

Argyll and Bute Council

Housing Need & Demand Assessment

Research Report October 2019

Prepared by:

Research Resource 17b Main Street Cambuslang G72 7EX

Prepared for:

Argyll and Bute Council Dolphin Hall Manse Avenue Dunoon Argyll PA23 8DQ

Contact: Lorna Shaw

Contact: Allan Brandie

 Tel:
 0141 641 6410
 Tel:
 01369 708679

 E-mail:
 E-mail:

 Lorna.shaw@researchresource.co.uk
 allan.brandie@argyll-bute.gov.uk

Argyll and Bute Council

Survey of Argyll and Bute Residents

Cont	tents	
1. IN	ITRODUCTION, BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES	4
1.1	Background	4
1.2	Methodology and Sample Size	4
1.3	Understanding Sampling Error	5
1.4	Data coding and input	6
1.5	Weighting survey data	6
1.6	Reporting and Interpretation	8
2. HO		9
2.1	Household composition	9
2.2	Age and gender	11
2.3	Economic activity	12
2.4	Ethnicity	13
3. C	URRENT HOME	
3.1	Renting and owning	14
3.2	Renting arrangements	16
3.3	Property age	19
3.4	Property aspects	
3.5	Plans for extending current property	
3.6	Satisfaction with home	23
3.7	Heating your home	
	EPAIRS & PROPERTY CONDITION	
4.1	Outstanding maintenance/ repairs	
4.2	Upgrades and improvements	
4.3	Condition related issues	
4.4	Ability to carry out repairs	
	ECENT MOVING BEHAVIOUR	
5.1	Profile of household when first moved in	
5.2	Length of time at current address	
5.3	Moving behaviour in the last five years	
5.4	Reason for moving to current home	
5.5	Current neighbourhood	
	JTURE HOUSEHOLD FORMATION	
6.1	Future household formation	
6.2	Future household aspirations	
6.3	Barriers to forming new households	
	JTURE INTENTIONS OF CURRENT HOUSEHOLDS	
7.1	Current household moving intentions	
7.2	Future housing options	
7.3	Reasons for moving	
7.4	Housing aspirations	
7.5	Expenditure	52

7.6	Likelihood of moving	53
7.7	Do not want or need to move	58
8. INC	DIVIDUAL NEEDS	61
8.1	Health conditions	61
8.2	Current needs	64
8.3	Care and support services	67
9. HO		71
9.1	Household income	71
9.2	Housing payments	72
9.3	Housing circumstances	74
9.4	Need for affordable housing	75
9.5	Additional comments	76
10. AR	EA ANALYSIS	77
10.1	Household composition	77
10.2	Age and gender profile	78
10.3	Economic activity	80
10.4	Current home	81
10.5	Repairs and property condition	86
10.6	Recent moving behaviour	
10.7	Future household formation	94
10.8	Future intentions of current households	99
10.9	Individual needs	105
10.10) Household income	116
Appen	dix 1: Survey Questionnaire	122
Appen	dix 2: Further Analysis by Area	123
Appen	dix 3: Technical Report Sheet	123

1. INTRODUCTION, BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

1.1 Background

This report presents the findings of a survey of residents of Argyll and Bute on their housing need and demand. The survey was undertaken by Research Resource from July to September 2019 in order to collate data which will allow Argyll and Bute Council to update their assessment of housing need.

The purpose of this project was to identify housing need and demand within eight subareas (housing market areas) of Argyll and Bute. These sub-areas include Bute, Coll & Tiree, Cowal, Islay, Jura and Colonsay, Kintyre, Mid Argyll, Mull & Iona and Lorn. Argyll and Bute Council commissioned Research Resource to carry out this survey to provide sufficient disaggregated data to inform a robust and credible Housing Need and Development Assessment for the authority.

1.2 Methodology and Sample Size

A total of 2,651 interviews were carried out with a sample of Argyll and Bute residents. Argyll and Bute has a population of 34,477 households, excluding second and holiday homes. Helensburgh and Lomond was excluded from this survey as a separate survey was carried out in this area in 2018. A sample of 2,651 households therefore provides data accurate to +/-1.8% (based upon a 95% confidence level at the 50% estimate).

In order to allow analysis within sub housing market areas, with confidence, a confidence level of approximately +/-5% was achieved within each Argyll and Bute sub-area, with the exception of Coll & Tiree where a confidence level of +/-6% was achieved. As Coll & Tiree has a very small population, a high proportion of interviews were required to achieve a data accuracy level of +/-5% which unfortunately was not possible. However, this study provides robust data at the overall Argyll and Bute level to +/-2%.

Housing Market Area	Household population (from CTR excl second and holiday homes)	No of interviews	Data Accuracy (+/-%)
Bute	4352	348	5.04%
Cowal	8102	367	5.00%
Kintyre	4096	345	5.05%
Lorn	8197	374	4.95%
Mid Argyll	5278	355	5.02%
Islay, Jura and Colonsay	1930	363	4.64%
Mull & Iona	1837	306	5.12%
Coll & Tiree	685	193	5.98%
Total	34,477	2,651	1.83%

Surveys were undertaken using a combination of face-to-face interviews and telephone interviews. Telephone interviews were primarily used on the islands while face-to-face interviews were carried out on the mainland. The surveys were carried out using a phased approach, covering area by area.

Households were selected for interview, at random, from the Council Tax Register. Furthermore, the survey was promoted by Argyll and Bute Council through a press release and residents were given the opportunity to contact Research Resource and request to be included in the survey.

Given the requirement to update the data held on housing need, the questionnaire followed the same methodology and core questions used in previous similar projects undertaken in Helensburgh and Lomond in 2018 and Mull & Iona in 2013. These questionnaires were reviewed and slightly updated to reflect current circumstances and the fact that the study was now concerned with eight sub-areas of Argyll and Bute. A copy of the final questionnaire used is available in Appendix 1.

1.3 Understanding Sampling Error

As stated, 2,651 interviews provides data accurate to +/-2% at the overall Argyll and Bute level. At the sub area level, data is accurate to +/-5% (and +/-6% for Mull and Iona).

The level of accuracy cited above is known as the 'sampling error'. The results of a survey based on a sample will not necessarily coincide with the exact results that would have been obtained by a full scale study of the total population. Some error (known as sampling error) may have arisen simply because not everyone will have been included in the sample.

The aim of calculating sampling errors is to indicate the confidence which you can have in a particular result. Thus, if we find that 50% of the sample behave in a certain way, the key question is the extent to which this percentage may differ from the true population proportion simply because our results are based only on a sample. The sampling error allows you to say, for example, that the true range is likely to fall within the range of, for example 45% and 55%. This is expressed in the form $\pm 5\%$. We always work on a 50% estimate (i.e. assuming that 50% of respondents answer in a particular way) as this essentially represents the worst case scenario in terms of the estimates. The table below indicates the difference in terms of varying estimates for different sample sizes.

Sample size Estimate										
(n=100%)	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%
	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	
	95%	90%	85%	80%	75%	70%	65%	60%	55%	
	perce	percentage points (+ / -)								
100	5.1	5.1 7.1 8.4 9.4 10.2 10.8 11.2 11.5 11.7 11.8								
200	3.6	5.0	5.9	6.7	7.2	7.6	7.9	8.1	8.3	8.3
300	3.0	4.1	4.8	5.4	5.9	6.2	6.5	6.7	6.8	6.8

1.4 Data coding and input

Research Resource operates to stringent quality control procedures and are accredited to ISO20252 and are MRS Company Partners. Upon completion, all questionnaires were edited to ensure that they have been answered in a way that is consistent with the questionnaire design.

Once quality control was complete, questionnaires were all entered into a specially devised data entry programme set up utilising SNAP survey software. The programme included route, range and logic checks at the time of data entry to ensure that the data was valid.

As a further quality check, 10% of each data entry person's work was verified for quality control purposes. This is done by undertaking 'double data entry'. Where any problems are highlighted, i.e. where the error rate exceeds 10%, that individual's work shall be 100% verified/ re-worked. If necessary, appropriate retraining shall be given to that operator.

A second-stage cleaning process was conducted after all the data had been entered. This involved examining frequency counts for all variables and checking extreme values. Where any erroneous data is highlighted this is double checked and either amended if incorrectly entered or verified as being correct.

1.5 Weighting survey data

Once all data was input and quality checked, data was weighted prior to undertaking analysis. Weighting is undertaken due to the fact that the survey data is based upon a sample as opposed to a Census of the population. Sampling is used to make inferences about a population from a relatively small number of observations that are assumed to be representative of the population. Sampling works because:

- It is not necessary to collect data from all people in order to generate statistics about that population.
- After a certain sample size, there is no need to collect more data. The extra data does not improve the accuracy of the estimate to any great extent.

The weights used for the Argyll and Bute Housing Needs and Demand Survey were agreed with the Council, subsequent to data validity checks comparing the profile of survey respondents against the projected population estimates.

Weighting was undertaken to account for a disproportionate approach to sampling within each housing market area, and also go 'gross up' the survey estimates in a way that allows us to project the level of housing need at the Argyll and Bute level. We address this by applying weights which will adjust the balance of the survey data to published numbers of households for each housing market area.

In addition to the known disproportionate sampling approach by Housing Market Area, our analysis of the respondent profile compared to the profile of population illustrated that private rented households and social rented households were slightly under weighted in relation to the population and that owner-occupiers were slightly over represented.

The table below shows the distribution of respondents by tenure compared to the overall Argyll and Bute population.

Tenure	Population	% of population	No of interviews	% of interviews	Difference
Owner-occupier	24211	70.2%	1945	73.6%	3.4%
Private rented sector	3373	9.8%	223	8.4%	-1.3%
Registered social landlord	6893	20.0%	474	17.9%	-2.1%
Total	34477	100.0%	2642	100.0%	0.0%

We therefore weighted on the basis of tenure also to address this imbalance, at the same time as grossing up the responses to represent the full population of Argyll and Bute. While 2,651 interviews were carried out, tenure data was not available for 9 of these households meaning they could not be included in the weighted analysis. Throughout this report the total unweighted base for all households is therefore 2,642. The table below shows the number of interviews per area and tenure, along with the Argyll and Bute population and then the weight that was applied to each of these factors.

Area and Tenure	Tenure	Interviews	Weighting
Bute Owner Occ	2861	231	12.38528
Bute PRS	432	32	13.50000
Bute SL	1059	85	12.45882
Coll & Tiree Owner Occ	636	167	3.80838
Coll & Tiree PRS	26	13	2.00000
Coll & Tiree RSL	23	12	1.91667
Cowal Owner Occ	5817	281	20.70107
Cowal PRS	773	22	35.13636
Cowal RSL	1512	62	24.38710
Islay, Jura, Colonsay Owner Occ	1298	274	4.73723
Islay, Jura, Colonsay PRS	166	33	5.03030
Islay, Jura, Colonsay RSL	466	56	8.32143
Kintyre Owner Occ	2697	225	11.98667
Kintyre PRS	320	23	13.91304
Kintyre RSL	1079	96	11.23958
Mid Argyll Owner Occ	3741	254	14.72835
Mid Argyll PRS	554	34	16.29412
Mid Argyll RSL	983	65	15.12308
Mull & Iona Owner Occ	1437	251	5.72510
Mull & Iona PRS	198	22	9.00000
Mull & Iona RSL	202	32	6.31250
Lorn Owner Occ	5724	262	21.84733
Lorn PRS	904	44	20.54545
Lorn RSL	1569	66	23.77273

In a weighted data set each individual is assigned a weighting factor. In an unweighted table, each respondent or case is represented as a count of the base. Once you weight the data, by applying weighting factors, some respondents will now be counted as a value slightly more than one, and others slightly less than one. Those groups that were previously under represented, will have their weighed base increased because their weighting factors will be more than one. Conversely respondents belonging to groups that were over represented will see their weighted base go down, because their weighting factors will be less than one.

1.6 Reporting and Interpretation

In reading this report, a number of points should be noted that the findings are based upon a sample of residents, rather than the whole population of Argyll and Bute being interviewed, therefore, all results are subject to sampling tolerances and not all differences will be statistically significant.


