to a slower deterioration on overall RCI with 59% of our roads requiring attention after 20 years including 30% of roads considered as red category. This is almost double the latest result (16.34%) for red category roads. The volume of reactive temporary repairs would steadily rise, year on year as would public liability claims. Customer satisfaction levels can be expected to steadily decrease.




#### OPTION 3 – £8M

An annual investment of £8m purely for roads resurfacing would lead to steady state in overall RCI with 41% of our roads requiring attention after 20 years including 18% of roads considered as red category which is on par with current red condition (16.34%). The volume of reactive temporary repairs would likely remain similar to current levels over initial period and would be expected to remain similar over time as road condition remains constant. Public liability claims would also be expected to remain similar. Customer satisfaction levels would also remain in steady state.



#### OPTION 4 – £11M

An annual investment of £11m purely for roads resurfacing for the next 20 years should lead to a substantial improvement in overall RCI with only 27% of roads requiring attention including only 8% of roads in red category, half the current red condition (16.34%). This differs slightly from the projected value from the SCOTS Backlog and Steady State model, due to a different method of predicting future carriageway condition. This would potentially make Argyll and Bute council the leading Scottish authority in terms of RCI. A substantial reduction in reactive repairs and public liability claims can be expected. Demands on limited resources would be lessened and customer satisfaction levels will also be greatly improved through this investment.



### 1.11 Revenue Funded Preventative Maintenance Investment Options

The value of undertaking adequate preventative maintenance works cannot be over stated. It is the most vital and fundamental function required to extend infrastructure service life, strengthen network resilience and minimise demand for capital investment.

Below are a number of initial revenue budget investment options for consideration. These scenarios have been compiled as part of the SCOTS Roads Asset Management Project and will all require further investigation, research and development to progress more detailed information on which option is best suited to support council objectives within the confines of available resources.

**OPTION 1** Increased pressure on council budgets and the need to realise savings may reduce current investment levels for preventative maintenance activities. This needs careful consideration and will impact the quantity of works afforded necessary to provide adequate protection to vital road assets. It will increase demand for more expensive reactive works which is the vicious cycle essential maintenance activities are currently experiencing. It will increase future demand for capital investment far greater than initial savings realised.

Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Delivers short term budget savings	Less maintenance works afforded	Doesn't support corporate objectives
	Increased asset deterioration	Difficult to demonstrate value
	Greater demand for expensive reactive works	Future demand for capital investment far greater than initial savings realised
		May compromise current internal service delivery

**OPTION 2** Maintain existing investment levels and consider prioritising activity funding using a risk based approach. Prioritised activities should be delivered through a planned programme of works to maximise value for money through appropriate service standards. Combined with improved recording of maintenance works asset information can be enhanced to assist driving an improved asset management approach that can break the current vicious cycle of reactive maintenance demands.

Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Maintains existing budget	No council budget saving	Supports some corporate goals
Retains internal service delivery	Requires change in approach	Training to focus efforts on prioritised business needs
Need more focus on planned works	Needs commitment to deliver	Some investment in better mobile technology
Need better data capture and analysis		Development of appropriate service standards
Better value works can be afforded		Additional resources needed to implement any changes

**OPTION 3** Maintain or increase investment levels through a zero based budget approach. This would essentially allocate a percentage budget for reactive maintenance with the balance of funding allocated through planned schedules and programmes of works to effectively justify and approve funding allocation against a measured works quantity to meet appropriate service standards. This would refocus effort on delivering measured work packages whilst improving capture of asset information to assist delivery of the benefits from implementing recognised asset management practices.

Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Better control of costs	No Council budget savings	Supports council objectives
Delivers better value maintenance services	Potential increased budget required	Implementing SCOTS Asset Management recommended practices
	Requires significant changes	Investment in better mobile technology
More informed decision making	Needs commitment to deliver	Staff training & Additional resources to implement

**OPTION 4** Consider funding some maintenance activities using a capital funding allocation. Preventative maintenance is a critical activity some of which can be easily quantified (Ditching, Gully cleaning, Patching etc.). Delivering planned measurable works would greatly enhance ability to demonstrate value and prudent stewardship of assets.

Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Vital maintenance activities delivered	Compliance with capital investment rules	Supports council objectives
Ability to demonstrate value	May impact capital investment on other assets	Implementing SCOTS Asset Management recommended practices
Improved asset management		Investment in better mobile technology
		Staff training & Additional resources to implement

## 2.0 Footways

### 2.1 Length

The footway asset is approx. 520km in length as detailed in tables 2.1a & 2.1b opposite. The extent of the asset is not fully known and is updated as new data becomes available.

### 2.2 Condition

Asset condition surveys are not currently undertaken due to limited resources and cost implications.

Generally footways are considered safe and fit for purpose with maintenance works undertaken in response to identified defects or public complaints as investment and resources permit.

Footway Hierarchy	Length (m)	Area (sqm)
Higher Amenity Footways	41,977	117,536
Other Footways	470,174	1,001,471
<b>Total</b>	<b>512,151</b>	<b>1,119,006</b>

Quantity	Length (m)	Area (sqm)
All Footpaths	9,349	11,219
<b>Total</b>	<b>9,349</b>	<b>11,219</b>

### 2.3 Asset Valuation

Details of the asset valuation are shown in table 2.3 below;

Footway Hierarchy	Gross Replacement Cost	Depreciated Replacement Cost	Annualised Depreciation Cost
Higher Amenity Footways	£9,569,925	£8,123,890	£61,717
Other Footways	£82,046,682	£63,697,544	£809,223
<b>Total</b>	<b>£91,616,607</b>	<b>£71,821,434</b>	<b>£870,941</b>

### 2.4 Maintenance backlog

The maintenance backlog for footways is based on officers estimation of condition calculated as three percent of gross replacement cost of the asset.

Gross Replacement Cost (GRC)	Backlog Estimate 3% GRC	Investment Need based on Annualised Depreciation Cost
£92,535,721	£2,776,072	£870,491

### 2.5 Investment

Footways investment of £1m over previous 3 years has tackled some of the worst identified sections of the network aimed at reducing reactive maintenance demands. The planned capital investment for 2021-22 is £900k.

Table 2.5a details the extent of capital works undertaken 2019-20.



Table 2.5b provides indicative information on the current footways treatment cycle.

Treatment	Length (m)	Cost (£)
Surface Treatment	802	£20,641.74
Resurfaced	1,913	£139,205.57
Reconstruction	471	£74,045.90
<b>Totals</b>	<b>3,186</b>	<b>£233,893.21</b>

Treatment	Expected Service Life (Yrs)	Quantity (Km)	Inventory (Km)	Treatment Cycle (Years)
Surface Treatment	15-20	0.802	529	660
Resurfacing Works	30-40	1.913	529	277
Reconstruction	50-60	0.471	529	1123
All Works		3.186	529	166

## 2.6 Public Liability Claims

The number of public liability claims settled and resultant costs has remained zero for four consecutive years. Last year there were 14 claims totalling almost £8k indicating that continued investment is needed to address deterioration and defects. The previous £1m investment over a three year period was targeted towards rectifying known defect hotspots and demonstrated investment benefits to users. There is a further £900k planned capital investment in the footway asset and available revenue budget will be prioritised to undertaking essential reactive maintenance works.



## 2.7 Investment Options

Below are a number of initial revenue budget investment options for consideration. These scenarios have been compiled as part of the SCOTS Roads Asset Management Project and will all require further investigation, research and development to progress more detailed information on which option is best suited to support council objectives within the confines of available resources. Investment options should be linked to the long term maintenance strategy for the asset. .

<b>Option 1</b> Undertake maintenance only on a reactive basis to repair defects within existing revenue budget allocation		
Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Continues service delivery for defects	Continued long term asset deterioration	Adopting risk based approach to managing the asset
	Growing demands for capital investment	Resource condition survey of asset to gain information on asset needs
	Rising number of public liability claims	Development of long term maintenance strategy for asset group
	Reactive maintenance is expensive and poor value	Resource development of a prioritised list of planned works

<b>Option 2</b> Increased investment in revenue planned maintenance activities		
Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Investment tackles asset deterioration	limited asset information and condition data	Implementing SCOTS asset management recommended practices
Planned works deliver better value	resources required to identify, quantify and works	Resource development of a prioritised list of planned works
Reduced demand for reactive works	Level of works limited within available revenue budget allocation	Development of long term maintenance strategy for asset group
Less complaints		

<b>Option 3</b> Develop business case for investment through capital budget for resurfacing/reconstruction of sub standard footways and footpaths. Extend the capital programme of improvements undertaken 2017-20 via a rolling 3 - 5 year programme of works that can be prioritised in line with available resources.		
Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Investment tackles deterioration and gradually improves whole asset	limited asset information and condition data	Development of long term maintenance strategy for asset group
Demonstrates prudent stewardship of assets	resources required to identify scope of works	Investment in mobile technology to capture asset data
Supports corporate objectives	Requires increased levels investment	Implementing SCOTS asset management recommended practices

<b>Option 4</b> Capital investment for improvement in kerbing in conjunction with carriageway surfacing and street lighting projects. Requires a holistic planned approach across all road asset groups to collaborate works programmes to support overall council goals and objectives. A streetscene approach to delivering improvements.		
Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
All asset approach to maintenance	requires substantial capital investment	Use of SCOTS asset management tools
		Investment in mobile technology
		Development of appropriate maintenance strategy

### 3.0 Street lighting

#### 3.1 Inventory

The extent of street lighting asset is detailed in Tables 6.2a,b,c ,d & e below;

Column Material	Quantity
Non Galvanised Steel	2,379
Galvanised Steel	9,505
Concrete	29
Aluminium (pre 2000)	1,121
Aluminium (post 2000)	0
Stainless Steel	9
Cast Iron	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>13,043</b>

Cable Assets	Quantity (m)
Cable under Carriageway	43,050
Cable under Footway	215,250
Cable under Verge	172,200
<b>Total</b>	<b>430,500</b>

Luminaires	Quantity
All	14,642
<b>Total</b>	<b>14,642</b>

Other Street Lighting Assets	Quantity
Wall Bracket	1,191
Wooden Pole	110
High Mast Column	0
Control Cabinet	751
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,052</b>

Illuminated Signs	Quantity
Signs	433
Bollards	46
<b>Total</b>	<b>479</b>

There is no available data on the extent of cabling associated with street lighting assets. The quantities within table 6.2d have been estimated based on 30 Lin.m per column. The estimated quantities have also been sub-divided into likely cable tracking location as 10% carriageway, 50% footway and 40% in verge.

#### 3.2 Condition

The condition of street lighting assets is normally determined based on the age of assets. Unfortunately there is no available historic data on the installation dates for the majority of street lighting assets. However following the recent investment in replacement of luminaires with new low energy LED Lanterns data has been collected on the condition of columns and apparatus across the network. Work is ongoing to collate the data so that it can be fully assessed to determine the extent of asset deterioration. Initial LED replacement works highlighted a substantial number of columns in very poor condition and unsuitable for installing new LED lanterns.

Officers are currently identifying the best options to manage street lighting maintenance for future years including replacement columns, 5<sup>th</sup> core cabling, finalising LED works and planned maintenance programming.

#### 3.3 Valuation

The asset valuation has been undertaken in accordance with the CIPFA Transport Asset Code recommendations using the

SCOTS asset management framework tools and guidance. The valuation is detailed in Tables 6.7a,b & c below;

Street Lighting Luminaires Assets	Gross Replacement Cost	Depreciated Replacement Cost	Annualised Depreciation Cost
Total	£2,589,889.66	£1,968,989.50	£129,494.48

Street Lighting Column Assets	Gross Replacement Cost	Depreciated Replacement Cost	Annualised Depreciation Cost
Non Galvanised Steel	£3,701,435	£148,057	£148,057
Galvanised Steel	£14,834,282	£6,689,113	£494,476
Concrete	£24,882	£829	£829
Aluminium (pre 2000)	£994,597	£81,874	£24,865
Aluminium (post 2000)	£0	£0	£0
Stainless Steel	£7,710	£7,270	£110
Cast Iron	£0	£0	£0
<b>Cable Assets</b>			
Cable under Carriageway	£3,197,909	£1,840,488	£53,298
Cable under Footway	£14,293,483	£8,227,169	£238,225
Cable under Verge	£9,691,278	£5,577,606	£161,521
<b>Other Street Lighting Assets</b>			
Wall Bracket	£536,191	£474,345	£13,405
Wooden Pole	£94,237	£24,502	£1,885
High Mast Column	£0	£0	£0
Control Cabinet	£189,088	£94,635	£3,782
<b>Total</b>	<b>£47,565,093</b>	<b>£23,165,887</b>	<b>£1,140,454</b>

Illuminated Signs Assets	Gross Replacement Cost	Depreciated Replacement Cost	Annualised Depreciation Charge
Signs	£216,270.51	£106,047.47	£8,650.82
Bollards	£15,499.24	£7,695.71	£619.97
<b>Total</b>	<b>£231,769.75</b>	<b>£113,743.18</b>	<b>£9,270.79</b>

### 3.4 Investment

The street lighting asset has seen investment directed towards new LED lanterns as part of spend to save initiative aimed at lowering energy usage to reduce annual energy costs. Reduced energy usage supports council objective to meet its climate change targets by reducing carbon footprint. The LED project is almost completed and has clearly demonstrated the positive impact investment can make towards achieving council objectives.