When reporting the data in this document, in general, percentages in tables have been rounded to the nearest whole number. Responses greater than 0% but less than 0.5% are shown as 0% and responses between 0.5% and less than 1% are rounded to 1%. Columns may not add to 100% because of rounding or where multiple responses to a question are possible.

The total number of respondents to each question is shown either as 'Base' or 'n=xxx' in the tables or charts. Both weighted and unweighted bases are shown. Where the base or 'n' is less than the total number of respondents, this is because respondents may be 'routed' past some questions if they are not applicable. The percentages reported are weighted percentages.

2. HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION


2.1 Household composition

The most common number of people to reside in the household was two people (44%) followed by single adults (27%) and three people in the household (16%). 14% of respondents lived in a household comprising of four or more people. There were no surveyed households with more than six people.




The most common household composition of Argyll and Bute residents was 2 adults in the household with at least one aged 65 and over (23%) followed by 2 adults both over the age of 65 (18%) and single adults aged 65 and over (17%). One and two parent families made up one fifth (20%) of households.

3+ adults refers to individuals who are over the age of 16 and are no longer in school. A very small number of households refused to provide their age and therefore could not be assigned a household composition. A further small proportion of households make up a household composition that is not recorded in this analysis. These three categories have been grouped together and make up 13% of households in Argyll and Bute.




2.2 Age and gender

Respondents were asked to provide the age and gender of all residents within the household. Just over half (54%) of residents were female while 46% were male. The age profile of Argyll and Bute residents reveals 17% of residents are aged 17 and under, while just over half (54%) are aged 18-64 and 29% are aged 65 and over.




Females (54%) were more likely to be the head of household than males (46%). The head of the household was most likely to be aged 35-54 (28%) while just over one quarter (26%) were aged 65-74 (26%) and one fifth (20%) were aged 55-64.




2.3 Economic activity

More than 4 in 10 respondents (44%) work more than 30 hours per week, 31% of respondents were fully retired and 14% were in school.



Residents who are in employment or are completing a government training scheme or modern apprenticeship are considered economically active. Residents who are unemployed, retired, registered as disabled or long-term sick, are a carer or student are considered to be economically inactive. As can be seen in the chart below, economic activity significantly declines for the head of household from age 55 and over.



2.4 Ethnicity


Just over 8 in 10 respondents (81%) were of Scottish ethnicity while 17% considered themselves other British.

Q8 Which of the following groups do you consider you belong to	
	All respondents
Unweighted base	2642
Weighted base	34477
Scottish	80.9%
Other British	16.5%
Irish	0.1%
Polish	1.6%
Other white ethnic group	0.7%
Chinese, Chinese Scottish or Chinese British	0.1%
Arab, Arab Scottish or Arab British	0.1%
Any other background	0.1%


3. CURRENT HOME

3.1 Renting and owning


Overall, Argyll and Bute residents were more than twice as likely to be owner-occupiers (70%) than to rent accommodation (30%).




Narrowing the scope of analysis to renters only, more than two thirds of respondents (68%) who rent do so from a housing association or charitable trust. 18% rent unfurnished accommodation from a private landlord while 13% rent furnished accommodation from a private landlord. 2% of renters live in tied accommodation arrangements with their employers.




As can be seen from the chart below, respondents over the age of 55 are more likely to rent from a housing association or charitable trust than those under the age of 55. There is also a correlation between age and renting a furnished flat from a private landlord. As age increases, the likelihood of a respondent renting in this way gradually declines.



Analysis of owner-occupiers only reveals just over one half (54%) of owner-occupiers own their property outright while 46% have a loan or mortgage on their property.




Respondents aged 55 and over are significantly more likely to own their property outright while respondents under the age of 54 are significantly more likely to have a loan or mortgage on their property.




3.2 Renting arrangements


The majority of private renters (91%) have a long-term (+6 months) let.



Just under half of Argyll and Bute residents (48%) live in a detached or semi-detached house, 14% live in a purpose-built flat and 12% live in a mid-terrace house.



Owner-occupiers were most likely to live in a detached house (31%), while residents who rent from the private sector (44%) or from a registered social landlord (37%) were most likely to live in a flat.




Respondents who live in a flat or maisonette were asked what floor their home is on. 56% of these respondents live on the first or second floor, 33% live in basement or ground floor property and 10% live on the third to fifth floor. 1% of respondents live on the sixth to ninth floor.

When asked how many stories there are in the building, 7 in 10 respondents (70%) live in a building with two to three floors, 21% in a building with four to nine floors and 9% of respondents said they did not know.

3.3 Property age

All respondents were then asked when their home was built. Just over 4 in 10 respondents (42%) said they did not know when their property was built. 30% said their property was built before 1964, 16% from 1965 to 1990 and 12% said their property was built after 1991.




3.4 Property aspects

The majority of respondents (94%) do not share any rooms in their household with any other person. 4% of respondents said they share their kitchen, 1% share their bathroom and 1% said they did not know.


With regards to public rooms in the household e.g. a dining room, living room or lounge, 74% respondents said they have one public room, 21% have two and 5% have three or more.

With regards to the number of bedrooms, 16% of respondents live in a home with 1 or less bedrooms, 4 in 10 respondents (40%) live in a property with two bedrooms, one third (33%) have three bedrooms and 11% have four or more bedrooms in their home.




The majority of respondents (88%) felt they had just about the right number of bedrooms in their home, 9% said they had too many, 2% said they had too few and 1% said they did not know.


Residents living in a bungalow (14%), detached house (13%) and semi-detached house (12%) were significantly more likely to say they had too many bedrooms than residents living in a flat (3%). Residents living in a terrace house (5%) were most likely to say they had too few bedrooms.



Residents aged 75 and over (22%) were significantly more likely to say they had too many bedrooms than younger residents aged 25-34 (2%) and 35-54 (2%). Residents aged 25-34 (4%) and 35-54 (4%) were the most likely to say they had too few bedrooms.




Single adults aged 65 and over (22%) were the most likely to say they had too many bedrooms while one parent (6%) and two parents (7%) families were the most likely to say they had too few bedrooms.




3+adults/ other/ refused W=4374 UW=316

The proportion of residents stating they have too few bedrooms increases from 0% for households with only one person to 53% for those with 6 people in the household.



Respondents who felt they had too few bedrooms in their home were asked why they required additional bedrooms. The most common reason provided was due to children sharing a bedroom (56%) followed by needing additional bedrooms for family visits or visitors (16%) and for more space (15%).




3.5 Plans for extending current property

Respondents who felt they had too few bedrooms in their property were then asked if they had any plans to extend their current property to add additional rooms or space with more than 1 in 10 (15%) stating yes, they do.

Of these responses, the most common extension provided by respondents was a loft conversion (49%) followed by additional bedrooms (35%) and the addition of a conservatory (12%).

3.6 Satisfaction with home

More than 8 in 10 respondents (83%) were very satisfied with their home while 13% were fairly satisfied, 2% neither satisfied nor dissatisfied and 2% were fairly or very dissatisfied.



Analysis by tenure reveals almost all owner-occupiers (98%) were satisfied with their home, compared to 96% who rent from a registered social landlord and 88% who rent within the private sector.

Respondents who were not satisfied with their home were asked why they felt this way. The most common reasons provided by respondents were (please note that respondents gave multiple responses to this question):


- Home is too difficult to heat (43%)
- Home is in poor condition (27%)
- Home needs modernising (26%)
- Home is too small (26%)
- Home is too big (20%)

Q23 Why do you say you are dissatisfied?	
	All who are dissatisfied
Unweighted	54
Weighted	530
Home is difficult to heat	42.5%
Home in poor condition	26.9%
Home needs modernising	26.0%
Home too small	26.0%
Home too big	19.5%
Too expensive	15.0%
Lack of aids/adaptations/special facilities	12.6%
Other	6.8%
Harassment from neighbours	6.6%
Dislike type of housing	6.6%
Dislike area	5.2%
No garden/need larger garden	4.6%
On wrong floor	2.6%
Problems with neighbours	2.5%
Not near facilities	1.6%


3.7 Heating your home

All respondents were then asked if they spend more than 10% of their income on heating their home. More than 1 in 10 respondents (12%) said yes they do spend more than 10% of their income heating their home, 73% said no they don't and 15% said they did not know.

Residents who spend more than 10% of their income on heating their home were most likely to be aged 75 and over (20%) while residents aged 25-34 (5%) were the least likely.



Residents who spend more than 10% of their income on heating their home were most likely to live in a single adult household (aged 65 and over) (18%) followed by households comprising of 2 adults (at least one aged 65 and over) (17%).




refused W=4374 UW=316


4. **REPAIRS & PROPERTY CONDITION**

4.1 Outstanding maintenance/ repairs


Just over 1 in 10 respondents (11%) stated that they have outstanding maintenance or repair jobs that need done in their home.




Residents who rent within the private sector (17%) were significantly more likely to have outstanding maintenance or repairs than owner-occupiers (11%) or those who rent from a registered social landlord (10%).




There is no apparent correlation between age of the head of household and outstanding maintenance or repairs. Respondents aged 25-34 (15%) were most likely to have outstanding maintenance and repairs on their property while respondents aged 55-64 (8%) were the least likely.



The most common property age with outstanding maintenance or repairs was properties built between 1975 to 1982 (21%) followed by properties built before 1919 (20%) and built between 1983 to 1990 (20%).



Residents with outstanding maintenance and repairs were most likely to live in a bungalow (15%) followed by a detached house (13%) and semi-detached house (13%).



4.2 Upgrades and improvements

Respondents were then asked to choose from a list of upgrades and improvements they may require in their property. Just under 9 in 10 respondents (89%) said they already had all of the items listed. Where respondents did choose an upgrade or improvement required, the most common chosen were:

- Double glazing (46%)
- Full central heating (37%)
- Cavity wall insulation (if there is a cavity) (16%)

Q27 Looking at this card, does your property require any of the following upgrades or improvements?				
	All who require an upgrade or improvement			
Unweighted	387			
Weighted	3704			
Double glazing	46.2%			
Full central heating	37.3%			
Cavity wall insulation (if there is a cavity)	15.6%			
Loft insulation (if there is a loft)	13.0%			
Satisfactory thermal insulation	11.0%			
Safe Electrical Wiring	8.2%			
An effective system for the drainage and disposal of foul and surface water	4.5%			
A bath or shower	3.6%			
Satisfactory heating, lighting and ventilation	3.4%			
Fire/smoke alarms	1.0%			
Hot and cold water supply to the wash hand basin	0.6%			
Hot and cold water supply to the bath or shower	0.3%			
Satisfactory access to the building	0.3%			
Supply of electricity	0.2%			

Residents living in a bungalow (84%) were the least likely to say they had all of the above upgrades and improvements while those living in a flat (92%) and terrace house (92%) were most likely.


Properties built before 1919 (77%) were the least likely to have all of the above upgrades and improvements with 14% of these properties requiring double glazing and 9% requiring full central heating.

4.3 Condition related issues

All respondents were asked if their property had any condition related issues. 11% of all respondents believed that their property did have a condition related issue. Of those with a condition related issue, the most commonly noted issues were condensation (63%) and significant dampness (55%).


Q28 Does your property have any of the following condition-related issues?				
	All who have a condition-related issue			
Unweighted	260			
Weighted	2769			
Has significant dampness (e.g. rising or penetrating dampness)	54.8%			
Has condensation	63.1%			
Requires major structural repairs	12.3%			
Has non mains water supply	1.8%			
Lacks a bathroom	-			
Lacks a kitchen	0.1%			
Lacks an inside toilet	0.2%			

Younger residents aged 18-24 (19%) and 25-34 (13%) were the most likely to live in a household with a condition-related issue.




Bose: 18-24 W=421 UW=26, 25-34 W=3368 UW=216, 35-54 W=9798 UW=674, 55-64 W=6914 UW=539, 65-74 W=8920 UW=734, 75+ W=4896 UW=431, Refused W=161 UW=22

More than one in ten residents who rent within the private sector (15%) or from a registered social landlord (14%) live in a property with a condition-related issue. Residents who rent their property are more than twice as likely to live in a property with a condition-related issue than owner-occupiers (6%).