However the project has highlighted a number of issues with the asset as many columns were unable to accept new LED lanterns due to their deteriorated state. It is known that a large but undetermined quantity of the inventory of street lights is still powered from the "5th core" electrical supply system, which is pre-2nd world war in origin. This dated infrastructure is a source of regular failure requiring the electricity supply company to attend and repair outages. Table 3.4 below details the cost of reconnections in previous year. There are currently 19 power supply defects requiring attention with repair costs to date in Bute and Cowal at almost £20k representing almost 30% of the annual maintenance budget for the area.

The costs for repairing power outages is unpredictable due to the unknown element of works involved until repairs have commenced to expose the full extent of the fault. This requires further design work and cost benefit analysis to enable the most appropriate solution within confines of available resource. However the continued allocation of individual power connection fault costs to the revenue maintenance budget as part of dark lamp or section fault repairs is unsustainable both in the short to medium term and within the longer term need for asset improvement.

Year	Description	No	Cost	Average
2019-20	Reconnection	20	£65,678	£3,284

### 3.4 Investment (Cont).

The replacement of deteriorated assets that have reached the end or beyond their expected service life is currently not part of a longer term maintenance strategy or plan. For many years the need to deliver investment savings has

over ridden the need for asset renewals. This reduced funding has delayed asset renewal projects creating an even older and more fragile asset base leading to a growing backlog of outages and reactive maintenance demands on very limited resources.


Between 2010—2017 lighting column replacement schemes dwindled due to funding constraints with annual renewal of columns averaging approx. 20—50 units per year. The adoption of new roads generally in urban areas added circa 40 additional column assets annually to inventory database. Since 2017 the LED replacement programme has taken precedent over column and cable renewal and has delivered substantial savings in energy consumption and carbon emissions. Over this period no planned column and cable replacement works were undertaken other than as part of reactive works to restore outages. The LED project highlighted the condition of assets and the growing need for urgent action to develop a longer term maintenance strategy for investment in asset renewals. Table 6.9 provides an indicative treatment cycle based on current average expected asset renewal and clearly illustrates the present investment strategy is unsustainable. Since 01 January 2021, 5 columns have had to be dealt with as emergencies and replaced. At this time no injuries were reported, however officers are concerned that if there is no proper investment on new columns then the age and level of deterioration of our columns may lead to a serious incident.

Treatment	Expected Service Life (Yrs)	Annual Quantity (Ave)	Inventory (No.)	Treatment Cycle (Years)
Column Replacement	30	25 No.	13047	522
Cable replacement	60	750 Lin m.	430,500 (Lin.m)	574
Luminaire (LED)	20	N/A	14640	All new assets


### 3.5 Energy Consumption

Annual energy consumption for street lighting has been significantly reduced since 2017/18 following the investment in new LED lanterns. Table below clearly illustrates the benefits delivered from this investment package. Data supplied from hHums from Power Data Associates.

Year	Total	Unit
2014/15	4361341.9	kWh
2015/16	6325655.3	kWh
2016/17	6119183.7	kWh
2017/18	4288415.2	kWh
2018/19	3267835.1	kWh
2019/20	3232557.7	kWh
2020/21	3228999	kWh



Year	Carbon Total	Units
2014/15	2325	tonnes CO2
2015/16	3140	tonnes CO2
2016/17	2733	tonnes CO2
2017/18	1636	tonnes CO2
2018/19	996	tonnes CO2
2019/20	889	tonnes CO2
2020/21	810	tonnes Co2



Section 35 of the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984 refers to the provision of lighting by roads authorities in Scotland and states:

(1) A local roads authority shall provide and maintain lighting for roads, or proposed roads, which are, or will be, maintainable by them and which in their opinion ought to be lit.

At present our current LED replacements allow up to 30% reduced power during the hours of darkness and provide the previously listed savings.

Some local authorities in England have taken the decision to switch off street lighting between certain hours (ie from midnight to 0500hrs) to provide further cost savings. This would need to be balanced with road safety and the possibility of an increase in crime.

A further option would be to remove sections of street lighting altogether. As a Local Roads Authority we are only required to provide and maintain lighting for roads where in our opinion roads ought to be lit. Again this must be heavily caveated by the possible road safety and criminal activity which could be a direct result of our towns and villages losing street lighting sections.

### 3.6 Investment Options

Below are a number of initial revenue budget investment options for consideration. These scenarios have been compiled as part of the SCOTS Roads Asset Management Project and will all require further investigation, research and development to progress more detailed information on which option is best suited to support council objectives within the confines of available resources. Investment options should be linked to development of a long term maintenance strategy for the asset.

<b>Option 1</b> Undertake maintenance on a reactive basis to repair defects within existing revenue budget allocation.		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Continues service delivery for defects	Continued long term asset deterioration	Adopting risk based approach to managing the asset
	Growing demands for capital investment	Resource condition survey of asset to gain information on asset inventory, condition Etc.
	Rising number of public liability claims	Development of long term maintenance strategy for the asset group
	Reactive maintenance is expensive and poor value	Resource development of a prioritised list of planned works

<b>Option 2</b> Increased investment in planned revenue maintenance activities		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Investment tackles worst asset deterioration	limited asset information and condition data	Implementing SCOTS asset management recommended practices
Planned works deliver better value	resources required to identify and quantify works	Current use, Is it needed? Can it be removed?
Reduced demand for reactive works	Level of works limited within available revenue budget allocation	Resource development of a prioritised list of planned works
Less complaints		Development of long term maintenance strategy for asset group