One in ten residents living in a bungalow (10%) or flat (10%) have a condition-related issue in their property. Residents living in a semi-detached house (6%) were the least likely to have a condition-related issue with their property.



4.4 Ability to carry out repairs

Just under one third of respondents (32%) said they were unable to carry out repairs needed on their home.

Those who were not able to carry out the repairs required were asked why they were unable. The main reasons given were the fact that repairs were the responsibility of the landlord (71%), that they cannot physically manage (15%) and a requirement to find a reliable builder or contractor (11%).

Q30 If you are unable to carry out repairs on your home,	is this because:
	All those unable to carry out repairs
Unweighted	867
Weighted	11089
Repairs are responsibility of landlord/ Council/Housing Association	70.8%
You cannot physically manage	14.5%
Require to find a reliable builder/other contractor	10.5%
Not stated	5.9%
Need DIY skills	3.1%
Repair problems are too severe	2.5%
You cannot afford to	2.3%
Unable to get a grant/assistance from the Council	0.8%
Availability of tradespersons/ difficult to find	0.7%
Require independent advice on what is needed	0.5%
Repairs are communal and can't get agreement to have them done	0.3%
Family members do repairs for me	0.3%
Don't know who to ask to repair things	0.2%
No time	0.1%

Analysis by tenure reveals the majority of residents renting from a registered social landlord (96%) or rent within the private sector (92%) said they were unable to carry out repairs in their home because repairs are the responsibility of their landlord/ Council or housing association. 5% of owner-occupiers also said they were unable to carry out repairs for this reason.


Analysis by age of head of household reveals more than one third (38%) of respondents aged 75 and over and 22% of respondents aged 65-74 said they were unable to carry out repairs on their home because they cannot physically manage. Inability to find a reliable builder or other contractor was of the most concern to residents aged 75 and over (27%).

5. RECENT MOVING BEHAVIOUR


5.1 Profile of household when first moved in

When respondents first moved into their home, 14% were one person households, 43% two person households and 43% were households of three or more.


Just over half of respondents (53%) said they found it very or fairly easy to afford their house, compared to 44% who said it was affordable and 3% who said it was fairly or very difficult to afford.



Owner-occupiers (55%) and those renting from a registered social landlord (52%) were significantly more likely to say their home was very or fairly easy to afford compared to those renting within the private sector (44%).




Residents who had lived at their property for between five to fifteen years (55%) and for more than fifteen years (55%) were significantly more likely to say their home was very or fairly easy to afford than residents who had lived at their property for less than five years (39%).




5.2 Length of time at current address

14% of respondents have lived at their current address for less than 5 years, compared to 44% who said between 5 and 15 years and 41% for more than 15 years.



Owner-occupiers (50%) were the most likely to have lived at their current address for more than fifteen years while those renting within the private sector (33%) were the most likely to have lived at their current address for less than five years.



5.3 Moving behaviour in the last five years

Those who had moved within the last 5 years were asked how many different addresses they have lived at in the last 5 years. The majority who had moved within the last 5 years had lived in just one other address (96%).

Residents living in Islay, Jura and Colonsay (84%) and Lorn (81%) were the most likely to have moved address within the same area. Kintyre residents (79%) were the most likely to have moved to their current address from elsewhere in Argyll and Bute while the area with the highest proportion of residents who moved to their current address from out with Argyll and Bute was Coll and Tiree (56%).

Area profile for all moves made in the last five years									
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull and Iona	Lorn	
Unweighted	34	21	72	38	38	27	38	62	
Weighted	438	63	1735	232	471	406	237	1365	
Same area	53.2%	46.0%	28.3%	83.6%	18.3%	29.3%	64.6%	81.2%	
Elsewhere in Argyll & Bute	2.7%	-	36.4%	-	79.0%	40.6%	8.9%	15.8%	
Out with Argyll & Bute	43.8%	55.6%	26.3%	13.8%	3.0%	30.0%	26.6%	3.1%	
Don't know	-	-	8.8%	2.2%	-	-	-	-	

Analysis by tenure shows that owner occupiers were slightly less likely to have moved to more than one address with 98% having lived in just one address in the last 5 years, compared to 96% who are renting from an RSL and 93% renting from a private landlord.

Owner-occupiers (69%) were the most likely to stay within the same tenure, followed by those renting from a private landlord (57%) and those renting from an RSL (44%).

More than one in ten residents (16%) who were previously owner-occupiers are now renting within the private sector while 8% have changed tenure from owner-occupier to renting from an RSL.

Just under three in ten respondents (28%) who previously lived with their parents or other relatives now rent from an RSL.

Tenure profile for all moves made in the last five years			
	Current tenure		
Previous tenure	Owner-occupier	PRS	RSL
Unweighted	157	75	98
Weighted	2105	1241	1600
Owner-occupier	69.0%	16.4%	8.3%
RSL	6.9%	3.5%	44.1%
PRS	8.5%	56.5%	16.1%
Lived with parents/relatives	12.9%	19.3%	27.8%
Other	2.8%	4.3%	3.4%

The main reasons provided for moving from their previous address was because residents wanted their own home (16%) and wanted a larger property or garden (12%).

5.4 Reason for moving to current home

The main reasons given for moving to their current home were the size of properties available (43%), a good general location (38%) and the type of properties available (32%).

	All respondents
Unweighted	2642
Weighted	34477
Size of properties available	43.1%
Good general location	38.4%
Type of properties available	31.6%
Quiet/peaceful	29.2%
Always lived in this area/settled here	26.9%
Employment	26.2%
Good outlook/view	24.3%
Good value for money/property cost	20.7%
Safe area/low crime	17.9%
Nicely landscaped/ good open spaces	17.0%
Friends and family	15.9%
Permanent accommodation	7.3%
No choice/only place housing available	6.8%
Local services	5.6%
Good local schools	5.5%
Security of tenure	5.5%
Good facilities for children	4.7%
Other	4.5%
Good local leisure facilities	4.4%
Local shops	3.3%
Access to health/ social care services or facilities	2.5%
Had second/holiday home here	2.1%
Transport/accessibility	1.7%

Housing Association tenants were most likely to state that the reason they had moved into their current home was due to the type of properties available (31%) whereas the most common reason for those in private rented or rent free accommodation was 'employment' (43%).


For owner occupiers, the main reasons were the fact that the general location was good (43%) and also due to the size of properties available (45%).

Analysis by age revealed the following:

- The size of properties available was important for all age groups, however more so for residents aged 35 to 54 (49%) than those aged 75 and over (37%).
- Moving for employment was cited as a reason for moving by 43% of 18 to 24 year olds, more than any other age group.
- One quarter of residents aged 35 to 54 (25%) said they moved due to good value for money and property cost compared to 16% aged 75 and over.
- Just under one third of respondents (30%) aged 18 to 24 said they moved to their current home because they had no choice or it was the only housing available.

5.5 Current neighbourhood

Almost all respondents (98%) rated their current neighbourhood as a very or fairly good place to live, compared to 1% who said neither good nor bad and 1% who said fairly poor.



Owner-occupiers (91%) were significantly more likely to rate their current neighbourhood as very good than those renting from a PRS (78%).

Respondents who had rated their neighbourhood as a poor place to live were most likely to do so due to problems with neighbours (55%), issues of drug abuse in the area (22%) and poor local leisure facilities (19%). Please note that respondents gave multiple responses to this question.

Q36 Why do you say it is poor?			
	All who think neighbourhood is poor		
Unweighted	24		
Weighted	327		
Problem with neighbours	55.1%		
Drug abuse	22.3%		
Poor local leisure facilities	19.2%		
Young people hanging about/ nothing for young people to do	14.9%		
Poor local shops	11.8%		
Alcohol abuse	9.2%		
Don't feel part of the community	7.8%		
Poor outlook/view	7.5%		
Not stated	6.3%		
Other (Please State	5.5%		
Poor public transport	4.7%		
Area poorly maintained/run down	3.7%		
Too much traffic	2.5%		
Fast/speeding traffic	2.5%		
Poor local schools	1.8%		
Unsafe area/ crime	1.2%		
Vandalism	1.2%		

6. FUTURE HOUSEHOLD FORMATION

6.1 Future household formation

When asked if there was anyone currently living in the household that would like to move into separate accommodation within the next few years, 5% of respondents said yes they would like to move in the next 2 years while 5% said they would like to move in the next 2 to 7 years. 6% of respondents answered don't know to this question.

The individuals who were likely to move into separate accommodation were almost exclusively children of the respondent.

When asked how many different properties were they likely to need, 82% said they would need one property while 18% said two.


The majority of future households likely to form (91%) will consist of single adults under the age of 60 years old.

Q40 Please describe the households likely to form		
	All with someone likely to move out	
Unweighted	264	
Weighted	3984	
Single Adult Under 60yrs	91.3%	
Single Adult 60yrs+	0.6%	
Two Adult Under 60yrs	2.4%	
Two Adults (One Under 60yrs)	-	
3+ Adults All over 16yrs	0.5%	
1 Parent Family	1.6%	
2 Parent Family	0.7%	
Other	0.1%	
Don't Know	2.7%	

6.2 Future household aspirations

Analysis of household aspirations with regards to tenure reveals great disparities between preferred tenure and likely future tenure in the following ways:

- While more than 7 in 10 individuals (71%) likely to move would prefer to buy with a mortgage on the market, only 36% said this was likely to be their future tenure indicating the ability do so is not possible for nearly half of these individuals.
- More than 1 in 10 individuals would prefer to rent from a housing association or other registered social landlord, only 4% said this would be their likely future tenue.
- While only 5% said their preferred tenure would be renting from a private landlord, 25% said this was likely to be their future tenure.
- Becoming a lodger or renting a room in a property was cited as preferred tenure by 2% of respondents, yet more than five times this amount (11%) said it was likely to be their future tenure.




Further analysis into preferred tenure reveals residents who currently live in an owneroccupied property were the most likely to want to stay within the same tenure (87%). Residents currently living in an RSL property were split equally between owning their future property (45%) and renting from an RSL (45%). Residents currently living in a home that is privately rented were the least likely to prefer to remain within the same tenure (10%).

Tenure profile for future household formation in the next 2 years				
	Current household tenure			
Preferred tenure	Owner-occupier	PRS	RSL	
Unweighted	207	29	28	
Weighted	3037	481	466	
Own property	87.0%	37.6%	45.3%	
Rent from a private landlord	4.4%	10.0%	3.2%	
Rent from RSL/ Council/ Housing Association	3.6%	48.2%	44.6%	
Other	4.9%	4.2%	6.9%	

More than 1 in 10 individuals (12%) likely to move out in the next 2 years are currently on a waiting list.


Just over one fifth (21%) of individuals were unsure where they are likely to live while just under one third (32%) are likely to move out with Argyll in Bute to elsewhere in Scotland or the U.K.



Mull and Iona residents (61%) are the most likely to move within the same area while Lorn residents (9%) are the least likely. Bute (75%) and Coll & Tiree (71%) residents are the most likely to move out with Argyll and Bute.

Area profile for future household formation in the next 2 years								
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull and Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	8	10	16	29	37	48	23	93
Weighted	99	38	360	150	443	718	137	2040
Same area	25.3%	21.1%	15.6%	44.0%	11.5%	12.3%	61.3%	8.5%
Elsewhere in Argyll & Bute	-	-	46.1%	-	18.3%	18.8%	4.4%	40.8%
Out with Argyll & Bute	74.7%	71.1%	38.3%	31.3%	37.7%	30.9%	33.6%	32.6%
Don't know	-	10.5%	-	24.7%	32.5%	37.7%	-	18.0%

The main reason given for the desire to move was for employment related issues, either because there are no jobs available locally (7%) or for other employment reasons (22%). This was followed by moving to find affordable housing (20%).



6.3 Barriers to forming new households


When asked what might prevent the person(s) concerned from moving out of their current property, 21% said there was nothing that would prevent them moving.