<b>Option 3</b> Develop a business case for investment through capital budget for replacement of obsolete, damaged and deteriorated assets particularly vehicle safety barriers. Align the business case to a suitable long term maintenance strategy for the asset group.		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Investment tackles deterioration and gradually improves whole asset	limited asset information and condition data	Development of long term maintenance strategy for asset group
Demonstrates prudent stewardship of assets	resources required to identify scope of works	Investment in mobile technology to capture asset data
Supports corporate objectives	Requires increased levels investment	Implementing SCOTS asset management recommended practices

<b>Option 4</b> Business case development for capital investment in conjunction with other asset groups that aligns with the Road Asset management Plan (RAMP) and council priorities.		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Whole asset approach to maintenance	requires substantial capital investment	Use of SCOTS asset management tools
	Requires significant improvement in asset data	Investment in mobile technology
		Development of appropriate maintenance strategy

## 4.0 Structures

### 4.1 Assets


Table 4.1 : Structures Inventory	
	Quantity
Road Bridges	888
Footbridges	11
Unusual Structures	2
Retaining Walls	1263
Height, Sign and Signal Gantry	0
Culverts	295
Subways	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>2459</b>

### 4.2 Condition

Our bridges and structures are inspected and assessed to comply with the Management of Highway Structures Code of Practice. Data gathered from bridge inspections is used to calculate a Bridge Stock Condition Indicator value which can enable analysis and trending of condition information. The condition results since 2012/13 are illustrated in the chart opposite which shows a steady decline in overall bridge stock condition from a reduction in capital investment with revenue investment being prioritised towards retaining wall repairs. Recent results show slight improvement illustrated with red moving average trendline attributable to essential maintenance works being prioritised and a targeted works programme being delivered. The longer term trendline in blue shows an overall continued deterioration of assets with condition of less critical structures expected to decline further without increased levels of investment.

There are currently 29 bridges subject to special monitoring precautions and 28 bridges that have failed the European standard assessment (prior to restrictions). 15 bridges are subject to acceptable weight restrictions.

Structures are subject to regular inspections with principal inspections every 6 years and general inspections every 2 years.



#### 4.3 Asset Valuation

<b>Structure Type</b>	<b>Gross Replacement Cost</b>	<b>Depreciated Replacement Cost</b>	<b>Annualised Depreciation Cost</b>
Road Bridges	£115,034,774	£108,633,390	£1,267,044
Footbridges	£2,934,648	£2,925,146	£2,117
Unusual Structures	£2,286,856	£1,876,450	£18,390
Retaining Walls	£166,492,505	£166,442,331	£20,470
Height, Sign and Signal Gantries	£0	£0	£0
Culverts	£3,547,853	£3,542,437	£294
Subways	£0	£0	£0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>£290,296,637</b>	<b>£283,419,755</b>	<b>£1,308,315</b>

#### 4.4 Investment

Funding for the refurbishment or renewal of bridges has dwindled over time to meet with required budget savings needs. A number of structures have been replaced following severe storm damage on a reactive basis to reopen vital transport or community links. Very few structures are the same due to size, construction or span therefore it has been assumed an average of between one and four structures are refurbished or replaced annually. The table below provides an indicative illustration of the bridge renewal cycle.

<b>Item</b>	<b>Expected Service Life of Assets</b>	<b>Annual Replacement Quantity (Estimated)</b>	<b>Current Inventory</b>	<b>Indicative Asset Renewal cycle</b>
Bridge	120-150 years	3	888	296 years

#### 4.5 Maintenance backlog

The maintenance backlog for structures is detailed in table below. It has been calculated using the SCOTS asset management framework guidance provide an estimated value for maintenance needed to bring the asset to very good condition in one year.

<b>Headline Backlog Figure</b>	<b>Steady State Figure</b>	<b>Source</b>
£20,000,000	N/A	RAC Foundation Report Feb 2020

#### 4.6 Flood Prevention

Argyll and Bute Council has a statutory duty under the Flood Risk Management Act (Scotland) 2009 to reduce the overall flood risk. This includes flood risk assessment, maps, plans and management of the risk. These works are undertaken in conjunction with the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) using local historical data to assess the risk and impact of flooding events. Table 4.6 below shows the current budget allocation for flood risk management.

Year	Capital	Revenue	Project
2020-21	£387k	£323k	General Flood Risk Management Plans
2021-22	£955k	£323k	Campbeltown Flood Prevention
2022-23			
2023-24			

#### 4.7 Match Funding Opportunities

The Scottish Government currently offers 80% funding opportunity for local authorities towards development of necessary flood prevention schemes. A key factor in securing this funding is participation in the Scottish Governments defined process for assessing flood risk and prioritising investment across Scotland. Efforts should be made to ensure participation in the Scottish Governments defined process to enable capture of any potential funding for Argyll.

#### 4.8 Flood Prevention Maintenance Backlog

The current maintenance backlog for flood prevention assets is estimated at Circa £250k. This is partly due to designated Flood prevention assets being relatively new. However historically across Argyll there are many un-designed assets which are currently not recognised as part of the flood prevention asset portfolio or owned by the council but nonetheless serve a purpose Eg. The Banks of the Black Lynn Burn in Oban. The backlog of repairs needed for these assets is not quantified but is expected to be tens of millions of pounds to bring assets up to good condition.

The photo opposite shows the extent of flooding at Lochavullin Road Oban October 2014 which caused extensive damage to vehicles and property. The photo below left shows a similar flooding event at Lochavullin car park in October 2018 and the below photo right is from October 2021.



Following these incidents some temporary flood prevention works have been undertaken to help protect property from flooding damage.

There is a need for much more extensive projects to help alleviate the issues causing these events which are beyond the scope of existing budget allocation.



#### 4.9 Investment Options

Below are a number of initial revenue budget investment options for consideration. These scenarios have been compiled as part of the SCOTS Roads Asset Management Project and will all require further investigation, research and development to progress more detailed information on which option is best suited to support council objectives within the confines of available resources. Investment options should be linked to development of a long term maintenance strategy for the asset.