Where a barrier was present, 50% said this was due to financial constraints while 23% said there was a lack of availability in their area of choice. 2% said they might be restricted as they require specialist accommodation or support that is not available.

7. FUTURE INTENTIONS OF CURRENT HOUSEHOLDS

7.1 Current household moving intentions

Just 8% of households surveyed stated that they would like to move in the next 2 years and a further 1% stated that they need to move.




The proportion of individuals who said they would like to move was significantly higher for those in the privately rented sector (28%) than it was for owner-occupiers (6%) and registered social landlord tenants (6%).

Residents in the privately rented sector (55%) were also significantly less likely to say that they did not want to move compared to owner-occupiers (90%) and registered social landlord tenants (90%).


Respondents who said they did not want to move in the next 2 years were asked how they felt about moving from their current home. The majority (91%) said they had no plans to move at all, while 1% said they are planning on moving in the next 2 to 7 years and a further 4% said they may possibly move but not for more than 7 years.

Analysis by tenure reveals RSL tenants were the least likely to say they are planning to move in the next 2 to 7 years (0%) and also the least likely to say they will possibly move but not for more than 7 years (1%). Residents in the privately rented sector were the most likely to say they were planning on moving in the next 2 to 7 years (8%) and also the most likely to say they will possibly move but not for more than 7 years (7%).



7.2 Future housing options

The most common housing option that respondents said they would like or need was to be an owner-occupier on the open market (61%) followed by renting from a Housing Association (26%).



7.3 Reasons for moving

The most common reasons provided for respondents wanting or needing to move were for a smaller home (30%), to reduce housing costs (24%) and to move to a different kind of environment (23%).

Q47 What are the main reasons why you would like OR need to move at present?		
	All who would like or need to move	
Unweighted	330	
Weighted	4723	
Smaller home	30.2%	
Reduce housing costs	23.6%	
Different kind of environment	23.3%	
Bigger home	16.8%	
Change of job	13.0%	
Retirement	12.4%	
More permanent accommodation?	11.7%	
Garden/larger garden	9.9%	
To be nearer family/friends	9.8%	
Better access to health or social care facilities	9.1%	
Illness/disability	8.8%	
Children left home	8.5%	
Better neighbourhood	7.3%	
Don't know/ not stated	6.7%	
Addition to family	5.4%	
Start/increase housing investment	4.9%	
Other housing reasons	4.1%	
New relationship/marriage	3.2%	
Other non-housing reasons	2.9%	
Death of member of family	2.7%	
Nearer current work place	2.0%	
Relationship breakdown/divorce/separation	1.9%	
Need some form of specialist accommodation e.g. Sheltered/supported accommodation	1.3%	
For better services/facilities	0.8%	

Analysis by tenure reveals significant variances with regards to the main reasons for moving in the following ways:


- A change of job was provided as a reason for moving by PRS tenants (25%) more so than owner-occupiers (8%) or RSL tenants (7%).
- Moving due to children leaving the home was of more concern to owner-occupiers (13%) than PRS tenants (1%).
- A bigger home was of the most concern to RSL tenants (28%) while a smaller home was of the most concern to owner-occupiers (45%).

- Just under three in ten (29%) RSL tenants who would like or need to move would do so to have a garden or larger garden than they currently have. This is compared to 8% of owner-occupiers and 7% of PRS tenants.
- Moving to reduce housing costs was the biggest concern for PRS tenants (39%), followed by owner-occupiers (19%) and RSL tenants (7%).
- Moving for more permanent accomodation was cited as a reason for moving by more than a third of PRS tenants (38%) which is significantly higher than owneroccupiers (1%) and RSL tenants (3%).

	Owner- occupier	PRS	RSL
Unweighted	205	82	43
Weighted	2810	1371	542
Change of job	8.2%	25.2%	6.9%
Nearer current work place	1.1%	3.0%	4.4%
Retirement	15.2%	7.5%	10.0%
Addition to family	6.3%	3.1%	6.4%
Children left home	12.5%	1.0%	6.5%
Death of member of family	4.4%	-	1.2%
New relationship/marriage	3.6%	3.0%	1.5%
Relationship breakdown/divorce/separation	0.7%	5.2%	-
To be nearer family/friends	10.2%	9.6%	8.9%
Bigger home	17.5%	10.9%	28.4%
Smaller home	44.6%	6.3%	16.3%
Better neighbourhood	3.9%	13.3%	9.4%
Garden/larger garden	7.7%	7.0%	29.0%
Illness/disability	10.7%	4.0%	10.8%
Different kind of environment	24.5%	23.9%	15.5%
Start/increase housing investment	4.8%	7.0%	-
Reduce housing costs	19.2%	38.9%	7.4%
For better services/facilities	0.8%	1.0%	-
Better access to health or social care facilities	10.8%	7.2%	4.6%
Need some form of specialist accommodation e.g. Sheltered/supported accommodation	1.7%	-	2.7%
More permanent accommodation?	0.6%	38.0%	2.7%
Other housing reasons	0.8%	9.6%	7.4%
Other non-housing reasons	3.2%	3.3%	-
Don't know/not stated	10.2%	-	5.5%

7.4 Housing aspirations

Just under one quarter of respondents (24%) said they would like or need to move from Argyll and Bute to elsewhere in Scotland or the U.K. The most popular areas to move to within Argyll and Bute are Bute (16%) and Lorn (10%). More than one in ten respondents who said they would like or need to move (11%) said they did not know where they would move to or did not provide an answer to this question.



Just under one quarter (24%) of owner-occupiers who said they would like or need to move said they would move to elsewhere in the U.K. Owner-occupiers were more than twice as likely to choose this option than PRS tenants (11%) and almost five times more likely than RSL tenants (5%).

The most popular areas for PRS tenants who would like or need to move were Bute (15%) and Lorn (15%).

Just under three in ten RSL tenants (29%) who would like or need to move would choose to move to Bute while one fifth (20%) would choose Mid Argyll.

Q48 Where would you like or need to move to by tenure			
	Owner- occupier	PRS	RSL
Unweighted	205	82	43
Weighted	2810	1371	542
Bute	14.0%	14.7%	28.9%
Coll & Tiree	1.0%	1.8%	0.4%
Cowal	2.3%	10.2%	9.0%
Helensburgh and Lomond	6.4%	7.9%	2.1%
Islay	2.7%	0.7%	6.1%
Jura & Colonsay	0.2%	1.8%	1.5%
Lorn	9.9%	14.7%	-
Kintyre (includes Gigha)	4.7%	5.1%	6.2%
Mid Argyll (includes Tarbert)	6.9%	8.3%	19.5%
Mull & Iona	3.0%	3.3%	5.8%
Local area within the HMA	3.4%	4.5%	-
Elsewhere in Scotland	5.6%	7.3%	9.1%
Elsewhere in the UK	23.6%	11.2%	4.9%
Abroad/ overseas	4.2%	-	-
Don't know/ not stated	12.3%	8.6%	6.4%

The most common reasons provided for moving to their chosen area was to be closer to family and friends (33%), followed by a greater variety of housing choices (30%), for more affordable housing (21%), for more employment opportunities (20%) and for a better quality of life (20%).

Q49 Please could you provide reasons as to why you would like or need to move there?		
	All who would like or need to move	
Unweighted	330	
Weighted	4723	
Closer to Family/Friends	32.8%	
Greater variety of housing choices	30.0%	
More Affordable Housing	21.0%	
More Employment Opportunities	20.1%	
Better Quality of Life	19.7%	
Access to health and social care facilities/ services	10.8%	
Other	10.0%	
Don't know/not stated	7.8%	
Move nearer to place of employment	4.1%	
Schooling	3.8%	

Analysis by tenure reveals the following:

- Moving for more affordable housing was of most concern for PRS tenants (40%). PRS tenants were more than three times as likely to cite affordable housing as a reason to move than owner-occupiers (13%) and more than twice as likely than RSL tenants (15%).
- More than one third of owner-occupiers (34%) who would like or need to move would do so for a greater varierty of housing choice, compared to 18% of RSL tenants.
- Employment opportunities were cited as a reason to move by 38% of PRS tenants which is significantly higher than owner-occupiers (13%) or RSL tenants (16%).
- Moving to achieve a better quality of life was of most concern for RSL tenants (30%) and of least concern to owner-occupiers (17%).

	Owner- occupier	PRS	RSL
Unweighted	205	82	43
Weighted	2810	1371	542
More Affordable Housing	12.8%	40.3%	15.0%
Greater variety of Housing Choices	33.5%	27.8%	17.5%
More Employment Opportunities	12.6%	37.5%	15.5%
Closer to Family/Friends	38.4%	25.7%	21.8%
Access to health and social care facilities/ services	14.2%	7.2%	2.8%
Move nearer to place of employment	3.8%	6.3%	-
Schooling	4.3%	2.7%	4.5%
Better Quality of Life	16.7%	21.5%	30.0%
Other	9.3%	5.7%	24.5%
Don't know/not stated	7.6%	8.7%	6.4%

When asked what type of property respondents would like or need to move to, more than one third (36%) said a detached house, 34% said a detached bungalow, 32% said a semi-detached bungalow. Please note respondents provided multiple responses to this question.

Q50 What type of property would you like or need to move to?		
	All who would like or need to move	
Unweighted	330	
Weighted	4723	
Detached House	35.6%	
Detached Bungalow	34.0%	
Semi-detached House	31.8%	
Semi-detached Bungalow	27.1%	
Don't know	19.0%	
Purpose-built Flat	18.7%	
End terrace House	16.8%	
Conversion Flat	15.2%	
Four in a block Flat	14.8%	
Mid-terrace House	14.2%	
Tower block/Slab Flat	6.4%	
Conversion Maisonette	5.3%	
Supported/ Specialist/ Sheltered accommodation	4.5%	
Purpose-built Maisonette	4.2%	
Other (Please State)	2.2%	
Chalet	0.8%	
Mobile home/caravan	0.7%	


7.5 Expenditure

Just under half (49%) of respondents either refused to answer or were unsure when asked how much they would be prepared to spend on rent or mortgage payments per month. Where an answer was provided, respondents were more likely to say between $\pounds300 - \pounds399$ a month (17%) followed by $\pounds400 - \pounds499$ a month (13%).

Q51 Approximately how much would you be prepared to spend on rent or mortgage per month?		
	All who would like or need to move	
Unweighted	330	
Weighted	4723	
Under £100	0.3%	
£100-£199	0.8%	
£200-£299	4.7%	
£300-£399	17.0%	
£400-£499	13.0%	
£500-£599	9.8%	
£600-£699	2.6%	
£700-£799	2.2%	
£800-£899	0.5%	
£900-£999	0.0%	
£1,000 or more	0.4%	
Don't know/not stated/Refused 48.6%		

7.6 Likelihood of moving

Those who would either like or need to move were asked how likely they were to move into somewhere else within the next 2 to 7 years. Respondents who need to move (79%) are much more likely to say that they will move compared to those who would like to move (65%).



Analysis by tenure revealed:

- PRS tenants (61%) were the most likely to say they were very or fairly likely to move within the next 2 to 7 years, followed by owner-occupiers (60%) and RSL tenants (57%).
- PRS tenants (31%) were also the most likely to say they were not very or not at all likely to move within the next 2 to 7 years. This is compared to 24% of RSL tenants and 21% of owner-occupiers.
- Owner-occupiers (20%) and RSL tenants (19%) were more than twice as likely as PRS tenants (8%) to say that they did not know how likely they were to move out of their property in the next 2 to 7 years.


Analysis by age of head of household revealed residents aged 75 and over were the most confident about their likelihood of moving from their property within the next 2 to 7 years. 83% stated they were likely to move, compared to only 5% who stated they were unlikely to move and 12% who stated they did not know.

Respondents aged 35 to 54 were the most unsure, with 50% stating they were likely to move, 35% stating they were unlikely to move and 15% stating they did not know.

More than half of respondents (56%) who said they were very or fairly likely to move in the next 2 to 7 years said they were actively trying to move or purchase a property at present.

Respondents who said they would like to move (75%) were significantly more likely to say they were actively trying to move or purchase a property at present compared to respondents who said they need to move (16%).

Just over half (51%) of respondents who are actively trying to move or purchase a property at present are looking at buying on the housing market, while 19% are looking to rent privately and 18% are on the waiting list with a Housing Association or other registered social landlord.



Respondents who said they would like to or need to move but who also said they were not likely or not actively trying to move were asked why this was. The most common reasons provided were financial constraints (42%), housing is too expensive (29%) and lack of available property in the area (34%).

Q55 If you are not likely to move or not actively trying to move, why?				
	All who would like or need to move but not likely to			
Unweighted	217			
Weighted	3122			
Financial Constraints	42.3%			
Housing too Expensive	28.9%			
Lack of available property in area	33.9%			
Lack of suitable property	25.1%			
Lack of specialist accommodation e.g. sheltered or supported	1.4%			
Would prefer to receive care or support in my current home	5.9%			
Lack of support to move	0.7%			
Lack of information and advice on options available	0.6%			
Still undecided	3.2%			
Not ready to move	8.6%			
Not stated/ refused	4.2%			
Waiting for children to move out	1.8%			
Other	6.9%			

Households made up of two adults (both under 65) (65%) were the most likely to cite financial constraints as a barrier towards moving, followed by two parent families (54%) single adults (under 65) (52%) and one parent families (51%).

Financial constraints by household composition					
	All who would like or need to move but not likely				
Unweighted	217				
Weighted	3122				
2 adults (both under 65)	64.8%				
2 parent family	54.1%				
Single adult (under 65)	51.8%				
1 parent family	51.3%				
3+ adults / other/ refused	19.1%				
2 adults (at least one 65 and over)	13.4%				
Single adult (65 and over)	0.0%				

More than two thirds of PRS tenants (69%) who would like or need to move but aren't likely to cited financial constraints as a barrier towards moving.

Financial constraints by tenure					
	All who would like or need to move but not likely				
Unweighted	217				
Weighted	3122				
PRS	68.6%				
RSL	45.5%				
Owner-occupier	28.4%				

Households made up of two adults (both under 65) (42%) were the most likely to cite housing being too expensive as a barrier towards moving, followed by two parent families (40%) single adults (under 65) (34%) and one parent families (30%).

Housing too expensive by household composition				
	All who would like or need to move but not likely			
Unweighted	217			
Weighted	3122			
2 adults (both under 65)	41.6%			
2 parent family	40.4%			
Single adult (under 65)	33.9%			
1 parent family	29.9%			
2 adults (at least one 65 and over)	14.3%			
3+ adults / other/ refused	13.1%			
Single adult (65 and over)	2.9%			

Just under four in ten (39%) PRS tenants said they were not likely to move because housing is too expensive.

Housing too expensive by tenure					
	All who would like or need to move but not likely				
Unweighted	217				
Weighted	3122				
PRS	39.2%				
RSL	30.6%				
Owner-occupier	23.3%				

Lack of available property in the area was of more concern to PRS tenants (48%) and RSL tenants (41%) than for owner-occupiers (26%).

Lack of available property in area by tenure					
	All who would like or need to move but not likely				
Unweighted	217				
Weighted	3122				
PRS	47.7%				
RSL	40.8%				
Owner-occupier	25.6%				


A lack of suitable property was of most concern to individuals looking to live in a bungalow (40%) followed by those looking for a flat (31%).

Lack of suitable property by what type of property residents are looking for				
	All who would like or need to move but not likely			
Unweighted	217			
Weighted	3122			
Bungalow	40.3%			
Flat	31.3%			
Terrace House	28.7%			
Detached House	25.4%			
Semi-detached House	19.9%			
Other	18.3%			


7.7 Do not want or need to move

Those who did not want or need to move stated that this was generally due to the fact that they were:

- happy where they were (87%),
- happy with their home (64%),
- like the area (49%),
- quiet/ peaceful (34%), and
- nice neighbours (28%).



Respondents who said they did not or want or need to move were then asked if their housing circumstances were to change in the future, what housing options would they consider to meet their needs. Just over half of these respondents (53%) said being an owner-occupier on the open market would meet their needs, while 22% said renting from a housing association. Just over one fifth (21%) said they did not know.



The table below shows preferred housing options by age of the head of household. Younger residents aged 18-24 (80%) were the most likely to prefer to rent from a housing association if their housing circumstances were to change and were the least likely to prefer to become an owner-occupier on the open market (7%). Older residents aged 65-74 (28%) and aged 75 and over (41%) were the most likely to answer don't know to this question.

Q57 If your housing circumstances were to change in the future, which of the following options would you consider to meet your needs?							tions
	18-24	25-34	35-54	55-64	65-74	75+	Refused
Unweighted	14	147	567	478	691	395	20
Weighted	220	2304	8164	6084	8430	4400	151
Rent from a Housing Association	79.9%	38.7%	20.8%	26.0%	16.3%	16.0%	2.5%
Rent from Other council	-	7.7%	3.9%	6.2%	3.9%	6.7%	2.5%
Mid-Market Rent	-	8.7%	1.5%	1.4%	0.1%	0.6%	-
Private landlord	11.4%	15.7%	4.9%	2.1%	1.0%	1.8%	-
Low cost home ownership e.g. LIFT, rent to buy	2.6%	7.6%	1.9%	0.7%	0.2%	-	-
Owner occupier on the open market	6.7%	38.7%	66.4%	57.3%	52.9%	29.3%	65.5%
Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	10.8%	4.4%	2.3%	2.5%	1.2%	0.8%	2.5%
Move in with family/friends	-	1.1%	0.3%	0.8%	1.9%	3.9%	-
Self-Build	-	1.9%	3.2%	2.1%	1.3%	1.1%	-
Shared Equity	-	-	0.2%	0.1%	-	0.1%	-
Other	-	0.3%	1.0%	1.5%	3.1%	7.9%	3.8%
Don't know/ not stated	10.8%	24.8%	7.6%	13.9%	27.3%	41.2%	25.7%

8. INDIVIDUAL NEEDS

8.1 Health conditions

One quarter of respondents (25%) said someone in their household has a long-term illness, health problem or disability, which limits their daily activities or the work they can do. This included problems relating to old age.

More than seven in ten respondents (71%) said there was no one in their household who suffered from any illness or health issue. The most commonly cited health issue was a mobility/ physical health problem (15%) followed by frailty due to old age (5%).

Q60 Is there anyone in the household who suffers from any of the following?					
	All respondents				
Unweighted	2642				
Weighted	34477				
None of these	71.3%				
Mobility/Physical health problem	14.8%				
Being frail due to old age	4.7%				
A chronic disease (such as cancer, HIV, diabetes, heart disease or epilepsy)	3.5%				
Difficulties with hearing	3.3%				
Don't know/Refused	2.8%				
Mental ill health	2.5%				
Difficulties with sight	1.6%				
Learning difficulties	1.1%				
Dementia	1.0%				
Developmental disorder	0.5%				
Other	0.4%				
Drug/Alcohol dependency	0.0%				

Analysis by age reveals:

- Those aged 25-34 (92%) were the most likely to say there was no one in their household who suffered from any illness or health issue while those aged 75 and over (38%) were the least likely.
- Respondents aged 75 and over (37%) were most likely to suffer from, or live with someone who suffers from a mobility/ physical health problem
- Younger respondents aged 18-24 (6%) were most likely to suffer from, or live with someone who suffers from mental ill health
- Respondents aged 75 and over were most likely to suffer from, or live with someone who suffers from difficulties with sight (6%) and hearing (9%)
- More than one fifth (21%) of respondents aged 75 and over suffer with, or live with someone who suffers with being frail due to old age.
- Respondents aged 65-74 (7%) were most likely to suffer from, or live with someone who suffers from a chronic disease.

Q60 Is there anyone in the household who suffers from any of the following by age of head of household							
	18-24	25-34	35-54	55-64	65-74	75+	Refused
Unweighted	26	216	674	539	734	431	22
Weighted	421	3368	9798	6914	8920	4896	161
Mental ill health	6.3%	2.4%	4.0%	3.3%	1.1%	0.2%	-
Mobility/Physical health problem	3.6%	1.4%	5.9%	12.9%	19.9%	36.9%	-
Learning difficulties	-	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%	0.3%	0.4%	-
Developmental disorder	-	0.3%	1.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	-
Difficulties with sight	-	-	0.8%	0.2%	2.4%	5.5%	-
Difficulties with hearing	-	-	0.8%	1.0%	6.2%	8.9%	-
Dementia	-	-	0.7%	0.5%	1.0%	3.0%	-
Being frail due to old age	-	-	0.7%	1.7%	4.5%	21.1%	-
Drug/Alcohol dependency	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-	-
A chronic disease (such as cancer, HIV, diabetes, heart disease or epilepsy)	-	0.3%	0.9%	1.9%	7.3%	6.9%	-
None of these	88.1%	92.4%	83.7%	78.5%	62.0%	37.7%	66.9%
Don't know/Refused	5.6%	1.6%	2.8%	2.0%	4.0%	1.4%	33.1%
Other	-	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.6%	1.1%	-


Analysis by tenure reveals:

- PRS tenants (80%) and owner-occupiers (74%) were more likely to say there was no one in their household who suffered from any illness or health issue than RSL tenants (60%).
- RSL tenants were most likely to suffer from, or live with someone who suffers from mental ill health (8%), a mobility/ physical health problem (20%) or a chronic disease (5%).

Q60 Is there anyone in the household who suffers from any of the following by tenure					
	Owner occupier	PRS	RSL		
Unweighted	1945	223	474		
Weighted	24211	3373	6893		
Mental ill health	0.8%	2.2%	8.3%		
Mobility/Physical health problem	13.9%	10.7%	20.0%		
Learning difficulties	1.0%	0.8%	1.4%		
Developmental disorder	0.3%	1.8%	0.5%		
Difficulties with sight	1.8%	1.2%	1.5%		
Difficulties with hearing	3.4%	2.6%	3.4%		
Dementia	1.0%	0.3%	1.2%		
Being frail due to old age	4.9%	2.9%	4.9%		
Drug/Alcohol dependency	-	0.1%	-		
A chronic disease (such as cancer, HIV, diabetes, heart disease or epilepsy)	3.3%	3.1%	4.7%		
None of these	73.3%	79.9%	60.3%		
Don't know/Refused	3.2%	2.1%	1.9%		
Other	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%		

8.2 Current needs

Almost all respondents (97%) with someone who suffers from a health condition in their household said their current housing meets their needs very or fairly well.



The vast majority (97%) of respondents said there was no one in their household with any unmet needs with regards to special forms of housing.

Q62 Do you or anyone in your household have an UNMET NEED for any of the following SPECIAL FORMS OF HOUSING?				
	All with someone who suffers			
Unweighted	708			
Weighted	8915			
None	96.8%			
Accommodation without stairs	1.0%			
Sheltered housing (with warden)	0.9%			
Retirement/ older persons housing	0.7%			
Residential care/ nursing home	ig home 0.4%			
Accommodation suitable for a wheelchair	0.3%			
Very Sheltered/ Extra care housing	0.3%			


While the majority of respondents said no one in their household needs any special forms of support, 1% said they need regular contact with social services, health or other caring organisations but do not receive this support and 1% said they need further support but less than 24-hour support from social services, health or other caring organisation but do not receive this.

Q63 Do you or anyone in your household need any of the special forms of support?			
Base: All with someone who suffers, weighted = 8915, unweighted =708	Need and receive	Need but don't receive	Don't need
Regular contact with social services, health or other caring organisations	35.5%	0.8%	63.6%
Further support but less than 24-hour support from social services, health or other caring organisation	11.6%	0.5%	87.9%
24-hour support from other caring organisations	1.6%	0.1%	98.3%
Shared housing with support from other residents and caring organisations	0.5%	0.1%	99.3%

With regards to special forms of adaption, 3% said they need but don't have level access showers while 2% said they need but do not have handrails.