<b>Option 1</b> Undertake maintenance only on a reactive basis to repair defects within existing revenue budget allocation		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Continues service delivery for defects	Continued Asset deterioration	Development of a long term maintenance strategy
	Increased risk of more weight restrictions or road closures	Strengthen the business case for investment
	Impacts Economy and vital transport links	Explore funding opportunities Etc. (Flood prevention Etc)
	Reactive maintenance is expensive and poor value	

<b>Option 2</b> Increased investment in revenue planned maintenance activities		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Continues service delivery for defects	Asset deterioration remains greater than investment	Development of a long term maintenance strategy
Tackles some preventative maintenance backlog	Impacts Economy and vital transport links	Strengthen the business case for investment
Contributes to lowering risk of more weight restrictions or road closures	Reactive maintenance is expensive and poor value	Explore funding opportunities Etc. (Flood prevention Etc)

<b>Option 3</b> Develop business case for investment through capital budget for strengthening and refurbishment of structures. Development and implementation of an appropriate long term maintenance strategy for the asset group. Continued improvement in asset management.		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Contributes to development of more sustainable asset management regime	Requires investment	Development of a long term maintenance strategy
Planned preventative maintenance programme of works	Requires resource to develop business case	Strengthen the business case for investment
More sustainable asset condition		Explore funding opportunities Etc. (Flood prevention Etc)
Supports corporate objectives		Resources to undertake increased workload

<b>Option 4</b> Development of a successful business case for investment to tackle deterioration and improve overall asset condition as part of a developed asset management process.		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Sustainable asset management regime	Requires substantial level of investment	Development of a long term maintenance strategy
Improves asset condition	Requires resource to develop successful business case	Resources to undertake/ manage increased workload and project design and development.
Reduced weight restrictions	May require additional data capture to support business case development	Explore funding opportunities Etc. (Flood prevention Etc)
Supports corporate objectives		

## 5.0 Traffic Signals

### 5.1 Assets

The extent of traffic system management assets is detailed in table 5.1a & b below;

Traffic Signal Types	Quantity
<b>Traffic Signal (Junction) Subtypes</b>	
Minor Junction	0
Medium Junction	11
Major Junction	0
Complex Junction	0
<b>Traffic Signal (Pedestrian Crossing) Subtypes</b>	
Single Carriageway	14
Double Carriageway	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>

Other Traffic Management System Types	Quantity
Information Systems	2
Safety Cameras	0
Variable Message Signs	2
Vehicle Activated Signs	18
Real Time Passenger Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>

### 5.2 Condition

The traffic management system asset is subject to condition survey which is being undertaken by specialist consultants. Survey data is being collated and should provide a comprehensive insight on the current status of assets. Initial survey results show significant evidence of asset deterioration particularly control cabinets and poles. There are a number of issues where existing assets do not meet current standards requiring a number of updates to tactile paving, road markings, traffic lights and detector loops Etc. The full results of the survey will need to be assessed and a prioritised list of repairs compiled. A business case may be needed to support the case for investment to address the issues highlighted within the survey report.



### 5.3 Valuation

Traffic Signal Types	Quantity	Gross Replacement Cost	Depreciated Replacement Cost	Annualised Depreciation Cost
Junctions	11	£495,000.00	£322,500.00	£20,625.00
Pedestrian Crossings	14	£280,000.00	£144,375.00	£12,250.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>£775,000.00</b>	<b>£466,875.00</b>	<b>£32,875.00</b>

### 5.4 Investment

Traffic management systems require specialist contractors to undertake regular inspection and necessary maintenance. Increasing reactive maintenance costs are being incurred as some assets are functioning beyond their expected service lives and when problems arise replacement of obsolete parts is difficult to procure.

Many traffic management assets have had essential works postponed over time due to budget restrictions. Although it is the smallest asset group delays in asset renewal particularly electronic hardware can lead to parts becoming obsolete as advances in technology become available. A recent survey has highlighted the need for some urgent repairs to bring systems up to date. Historically junctions and pedestrian crossing have been replaced ad hoc in response to system failures or inability to obtain replacement components. Generally this equates to approx. one junction or crossing per year. The table below provides an indicative illustration of the asset renewal cycle.

Item	Expected Service Life	Annual Replacement Quantity	Current Inventory	Indicative Renewal cycle
Traffic Control Unit	20 Years	1	24	24 Years

### 5.5 Maintenance Backlog

The maintenance backlog is calculated at £225k based on initial condition survey data and local officer estimate of upgrading assets to meet current standards. It provides an indicative measure of the level of investment needed to sustain the asset in good condition.

## 5.6 Investment Options

Below are a number of initial revenue budget investment options for consideration. These scenarios have been compiled as part of the SCOTS Roads Asset Management Project and will all require further investigation, research and development to progress more detailed information on which option is best suited to support council objectives within the confines of available resources. Investment options should be linked to development of a long term maintenance strategy for the asset.

<b>Option 1</b> Continue to fund Traffic Signals within existing annual allocation of £45k revenue budget		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Maintains existing service	Specialised works undertaken by external contractors	Revised tender for routine inspection and maintenance
	Deteriorated asset base drives increasing reactive maintenance costs	Requires additional resource to develop appropriate business case.
	Requires occasional capital investment to replace obsolete /defective equipment.	

<b>Option 2</b> Utilise latest condition survey data to develop an appropriate business case for investment in renewal of apparatus		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Improved asset reliability	Requires investment	Resource and staff training to improve asset knowledge
Reduced maintenance costs	Requires resource to develop suitable business case for investment using capital budget	Development of a suitable maintenance strategy for asset
		Requires resource to procure tenders and administer/supervise potential contract works

<b>Option 3</b> Produce a business case based on latest survey data to upgrade all apparatus to meet compliance with current standards over a three to five year period		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Modernises asset to meet current standards	Requires investment	Resource to manage and supervise works
Improves reliability	Requires resource to develop suitable business case for investment using capital budget	resource required to procure tender documentation and administration of same
reduces reactive maintenance costs		Use SCOTS Asset management tools
Improves user experience		

<b>Option 4</b> Utilise latest condition survey data to identify asset needs. Address any priority repairs and progress a maintenance strategy as part of the RAMP to develop a long term investment plan over next three - five years to bring asset condition to meet compliance with current standards.		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Modernises asset to meet current standards	Requires investment	Resource to manage and supervise works
Improves reliability	Requires resource to develop suitable business case for investment using capital budget	Resource required to procure tender documentation and administration of same
reduces reactive maintenance costs		Use SCOTS Asset management tools
Improves user experience		Assess need for individual assets. Can they be removed? Are alternative control measures available?

## 6.0 Street Furniture

### 6.1 Assets

The street furniture asset covers a wide range of items. The full extent of these items is often unknown with little information currently held on asset database systems. Table 6.1 provides details of the current information held for each of the identified item which is subject to change as more information becomes available.

<b>Table 6.1 Street Furniture Quantities</b>		
<b>Street Furniture Assets</b>	<b>Quantity of Assets</b>	<b>Unit</b>
Traffic Signs (non-illuminated)	5,010	Number
Safety Fences	616,289	Length (m)
Road Blockers	0	Number
Hostile Vehicle Barriers	0	Length (m)
Pedestrian Barriers	198	Length (m)
Street Name Plates	0	Number
Bins	0	Number
Bollards	276	Number
Bus Shelters	124	Number
Grit Bins	583	Number
Cattle Grids	162	Number
Gates	0	Number
Trees	0	Number
Seating	0	Number
Verge Marker Posts	2,322	Number
On-Street Parking Meter	92	Number
Electric Car Charging Points	28	Number
Milestones	0	Number
Information Boards	0	Number
Bike Parking Lockers	0	Number
Bike Parking Stands	0	Number
Automatic Trash Screens	0	Number
Watercourse Telemetry	0	Number
Weather Stations	14	Number
<b>Total</b>	<b>625,098</b>	

### 6.2. Condition

There is currently limited condition data available for vehicle safety barriers and street furniture. The nature of street furniture assets is such that individual assets are generally not subject to condition survey rather they are replaced when items are no longer fit for purpose or cannot function as intended. Items where possible are generally replaced in response to identified need or public complaints within the confines of available revenue budget allocation.

The condition of vehicle safety barriers has been reported previously and requires substantial investment to address. Initial local officer estimates indicate £2.1m investment need which requires resource to develop an appropriate business case. It should be noted that vehicle barriers are a specialist work requiring appropriate national certification and staff training to enable progress. As a general rule, the Council would outsource this type of work.

### 6.3 Valuation

The asset valuation is detailed in Table 6.3 below. The valuation is based on current available data within the street furniture asset group which has numerous different asset types.

<b>Street Furniture Assets</b>	<b>Gross Replacement Cost</b>	<b>Depreciated Replacement Cost</b>	<b>Annualised Depreciation Cost</b>
Traffic Signs (non-illuminated)	£136,873.20	£68,436.60	£6,843.66
Safety Fences	£4,040,397.24	£2,020,228.12	£202,019.86
Road Blockers	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Hostile Vehicle Barriers	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Pedestrian Barriers	£12,980.88	£6,386.86	£519.24
Street Name Plates	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Bins	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Bollards	£15,080.64	£7,405.91	£603.23
Bus Shelters	£1,315,516.00	£659,879.80	£65,775.80
Grit Bins	£63,704.41	£32,930.34	£4,246.96
Cattle Grids	£708,087.42	£347,399.93	£28,323.50
Gates	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Trees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Seating	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Verge Marker Posts	£50,735.70	£26,215.63	£3,382.38
On-Street Parking Meter	£331,200.00	£165,960.00	£16,560.00
Electric Car Charging Points	£540,008.00	£304,718.80	£54,000.80
Milestones	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Information Boards	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Bike Parking Lockers	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Bike Parking Stands	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Automatic Trash Screens	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Watercourse Telemetry	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Weather Stations	£216,300.00	£111,240.00	£10,815.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>£7,430,883.49</b>	<b>£3,750,801.98</b>	<b>£393,090.42</b>

### 6.4 Investment

The street furniture asset consists of many different individual assets all of which deteriorate at different rates. Generally assets deteriorate to the point where they stop performing their intended function and are replaced with new items in line with available funding. Table 6.4 below provides an indication of the renewal cycle for some street furniture assets based on previous year budget allocation and estimated asset renewal rates to calculate an indicative renewal cycle based on current funding allocation.

<b>Item</b>	<b>Budget Allocation 2019-20</b>	<b>Current Inventory Data</b>	<b>Annual Replacement Quantity</b>	<b>Indictive Renewal cycle</b>
Cattle Grid	£24,000	162	1.6	101
Traffic Signs	£52,000	5010	173	29
Vehicle Safety Fence	£98,000	616289	653	94

### 6.5 Maintenance Backlog

There is currently limited condition data available for street furniture assets to assess and calculate an accurate backlog value. However a backlog estimate can be gauged from some of the major items and officer estimates. Vehicle barriers condition previously reported in ASOR estimated investment need at circa £2.1m. This combined with officer estimate for smaller assets at £0.9m provides an indicative value circa £3million maintenance backlog figure for street furniture assets.

### 6.6 Asset Data and Knowledge

There is limited data available on the extent and condition of the street furniture asset. Many items were installed by the previous Argyll County Council or district council. Over time and several restructuring processes for the authority some data has not been retained or local staff knowledge lost due to retirements or redundancies. Consideration is needed on developing a suitable maintenance strategy for this asset group particularly in terms of updating asset data, inspecting and obtaining condition data and associated maintenance records. This will require resource and appropriate investment however there may be scope to combine this with other asset groups needs to achieve better value. Acquiring and maintaining a reliable and robust database will enable future investments needs to be more accurately calculated and the outcomes from same better able to support corporate objectives. This will ultimately allow demonstration of a well-managed asset portfolio with investment tailored to asset needs and council aims.

The SCOTS asset management project provides a range of tools and guidance to assist authorities implement better asset management practices. The photos below illustrate the diverse nature of this asset group which can have many bespoke items.




### 6.7 Electric Vehicle Charging

There is growing demand for the installation of electric vehicle charging points across Argyll. A number of units have already been installed since 2017 as detailed in tables below. Future installations are also shown based on current funding until 2021. Consideration is required on the ongoing management and future maintenance and inspection of these assets. This will require resource and budget allocation moving forward together with an associated maintenance strategy for an asset that is likely to see accelerated growth over future years.

Asset Inventory	
Type	No.
Rapid	11
Fast	10
Unknown	7

Planned Future Installation		
Year	Type of Unit	
	Fast	Rapid
2019-20	2	2
2020-21	1	1
2021-22	1	1



Electric Vehicle Charging points	
Year	Units
2017	4
2018	3
2019	8
2020	1



### 6.8 Weather Stations

Argyll and Bute council operate and maintain a number of weather stations situated in key locations to capture weather data. The data includes road surface and air temperature, rainfall, and other key climate monitoring information. Some stations also have cameras that can provide a visual history of conditions at these locations. These stations provide vital information to officers managing the winter maintenance operations across the authority. The enable pre planned winter treatments to be organised in advance of forthcoming sub-zero weather conditions to ensure our roads are safe for road users within the practicalities and resource limitations of service delivery.