Q64 Do you or anyone in your household have any of the following special forms of adaption?			
Base: All with someone who suffers, weighted =708, unweighted =8915	Have	Need but don't have	Do not need
Door widening	2.3%	0.3%	97.4%
Ramps	6.9%	0.3%	92.8%
Stairlift	3.0%	0.8%	96.2%
Through floor lift	0.7%	-	99.3%
Accommodation with emergency/alarm call system	10.1%	0.1%	89.8%
Door entry system	5.3%	0.1%	94.6%
Relocated light switches and power points	1.2%	0.1%	98.7%
Equipment to help get in and out of bed	4.4%	0.3%	95.3%
Handrails	39.9%	1.5%	58.6%
Hoists	1.7%	-	98.3%
Bath/shower seat	27.6%	1.1%	71.3%
Level access showers	29.6%	2.9%	67.4%
Adapted toilet seat	14.7%	0.5%	84.8%
Adapted kitchen	0.5%	0.3%	99.3%
Special furniture	1.4%	0.1%	98.5%

One fifth of respondents (20%) who said their home required adaptions said they have not taken action to access the adaptions they currently need as they require more information and advice. 17% said they have already contacted social services while 14% plan to install them themselves.



8.3 Care and support services

When asked if there was anyone in the household who required care and support services the majority of respondents stated these services were not required.

In terms of current services already in place, the most common involved home care and home help with 5% receiving this service and 4% who currently receive help with their shopping.

Q66 In terms of care/ support provided, do you or anyone in your household currently receive or need these services?			
Base: All respondents, weighted=34477, unweighted=2642	Current Services	Services Required	Services not required
Home care/ home help (helping with housework, cooking, cleaning)	4.8%	0.3%	94.9%
Home care (helping with washing/ bathing, dressing, toilet)	1.7%	0.0%	98.2%
Meals delivered to home/ meals on wheels	0.7%	0.1%	99.1%
Day care/ day centre (in hospital, residential home or other organisation)	0.7%	0.0%	99.3%
Respite/ short term care in residential/ nursing home	0.5%	0.0%	99.5%
Occupational therapy/ physiotherapy	2.5%	0.1%	97.4%
Help with shopping	3.9%	0.1%	96.0%
Night care (someone present at night only)	0.2%	0.0%	99.8%
Technology enabled care	0.5%	0.0%	99.4%
Support from local voluntary & community organisations	1.7%	0.0%	98.3%
Carers Support	1.4%	0.1%	98.5%
Other	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Just under one third (30%) of care and support services already in place are provided by the Council, while 27% are provided by a relative who does not stay in the same household. Just under one fifth (19%) are provided by a private organisation.

Q67a Who is the carer?		
	All respondents who currently receive care or support services	
Unweighted	460	
Weighted	6405	
Provided by Council	29.9%	
Other Relative	27.1%	
Private Organisation	19.1%	
Provided by voluntary organisation	8.5%	
Other Household Member	6.6%	
Other	3.2%	
Don't know/not stated	3.0%	
Friend	1.7%	
Neighbour	0.9%	

One quarter of carers (25%) are between 1 to 5 miles away from the resident while 20% are 5 to 10 miles away. 1 in 10 residents (10%) receive care from someone at the same address or building.

Q67b Distance?		
	All respondents who currently receive care or support services	
Unweighted	460	
Weighted	6405	
Same address/ building	10.4%	
Neighbouring/in neighbourhood	13.8%	
Up to 1 mile away	10.9%	
Over 1 up to 5 miles away	24.7%	
Over 5 up to 10 miles away	19.9%	
Over 10 up to 20 miles away	5.8%	
Over 20 miles away	2.0%	
Don't know / not stated	12.4%	

Just under one third of residents (30%) receive care and support services for 2 to 4 hours per week while 17% said it varies, 16% said between 5 to 10 hours per week and 16% for less than two hours per week.

Q67c How many hours per week?		
	All respondents who currently receive care or support services	
Unweighted	460	
Weighted	6405	
Less than 2 hrs p/wk	15.7%	
2-4 hours p/wk	30.0%	
5-10 hours p/wk	16.3%	
11–19 hours p/wk	4.8%	
20-49 hours p/wk	3.5%	
50 or more hours p/wk	0.6%	
Continuous care	9.2%	
It varies	16.6%	
Don't know/ Not stated	3.3%	

Overall, two thirds (69%) of care and support services are not paid, while 31% are paid. Services which are most likely to be paid include technology enabled care (89%), home care that includes helping with housework, cooking and cleaning (51%) and meals that are delivered to the home (51%).

Q67d Paid care?			
	Base	Yes	No
Home care/ home help (helping with housework,	Weighted=1638	51.1%	48.9%
cooking, cleaning)	Unweighted=126	51.1%	
Home care (helping with washing/ bathing, dressing,	Weighted=598	30.4%	69.6%
toilet)	Unweighted=57	50.470	07.076
Meals delivered to home/ meals on wheels	Weighted=251	F1 007	48.7%
medis delivered to nome/ medis on wheels	Unweighted=15	51.3%	40.7 /0
Day care/ day centre (in hospital, residential home or	Weighted=243		100.0%
other organisation)	Unweighted=14	-	
Respite/ short term care in residential/ nursing home	Weighted=174	22.5%	77.5%
	Unweighted=11		
Occupational therapy/ physiotherapy	Weighted=846	30.2%	69.8%
	Unweighted=57		
Help with shopping	Weighted=1338	· 16.6%	83.4%
	Unweighted=96		
Night care (someone present at night only)	Weighted=56		100.0%
night care (someone present at hight only)	Unweighted=8	-	
Technology angled care	Weighted=188	00.407	11.4%
Technology enabled care	Unweighted=11	88.6%	
Support from local voluntary & community	Weighted=585	- 1.4%	98.6%
organisations	Unweighted=35		
Carers Support	Weighted=483	32.2%	67.8%
	Unweighted=30	JZ.Z/0	
Other	Weighted=5	_	100.0%
	Unweighted=1	-	

9. HOUSEHOLD INCOME

9.1 Household income


Just over half of respondents (53%) refused to provide an answer when asked for a combined total gross income for themselves and their partner. Where an answer was provided, 19% said their total gross income was under £25,000 while 28% said it was over $\pounds 25,000$.

When asked to provide an estimate of the total gross income of all other adults aged 16 and over in the household, 85% refused to provide an answer. Where an answer was provided, 13% said the total gross income for other adults in the household was under $\pounds 25,000$ while 1% said it was over $\pounds 25,000$.

Q68 What is the total gross income for:			
	Respondent & Partner	Other HH Adult/s	
Unweighted	2642	829	
Weighted	34477	11871	
Under £4,500	0.2%	0.5%	
£4,500-£6,499	0.5%	1.5%	
£6,500-£7,499	0.9%	0.1%	
£7,500-£9,499	1.3%	0.8%	
£9,500-£11,499	1.6%	0.8%	
£11,500-£13,499	2.1%	1.2%	
£13,500-£15,499	2.2%	0.3%	
£15,500-£17,499	3.2%	1.2%	
£17,500-£24,999	6.5%	1.0%	
£25,000-£29,999	5.9%	0.8%	
£30,000-£39,999	9.4%	0.0%	
£40,000-£49,999	5.9%	0.0%	
£50,000-£74,999	5.2%	0.2%	
£75,000-£99,999	0.5%	-	
£100,000 or over	0.7%	0.1%	
Don't know/not stated/ Refused	53.4%	85.3%	
Nothing	0.5%	6.0%	

9.2 Housing payments

More than one third of respondents (38%) own their property outright and therefore do not pay a portion of their income towards rent or mortgage payments. 24% pay less than 10% of their income towards their mortgage and rent payments, 20% pay between 10-20% and 17% pay more than 20%.



Analysis by age reveals younger respondents aged 18-24 (40%), 25-34 (44%) and 35-54 (27%) were significantly more likely to pay more than 20% of their income towards rent or mortgage payments than older respondents aged 55-64 (15%), 65-74 (4%) and 75 and over (5%).

Analysis by tenure reveals PRS tenants (39%) were significantly more likely to pay more than 20% of their income towards rent or mortgage payments than RSL tenants (23%) and owner-occupiers (13%).

Respondents were than asked if there was any reason why they might experience difficulties in keeping up with their housing payments. The majority of respondents (87%) said they are not having any difficulties in meeting their housing payments. The most commonly cited reason for having difficulties was unexpected high bills (4%).

Q70 The following lists various reasons why some people experience di with housing payments. Do any of them apply to this household?	fficulties in keeping up
	All respondents
Unweighted	2642
Weighted	34477
No, not having any difficulties meeting housing payments	87.2%
Don't know/not stated/refused	3.9%
Unexpected high bills	3.6%
Increase in rent/mortgage payments	2.3%
Cost of heating home too expensive	2.3%
Illness/disability of self/partner	1.7%
Other (Please State)	1.6%
Loss of job	1.3%
Death of partner or someone else in household	1.0%
Divorce/separation or some other reason for household splitting	0.8%
Loss of partner's job	0.7%
Reduced benefits	0.4%
Supporting unemployed 16-17 year-olds	0.4%
Failure of business	0.3%
Baby born or someone else joining household	0.3%
Interest rate rises	0.1%
Supporting full-time students	0.1%
Delay in Housing Benefit/Income Support payments	0.0%
Administrative error at bank/building society	0.0%

9.3 Housing circumstances

Respondents were then read a list of potential household problems and asked to state whether each was 'not a problem', a 'slight problem' or a 'serious problem' for them. As shown below, the most common issues to be seen as a problem was accommodation being too expensive (4% stating a serious or slight problem) and difficulty maintaining the home (4% stating a serious or slight problem).


Q71 Please indicate for each of the following if it is not a proble or a serious problem for your household?	em/ not appl	icable; a slig	ght problem;
Base: All respondents, weighted =34477, unweighted=2642	A serious problem	Slight Problem	Not a problem
Your accommodation is too expensive	1.0%	3.4%	95.5%
You are under notice of eviction/repossession, real threat of notice or your lease is coming to an end (i.e. insecure tenure/temporary accommodation/ threatened with homelessness etc)	0.2%	0.2%	99.6%
Someone in the household is suffering harassment or threats of harassment from neighbours or others in the area	0.3%	0.1%	99.6%
The health of a household member is suffering because of the condition of the home	0.4%	0.2%	99.4%
Your home is subject to major disrepair or unfitness	0.5%	0.2%	99.3%
You have difficulty maintaining your home	0.7%	3.0%	96.3%
You have to share a bathroom/toilet/kitchen with another household	0.2%	0.3%	99.5%
You lack basic facilities (such as bathroom/toilet/ kitchen)	0.2%	0.0%	99.8%
A household member has difficulty using the stairs and /or lifts to or within your home	0.2%	2.0%	97.8%
You need to be close to a relative/friend to give care	0.3%	1.4%	98.4%
You need to be close to a relative/friend to receive care	0.5%	1.6%	97.9%
You need to be closer to employment and /or other essential facilities	0.2%	1.3%	98.5%
The property is overcrowded and contains a secondary or latent household (e.g. adult child or elderly relative) which in ideal circumstances would prefer to live independently	0.3%	0.2%	99.5%

Analysis by tenure reveals:

- PRS tenants (25%) were significantly more likely to cite their accommodation being too expensive as a problem than RSL tenants (5%) and owner-occupiers (2%).
- Difficultly maintaining their home was of most concern to PRS tenants (5%) followed by owner-occupiers (4%) and RSL tenants (3%).
- PRS tenants (9%) were more likely to say they need to be closer to employment and /or other essential facilities than RSL tenants (1%) and owner-occupiers (1%).

9.4 Need for affordable housing

When asked about the need for more affordable housing, respondents were most likely to say there was a need for affordable housing for young people and families (56%) followed by a need for housing for sale at a low cost (44%) and a need for social rented / housing association homes (41%). Only 4% of respondents said there was no demand for more affordable housing. Please note respondents provided multiple responses for this question.



9.5 Additional comments

Finally, all respondents were given the opportunity to provide any additional comments they may have about housing need and demand in Argyll and Bute. More than one fifth (22%) of respondents who provided a comment did so in reference to a lack of affordable housing for young people and locals who they felt were priced out of the housing market. 18% made reference to expensive housing prices and a shortage of affordable homes while 16% said there were too many holiday homes in their area.