### 6.9 Vehicle Restraint Systems

Barrier replacement and maintenance is underfunded and major investment is required across the whole network. It is vital that the Council maintains and upgrades its safety/crash barrier and bridge parapet stock to ensure the safety of road users. This is an area that would potentially be a good fit for meeting external funding criteria e.g. Coastal Communities Funding.

Policy, Assessment and Inspection processes need to be revised and at the moment we are currently reliant on specialist contractors and consultants to maintain our barrier stock.



Vehicle barriers – A general appraisal / condition survey of our safety barrier inventory was carried out August / September 2015 (See Table below for Results)

The survey identified almost 14km (18.5%) of barrier considered to be in poor condition and requiring to be replaced at an estimated cost of circa £2M. The barriers in poorest condition and those that are Non -Compliant because of their construction have been prioritised for replacement/repair within the confines of existing revenue funding at circa £100k/year since 2016/17. The replacement of our barrier stock through utilising the current funding allocation will take up to 20 years dependant on the locus.

A follow up detailed survey to identify the condition of all remaining safety barriers is being considered, to fully assess and prioritise future necessary barrier maintenance and inform

the budget process. This is likely to require the assistance of a specialist consultant or contractor to deliver this initial data gathering project.

The photo below shows a new section of barrier erected at Kilmaha.



The table below shows historical investment for each area since 2015-16.

District	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
01 Mid Argyll	£1,440	£27,322	£6,370	£18,011	£6590
02 Kintyre	0	0	£43,959	£5,556	
03 Islay	0	0	0		
04 Lorn	£24,368	£15,112	£5,338	£25,136	£16134
05 Mull	£232	£8,006	£23,132	0	
06 Bute	£475	£668	0	£110	
07 Cowal	£183	£11,209	£26,567	£23,257	£8525
08 Lomond	£18,917	£31,214	0	£25,204	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>£45,615</b>	<b>£93,531</b>	<b>£105,366</b>	<b>£97,274</b>	<b>£31,249</b>

The table below shows the results of the 2015 condition survey.

		SAFETY BARRIER INVENTORY - CONDITION SURVEY AUGUST / SEPTEMBER 2015								Totals
item		Mull	Lorn	Mid-Argyll	Kintyre	Islay/Jura	Lomond	Bute	Cowal	
Total Barrier length	lin.m	8022	10937	5413	2179	4217	23675	138	20928	75509 lin.m
Good / Moderate Condition	lin.m	3632	6790	4692	1794	3754	21760	38	18974	
percentage of total in good Condition	%	45%	62%	87%	82%	89%	92%	28%	91%	
Poor condition -requiring replacement	lin.m	4390	4147	721	385	463	1915	100	1825	13946 lin.m
percentage of total in poor Condition	%	55%	48%	13%	18%	11%	8%	72%	9%	

### 6.10 Investment Options

Below are a number of initial revenue budget investment options for consideration. These scenarios have been compiled as part of the SCOTS Roads Asset Management Project and will all require further investigation, research and development to progress more detailed information on which option is best suited to support council objectives within the confines of available resources. Investment options should be linked to development of a long term maintenance strategy for the asset.

**Option 1** Undertake maintenance on a reactive basis to repair defects within existing revenue budget allocation.

Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Continues service delivery for defects	Continued long term asset deterioration	Adopting risk based approach to managing the asset
	Growing demands for capital investment	Resource condition survey of asset to gain information on asset inventory, condition Etc.
	Rising number of public liability claims	Development of long term maintenance strategy for the asset group
	Reactive maintenance is expensive and poor value	Resource development of a prioritised list of planned works

**Option 2** Increased investment in planned revenue maintenance activities

Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Investment tackles worst asset deterioration	limited asset information and condition data	Implementing SCOTS asset management recommended practices
Planned works deliver better value	resources required to identify and quantify works	Current use, Is it needed? Can it be removed?
Reduced demand for reactive works	Level of works limited within available revenue budget allocation	Resource development of a prioritised list of planned works
Less complaints		Development of long term maintenance strategy for asset group

**Option 3** Develop a business case for investment through capital budget for replacement of obsolete, damaged and deteriorated assets particularly vehicle safety barriers. Align the business case to a suitable long term maintenance strategy for the asset group.

Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Investment tackles deterioration and gradually improves whole asset	limited asset information and condition data	Development of long term maintenance strategy for asset group
Demonstrates prudent stewardship of assets	resources required to identify scope of works	Investment in mobile technology to capture asset data
Supports corporate objectives	Requires increased levels investment	Implementing SCOTS asset management recommended practices

**Option 4** Business case development for capital investment in conjunction with other asset groups that aligns with the Road Asset management Plan (RAMP) and council priorities.

Benefits	Drawbacks	Considerations
Whole asset approach to maintenance	requires substantial capital investment	Use of SCOTS asset management tools
	Requires significant improvement in asset data	Investment in mobile technology
		Development of appropriate maintenance strategy

## 7.0 Climate Change and a Resilient Network

Climate change is global but can be evidenced locally through more frequent severe weather events and greater annual rainfall. This requires action to ensure drainage assets are functioning properly and have the capacity to deal with these more regular events. Water is road infrastructures greatest enemy and is capable of destroying structures and transportation links very quickly. Good drainage management is vital to protect valuable assets from the effects of water. The illustrations below shows the affect standing water has on a newly resurfaced road over a relatively short time as vehicles effectively pump the water into the surface accelerating the deterioration process. Insufficient investment in drainage assets impacts the outcome and benefits realised from the original resurfacing works undermining the substantial investment made and incurring further avoidable expense in repair of the defect.



### 7.1 Investment

Drainage Budget allocation and Spend								
	2016-17		2017-18		2018-19		2019-20	
Activity	Budget	Spend	Budget	Spend	Budget	spend	Budget	spend
Drainage/Culverts	£233,000	£330,601	£253,000	£274,815	£251,700	£274,881	£218,500	£370,701
Drainage/Ditches	£304,600	£369,100	£292,000	£420,593	£285,700	£278,830	£244,998	£328,501
Gully Emptying	£245,000	£261,438	£227,000	£258,174	£221,400	£230,319	£288,000	£268,993
Totals	£782,600	£961,139	£772,000	£953,582	£758,800	£784,030	£751,498	£968,195

### 7.2 Condition

The 2015 ASOR provided details of a sample drainage survey with results based on the SCOTS condition index. This survey identified 53.9% of ditches on B,C & U Class roads required attention .