	Respondents who provided a response
Unweighted	1004
Weighted	10666
Lack of affordable housing for young people and locals/ priced out of the market	22.1%
House prices are too expensive/ shortage of affordable houses	18.1%
Shortage of suitable/ affordable homes for families	15.8%
Too many holiday/ second homes	12.6%
More should be done to encourage more young people to the island/ area and stop them leaving	12.2%
Other	9.8%
More houses need to be built	7.7%
Shortage of social/ Council housing	7.6%
Improvements needed to infrastructure e.g. roads, pavements, broadband	7.5%
Accommodation is very expensive e.g. council tax, rent, utilities	4.9%
More appropriate housing for elderly and disabled i.e. smaller, access level	4.8%
Lack of accommodation for workers i.e. doctors, nurses, teachers, seasonal staff	4.7%
There is already enough housing/ I don't want them to build anymore	4.6%
Shortage of sheltered accommodation	4.5%
Shortage of rental accommodation	4.3%
More one bedroom homes for single people/ couples	3.4%
Too many empty houses	2.5%
Restrictions on self-builds and sale of land are too strict	1.1%
There is a need for more jobs	1.0%
Council should focus on upgrading existing properties	0.9%
Parking is too expensive	0.8%
There isn't enough land to build more houses	0.6%
Housing need fluctuates with season	0.6%

10. AREA ANALYSIS

10.1 Household composition

Bute (43%) has the highest proportion of single adult households while Lorn (14%) has the lowest. Mid Argyll (53%) has the highest proportion of two person households while Kintyre (36%) has the lowest. The area that has the highest proportion of households with three or more people is Lorn (45%) while Bute (16%) has the lowest.

Q3 How many people currently live at this address, including yourself, any other adults and children?											
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Tiree Cowal an Colo		Cowal and Kintyre		Mid Argyll	Mull and Iona	Lorn		
Unweighted	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372			
Weighted	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197			
1	43.1%	30.1%	33.2%	34.3%	26.7%	16.4%	32.7%	13.9%			
2	41.2%	48.7%	43.4%	45.7%	36.4%	52.8%	44.2%	41.0%			
3	11.7%	6.4%	13.1%	13.1%	19.9%	14.7%	10.4%	22.4%			
4	4.0%	10.0%	7.9%	4.6%	11.5%	12.4%	8.1%	18.3%			
5	-	4.7%	2.0%	2.0%	5.2%	3.6%	3.6%	4.0%			
6	-	-	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	-	0.9%	0.3%			


Household composition by area reveals:

- Bute (18%) had the highest proportion of single adults under 65 while Mull & Iona (6%) had the lowest.
- More than one quarter of households in Mull & Iona (27%) were made up of single adults over the age of 65, this is more than three times the proportion found in Lorn (8%).
- Mid Argyll (29%) has the most households made up of 2 adults under 65, while Mull & lona had the least (10%).
- More than one third of households in Mull & Iona (34%) and Islay, Jura and Colonsay (34%) are made up of 2 adults with at least one person aged 65 and over, this is more than double the amount found in Kintyre (15%)
- Kintyre (26%) and Lorn (24%) had the most households that consisted of one or two parent families, while Islay, Jura and Colonsay (9%) had the least.

Household compos	ition by ar	ea						
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Single adult (under 65)	18.1%	9.5%	11.3%	7.8%	10.8%	7.2%	5.9%	6.3%
Single adult (65 and over)	25.0%	19.6%	21.9%	26.5%	15.9%	9.2%	26.8%	7.7%
2 adults (both under 65)	15.3%	13.4%	15.4%	11.4%	19.4%	28.7%	10.0%	18.2%
2 adults (at least one 65 and over)	22.8%	33.1%	26.5%	33.8%	14.7%	23.3%	33.9%	18.9%
1 parent family	5.5%	0.6%	4.3%	0.8%	7.6%	2.0%	-	7.8%
2 parent family	9.1%	12.0%	14.4%	8.2%	18.2%	18.3%	11.5%	16.5%
3+ adults / other/ refused	4.3%	12.0%	6.2%	11.5%	13.4%	11.3%	11.8%	24.6%

10.2 Age and gender profile

Kintyre had the youngest age profile of all areas with 22% aged 17 and under and 21% aged 18-34. Lorn closely followed with 19% aged 17 and under and 22% aged 18-34. Mid Argyll (47%) and Lorn (41%) had the highest proportion of residents aged 35-64. One half of Islay, Jura and Colonsay (50%) residents were aged 65 and over which is the highest proportion across all areas. Lorn (18%), Kintyre (20%) and Mid Argyll (23%) had the lowest proportion of residents aged 65 and over. A full breakdown of the age profile can be found in Appendix 2.



Base: Bute W=7687 UW=616, Coll & Tiree W=1442 UW=399, Cowal W=16459 UW=745, Islay, Jura & Colonsay W=3764 UW=721, Kintyre W=9549 UW=804, Mid Argyll W=12356 UW=828, Mull & Iona W=3830 UW=634, Lorn W=21172 UW=960

Analysis of gender by area shows there are more females than males in every area surveyed.

Gender profile by area												
	Bute Tiree Cowal		lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn					
Unweighted	616	399	745	721	804	828	634	960				
Weighted	7687	1442	16459	3764	9549	12355	3829	21173				
Male	41%	48%	48%	46%	49%	47%	46%	45%				
Female	59%	52%	52%	54%	51%	53%	54%	55%				

Analysis of age of the head of household by area reveals:

- Kintyre (22%) had the high proportion of younger head of households aged 18-34 while Mull & Iona (2%) and Coll & Tiree (1%) had the least.
- More than one half of Mid Argyll (58%) and Lorn (58%) head of households were aged 35-54, compared to 36% in Islay, Jura and Colonsay.
- 61% of households in Islay, Jura and Colonsay and 60% of households in Mull & Iona had head of households who were aged 65 and over, more than double the amount recorded in Lorn (28%)


Age of head of hou	sehold b	y area						
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
18-24	0.6%	0.3%	0.7%	-	2.8%	1.1%	0.3%	1.9%
25-34	10.2%	0.8%	6.9%	2.3%	18.9%	10.1%	1.7%	11.9%
35-54	24.3%	20.0%	24.7%	12.3%	31.2%	32.1%	19.5%	37.1%
55-64	18.6%	25.9%	17.8%	23.5%	16.6%	25.1%	17.4%	20.8%
65-74	36.8%	32.0%	26.7%	32.2%	16.1%	23.7%	34.6%	21.6%
75+	9.5%	15.9%	23.1%	28.6%	14.2%	7.4%	25.7%	6.2%
Refused	-	5.0%	-	1.2%	0.3%	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%

Females were most likely to be the head of household for every area surveyed.


Gender profile of head of household by area												
	Bute	Colonsay		Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn					
Unweighted	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372				
Weighted	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197				
Male	44.0%	42.3%	47.4%	37.8%	44.3%	46.3%	39.3%	49.3%				
Female	56.0%	57.7%	52.6%	62.2%	55.7%	53.7%	60.7%	50.7%				

10.3 Economic activity

The gap between economically active and economically inactive residents was highest in Cowal (+24% economically inactive) and Bute (+20% economically inactive). Lorn (+3% economically active) and Mid Argyll (+1% economically active) were the only areas where more of their residents are economically active than inactive although the difference for each is not significant. A full breakdown of economic activity can be found in Appendix 2.




Lorn (60%), Mid Argyll (58%) and Kintyre (56%) are the only areas where the head of households are more likely to be economically active than inactive. The highest proportion of economically inactive heads of household was found in Islay, Jura and Colonsay (59%).



10.4 Current home

Coll & Tiree residents (93%) were the most likely to be owner-occupiers. Kintyre (26%), Bute (24%) and Islay, Jura and Colonsay (24%) had the highest proportion of residents renting from a registered social landlord. Lorn (11%), Mull & Iona (11%) and Mid Argyll (11%) had the highest proportion of residents who rent within the private sector.



The majority of households who rent across all areas have a long-term let (6+ months). More than one in ten (11%) households who rent in Kintyre have a short term let (up to 6 months) which is the highest proportion of areas surveyed.

Q10 Please describe the	e nature o	of your cu	rrent let?					
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	117	24	83	85	119	99	54	110
Weighted	1491	47	2250	609	1399	1537	400	2473
Long Term Let (6 months +)	96.5%	95.7%	87.4%	97.8%	87.8%	90.9%	91.7%	92.5%
Short Term Let (up to 6 months)	3.5%	-	7.8%	2.2%	11.4%	1.1%	2.3%	3.3%
Seasonal Let (accommodation available for a number of weeks/months a year)	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3%	-
Mid-Market Rent	-	-	1.1%	-	-	5.9%	-	-
Temporary Accommodation	-	-	1.1%	-	-	-	-	0.8%
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't Know	-	4.3%	2.6%	-	0.8%	2.1%	3.8%	3.3%

Property type by area analysis reveals:

- 72% of Coll & Tiree live in a detached house, which is significantly higher than the average of 25% for all areas surveyed
- More than one third of Mid Argyll residents (34%) live in a semi-detached home, while only 6% of Bute residents live in a semi-detached home
- A terrace house was more common in Kintyre (32%) than it was in Coll & Tiree (1%)
- Cowal (16%) and Mull & Iona (15%) residents are more likely to live in a bungalow than any other area surveyed
- More than two thirds of Bute residents (68%) live in a flat, which is more than double the average of 25% for all areas surveyed.

Q11 What typ	e of housin	g is this _l	property	?					
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Detached House	24.6%	7.7%	72.1%	23.6%	36.1%	13.6%	20.4%	53.2%	29.7%
Semi- detached House	23.7%	5.7%	11.2%	25.1%	29.9%	24.2%	33.5%	18.8%	26.1%
Terrace House	15.6%	16.8%	0.6%	11.5%	15.8%	32.4%	17.2%	6.3%	13.0%
Bungalow	9.6%	1.4%	12.8%	15.5%	11.5%	6.4%	12.7%	15.4%	5.5%
Flat	24.8%	68.0%	0.6%	21.7%	2.7%	23.4%	15.4%	3.2%	23.6%
Other	1.7%	0.3%	2.8%	2.6%	4.0%	-	0.9%	3.1%	2.1%


NB. A full breakdown of property type can be found in Appendix 2 along with a breakdown of property age.

Number of bedrooms by area can be found in the table below. The most significant variances found were:

- Bute (59%) was the only area to have more 2 bedroom homes than the average of 40%.
- Bute (19%) had the lowest amount of 3 bedroom homes,
- Coll & Tiree (18%) had twice the amount of 3 bedroom homes than the average of 9%.

Q17 How mai	ny bedroon	ns do yo	u have in [.]	your hom	e?				
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
0 (BEDSIT)	0.9%	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%	2.2%	-	1.8%	1.3%	1.0%
1	14.7%	18.9%	10.9%	13.0%	15.3%	19.2%	21.4%	14.2%	7.9%
2	39.8%	58.8%	33.2%	37.1%	34.4%	37.5%	34.7%	36.7%	39.4%
3	33.3%	18.5%	32.3%	39.8%	36.6%	35.3%	30.1%	29.0%	36.0%
4	9.3%	2.6%	18.4%	8.5%	9.7%	6.1%	10.7%	11.6%	12.7%
5	1.6%	0.6%	3.1%	0.5%	1.5%	1.2%	1.1%	5.3%	2.9%
6+	0.5%	-	1.7%	0.8%	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	1.9%	0.3%

Cowal residents (17%) were the most likely to say they had too many bedrooms in their home, while Kintyre residents (5%) and Coll & Tiree residents were the most likely to say they had too few bedrooms in their home.




Base: Bute W=4352 UW=348, Coll & Tiree W=685 UW=192, Cowal W=8102 UW=365, Islay, Jura & Colonsay W=1930, UW=363, Kintyre W=4096 UW=344, Mid Argyll W=5278 UW=353, Mull & Iona W=1837 UW=305, Lorn W=8197 UW=372

Overall, satisfaction with the home was high across all locations. Lorn (93%) and Mid Argyll (91%) residents were the mostly likely to say they were very satisfied with their home.