### 7.3 Maintenance Backlog

The ASOR 2015 provided a maintenance backlog figure for carriageway ditching based on results of the sample survey. This clearly illustrated need for investment in road drainage management. Efforts are required to maximise the effectiveness of available investment for cleaning or servicing assets and capturing data on maintenance records to demonstrate prudent stewardship of assets. Reliable and robust data will properly inform the most efficient and effective future management of drainage assets. This can enable a more data driven approach to be developed and the optimum value maintenance programme delivered that aligns with asset needs and customer expectations.

Carriageway Ditching Maintenance Backlog								Comments	
(A Class condition estimated as 15% Good 15% Fair, 30% Poor, 30% Very Poor)									
Ditch Condition	Road Classification				Total Length (Km)	Service Cost (£/Lin.m)	Estimated Cost		
	A Class (Est Condition)	B Class	C Class	U Class					
Good	50.7	84.9	34.3	87	256.9	£2.50	£642,250	Cost does not include for Scrub Clearance	
Fair	50.7	121.3	62.9	48.7	283.6	£3.00	£850,800		
Poor	101.5	133.4	41.9	83.6	360.4	£3.75	£1,351,500		
Very Poor	101.5	64.7	51.5	128.8	346.5	£4.50	£1,559,250		
Estimated Total Cost							<u>£4,403,800</u>		

#### 7.4 SCOTS Asset Management Project Case Study — Stirling Council Gully Cleaning

The SCOTS project presented a case study on Stirling Council approach to gully cleaning. This provided valuable insight on the issues, solutions and lessons learnt from implementing a revised approach to gully cleaning. The investment made in this data driven approach has proved a great success for Stirling Council with a much improved service delivering better value combined with significant savings on reactive flooding callouts and public complaints. An outline of their approach is detailed below. Argyll shares similar issues with gully maintenance and servicing and may wish to consider appropriate investment implementing a comparable data driven approach.

##### Context

- Over 1,000 km network with 18,400 recorded gullies.
- Two complete cycles per year
- Paper based reporting system.

##### Desire

- To move to a targeted cleansing cycle
- Greater visibility
- Improve the service


##### Risks

- Compliance with the Flood Risk Management Act (Scotland) 2009
- Paperwork missing or illegible
- Asset information quality, Location, Condition data, Trend data, Work records , Budget reductions, Operating costs and complaints

##### Solution

- Gully Management Software
- Contractor captured; Gully type, Location, Condition, Construction, Faults, Silt level and Photograph.






## Implementation

Stirling Council utilised existing external gully cleaning contract to implement the new approach.

- An additional charge was levied for each gully for the contractor to capture the required data using supplied tablets.
- It highlighted that gullies had not previously been effectively cleaned
- Tipping volumes increased dramatically
- Previously gullies were being missed as register showed less gullies than actual.



### Outcomes from Data Driven Approach

- Inventory quality improved with 100% gully locations now known.
- Condition and maintenance history data captured.
- Updated cleaning cycles (Winter November– March all gullies cleaned, Summer gullies cleaned in line with silt record from winter clean)
- Reduction in complaints
- Improvement in contractor confidence and trust
- Better value rates when contract re tendered.

### Future Possible Development

- Fitting of gully sensors to detect silt and water levels at known problematic locations
- Full implementation of asset management system.

The Stirling example clearly illustrates the benefits of investing in a data driven asset management and maintenance approach. It has supported continuous learning and grown extensive knowledge which can be utilised effectively to gain the most from available resources. Implementation of this data driven approach has facilitated a much more effective and improved service delivery. Argyll and Bute Council are in the process of procuring a route optimisation system which is likely to provide a number of benefits for cyclic activities such as gully cleansing.

## 7.5 Investment Options

Below are a number of initial revenue budget investment options for consideration. These scenarios have been compiled as part of the SCOTS Roads Asset Management Project and will all require further investigation, research and development to progress more detailed information on which option is best suited to support council objectives within the confines of available resources. Investment options should be linked to development of a long term maintenance strategy for the asset.

<b>Option 1</b> Reduce existing budget allocation for drainage maintenance		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Provides budget savings	Increase risk to assets from storm events	Improved data capture to inform decision making
	Reduction in expected service life of road asset	Review of existing drainage maintenance
	Does not support Flood Prevention Act Scotland (2009)	Explore options for implementing a more data driven approach
	Increased reactive flooding costs and complaints	

<b>Option 2</b> Maintain existing budget allocation for drainage maintenance		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Protects drainage budget allocation	Does not provide adequate protection for assets	Improved data capture to inform decision making
Continues to offer same level of asset protection	Lack of data to make informed choices	Review of existing drainage maintenance regime
mitigates some risk from weather events	Existing maintenance is generally driven by reactive needs rather pre-planned programmes	Explore options for implementing a more data driven approach
Contributes to Flood prevention Act	Unable to clearly demonstrate prudent stewardship	Focus on improved record keeping to provide demonstrable service standards and identify areas for improvement

<b>Option 3</b> Moderately increased investment in drainage maintenance based on measurable outputs aimed at improved service delivery		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Provides welcome investment	Resources to develop work programmes and measurable outputs from captured data.	Investment in mobile data capture devices
reduces risk from weather events	Potential technical difficulties for data capture	Development of 3 - 5 year work programmes
supports the Flood Prevention Act	Requires leadership focus on achieving goals	Review of existing drainage maintenance regime
commences a data driven approach	Breaking vicious reactive maintenance cycle	Development of a data management plan

<b>Option 4</b> Substantial investment in drainage maintenance based on implementing data driven approach through improved data capture in terms of inventory, condition, maintenance records Etc.		
<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Drawbacks</b>	<b>Considerations</b>
Enables improved service delivery	Requires leadership commitment to deliver	Exploring mobile technology options
Enables informed decision making	Procurement of mobile technology devices	Review options for a progressive staged implementation
Mitigates risks and delivers objectives in Flood Prevention Act	Initial resource to focus on revised delivery model and achieving change.	Development of data management plan
Enables a fully optimised drainage maintenance regime to achieve best value	Requires additional finance and resource	Development of target service standards
Drainage improvements would contribute substantially to improving network resilience and arresting deterioration whilst extending asset service life.	Likely to require initial capital investment over 3 years to bring assets up to standard and develop an asset management approach to drive continuous improvement.	Review of existing verge maintenance regime to enable support for delivery of improved drainage maintenance.