Q22 On the wh	ole, how sc	itisfied o	r dissatisf	ied are yo	u with your	home?			
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Very satisfied	83.2%	80.2%	76.6%	72.7%	73.0%	88.4%	90.7%	73.9%	92.8%
Fairly satisfied	13.3%	19.0%	18.4%	23.2%	21.3%	7.8%	7.5%	19.3%	3.4%
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	1.7%	0.6%	1.1%	2.1%	1.2%	0.9%	1.5%	1.7%	2.8%
Fairly dissatisfied	1.3%	0.3%	2.5%	1.7%	3.7%	1.5%	0.3%	4.6%	0.8%
Very dissatisfied	0.2%	-	1.4%	0.4%	0.8%	-	-	0.5%	-
No opinion	0.2%	-	-	-	-	1.5%	-	-	0.3%

Residents who live on the islands of Coll & Tiree (39%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (40%) and Mull & Iona (42%) are significantly more likely to spend more than 10% of their income on heating their home than any other area surveyed in Argyll and Bute.




Base: Bute W=4352 UW=348, Coll & Tiree W=685 UW=192, Cowal W=8102 UW=365, Islay, Jura & Colonsay W=1930, UW=363, Kintyre W=4096 UW=344, Mid Argyll W=5278 UW=353, Mul & Iona W=1837 UW=305, Lorn W=8197 UW=372

The majority of residents who spend more than 10% of their income on heating their home in each area are owner-occupiers. Mid Argyll (9%) has the largest proportion of PRS tenants who spend more than 10% of their income on heating their home while Kintyre (27%) has the proportion of RSL tenants who spend more than 10% of their income on heating their home.




10.5 Repairs and property condition

Residents living in Coll & Tiree (34%) were the most likely to have outstanding maintenance or repair jobs that need doing in their home while Bute residents (2%) were the least likely. A full breakdown by tenure can be found in Appendix 2.




Almost all Lorn residents (99%) said their home has all the listed upgrades and requirements compared to just under two thirds of Coll & Tiree residents (65%). A full breakdown of all upgrades and requirements can be found in Appendix 2.




Base: Bute W=4352 UW=348, Coll & Tiree W=685 UW=192, Cowal W=8102 UW=365, Islay, Jura & Colonsay W=1930, UW=363, Kintyre W=4096 UW=344, Mid Argyll W=5278 UW=353, Mull & Iona W=1837 UW=305, Lorn W=8197 UW=372

Residents living on the islands of Coll & Tiree (34%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (29%) and Mull & Iona (20%) were the most likely to have a condition-related issue in their property. A full breakdown of condition-related issues by area and tenure can be found in Appendix 2.



Islay, Jura and Colonsay residents (53%) were the most likely to say they unable to carry out the repairs needed in their home while Mid Argyll residents (21%) were the least likely.



Base: Bute W=4352 UW=348, Coll & Tiree W=685 UW=192, Cowal W=8102 UW=365, Islay, Jura & Colonsay W=1930, UW=363, Kintyre W=4096 UW=344, Mid Argyll W=5278 UW=353, Mull & Iona W=1837 UW=305, Lorn W=8197 UW=372

When asked why they weren't able to carry out repairs:

- Residents living on the islands of Coll & Tiree (15%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (8%) and Mull & Iona (10%) were the most likely to say they could not afford to;
- The majority of Lorn residents (91%) said repairs were the responsibility of their landlord/ Council/Housing Association, compared to only 14% of Coll & Tiree residents;
- Residents living on the islands of Coll & Tiree (64%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (40%) and Mull & Iona (44%) were the most likely to say they cannot physically manage to carry out repairs;
- Requirement to find a reliable builder or other contractor was of most concern to island residents of Coll & Tiree (42%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (25%) and Mull & Iona (27%);
- More than one in ten (12%) Coll & Tiree residents said availability of a tradespersons was a barrier to them carrying out repairs in their home


Q30 If you are unable to a	carry out i	epairs on	your hor	ne, is this be	cause:			
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	125	60	121	174	118	72	94	103
Weighted	1585	191	2877	1022	1391	1110	583	2331
You cannot afford to	-	15.0%	2.2%	7.8%	1.7%	-	9.8%	-
Unable to get a grant/assistance from the Council	-	4.0%	0.8%	1.9%	0.8%	-	3.9%	-
Repairs are communal and can't get agreement to have them done	-	-	-	-	0.8%	-	-	0.9%
Repairs are responsibility of landlord/ Council/Housing Association	83.6%	14.2%	55.9%	50.7%	81.4%	79.6%	40.4%	90.8%
Repair problems are too severe	-	4.0%	5.9%	4.6%	-	2.7%	4.9%	-
You cannot physically manage	-	63.8%	18.8%	39.5%	8.6%	9.3%	44.0%	2.8%
Require independent advice on what is needed	0.8%	4.0%	-	0.5%	2.6%	-	-	-
Require to find a reliable builder/other contractor	-	41.8%	21.6%	25.0%	-	2.7%	26.5%	0.9%
Need DIY skills	-	7.0%	5.0%	5.5%	3.4%	2.7%	9.8%	-
Don't know who to ask to repair things	-	-	0.8%	-	-	-	-	-
Other reason	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not stated	15.6%	4.0%	2.7%	0.9%	6.6%	8.4%	2.9%	4.5%
Availability of tradespersons/ difficult to find	-	11.9%	0.7%	2.3%	-	-	2.0%	-
No time	-	1.0%	-	-	-	-	1.0%	-
Family members do repairs for me	-	2.0%	0.7%	0.5%	-	-	-	-

10.6 Recent moving behaviour

With regards to affordability, Mid Argyll (69%) were the most likely to say their home was very or fairly easy for them to afford, while Islay, Jura and Colonsay (33%) were the least likely. Mull & Iona (8%) were the most likely to say their home was fairly or very difficult for them to afford, while Bute residents (0%) were the least likely. A full breakdown by tenure can be found in Appendix 2.

Q31c How easy	was it for ye	ou to aff	ord this ho	use?					
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Very Easy to afford	23.5%	6.6%	22.8%	16.0%	12.4%	34.6%	41.5%	14.5%	27.2%
Fairly Easy to afford	29.8%	43.6%	25.9%	33.3%	20.9%	22.2%	27.2%	27.1%	27.6%
Affordable	43.7%	49.8%	44.9%	45.2%	59.9%	41.8%	29.4%	50.8%	43.6%
Fairly Difficult to afford	2.7%	-	5.3%	5.0%	5.3%	0.7%	2.0%	6.0%	1.6%
Very Difficult to afford	0.4%	-	1.1%	0.5%	1.5%	0.7%	-	1.6%	-

Cowal residents were the most likely to have moved recently, with more than one fifth of households (21%) having moved to their current address in the last five years. Island residents of Coll & Tiree (54%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (60%) and Mull & Iona (56%) were the most likely to have lived at their current address for more than fifteen years. A full breakdown of this analysis can be found in Appendix 2.



Mull & Iona (16%) and Kintyre residents (13%) were the most likely to have moved more than once in the last five years.

How many different addresses have you lived at in the last 5 years?												
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn				
Unweighted	34	21	70	35	31	27	33	60				
Weighted	438	63	1675	210	383	406	205	1323				
1	100.0%	100.0%	96.4%	89.7%	87.2%	100.0%	84.1%	98.4%				
2	-	-	3.6%	10.3%	6.3%	-	15.9%	-				
3	-	-	-	-	2.9%	-	-	1.6%				
4	_	-	-	-	3.6%	-	_	_				

The below table shows the area profile for all moves made by residents who have lived at their current address for less than 5 years. The proportion of residents who have moved within the same area is highlighted in blue. Islay, Jura and Colonsay (84%) residents were the most likely to move within the same area, while Coll & Tiree residents (56%) were the most likely to move out with Argyll and Bute.

Area profile for all	moves m	ade in th	e last five	years by area				
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	34	21	72	38	38	27	38	62
Weighted	438	63	1735	232	471	406	237	1365
Bute	53.2%	-	12.2%	-	-	7.1%	3.8%	6.3%
Coll & Tiree	2.7%	46.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cowal	-	-	28.3%	-	-	-	-	-
Helensburgh and Lomond	-	-	-	-	3.0%	7.6%	-	4.7%
Islay	-	-	1.2%	54.3%	-	-	2.5%	1.6%
Jura & Colonsay	-	-	-	29.3%	-	3.7%	-	-
Lorn	-	-	-	-	29.7%	22.2%	-	81.2%
Kintyre (includes Gigha)	-	-	-	-	18.3%	-	-	-
Mid Argyll (includes Tarbert)	-	-	2.6%	-	46.3%	29.3%	-	1.6%
Mull & Iona	-	-	5.2%	-	-	-	64.6%	-
Local area within the HMA	-	-	15.2%	-	-	-	2.5%	1.5%
Elsewhere in Scotland	23.5%	20.6%	16.6%	9.9%	-	18.7%	4.6%	1.5%
Elsewhere in the UK	17.6%	19.0%	6.2%	3.9%	-	11.3%	19.4%	1.5%
Abroad/ overseas	2.7%	15.9%	3.6%	-	3.0%	-	2.5%	-
Don't know/ not stated	-	-	8.8%	2.2%	-	-	-	-

Analysis of tenure mobility reveals:

- Bute had the highest proportion of residents changing tenure from owner to PRS (9%) and owner to RSL (8%);
- Coll & Tiree (18%) and Kintyre (18%) had the highest proportion of residents changing tenure from PRS to owner-occupiers;
- Islay, Jura and Colonsay (14%) had the highest proportion of residents changing tenure from PRS to RSL
- Coll & Tiree (13%) and Islay, Jura and Colonsay (12%) had the highest proportion of residents changing tenure from RSL to owner-occupiers;
- Mid Argyll had the highest proportion of residents who previously lived with their parents and are now owner-occupiers (15%) and also the highest proportion who now rent within the private sector (21%)
- Lorn (23%) had the highest proportion of residents who previously lived with their parents and now rent from an RSL

Tenure mobility for all mov	Tenure mobility for all moves made in the last five years by area											
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn				
Unweighted	34	21	72	38	38	27	38	62				
Weighted	438	63	1735	232	471	406	237	1365				
Owner to owner	14.2%	42.9%	28.6%	20.3%	10.2%	43.6%	48.5%	35.2%				
Owner to PRS	9.4%	3.2%	8.1%	2.2%	-	3.9%	-	-				
Owner to RSL	8.4%	-	4.2%	7.3%	-	-	2.5%	-				
PRS to owner	-	17.5%	3.6%	-	17.8%	3.7%	2.5%	-				
PRS to PRS	24.7%	-	8.1%	6.5%	14.9%	8.1%	11.4%	22.6%				
PRS to RSL	-	6.3%	2.8%	14.2%	7.2%	7.4%	5.5%	7.0%				
RSL to owner	5.7%	12.7%	3.6%	12.1%	-	-	-	1.6%				
RSL to PRS	-	3.2%	-	-	-	-	-	3.0%				
RSL to RSL	25.6%	6.3%	29.5%	18.1%	-	7.4%	2.5%	-				
Living with parents/ relatives to owner	2.7%	-	4.8%	-	5.1%	14.5%	2.5%	6.4%				
Living with parents/ relatives to PRS	9.4%	3.2%	4.0%	-	20.6%	-	3.8%	1.5%				
Living with parents/ relatives to RSL	-	-	-	3.4%	21.4%	3.7%	5.1%	22.6%				
Other to owner	-	-	1.2%	3.9%	-	-	11.8%	-				
Other to PRS	-	6.3%	-	4.3%	3.0%	3.9%	3.8%	-				
Other to RSL	-	-	1.4%	6.9%	-	3.7%	-	-				

Analysis by area of the main reasons residents decided to move to their current home reveals:

- Bute (62%) and Lorn (62%) residents were the most likely to say they moved due to the size of properties available;
- Bute (53%) and Lorn (54%) residents were the most likely to say they moved for a good general location;
- Bute (54%) and Lorn (48%) residents were the most likely to say they moved because of the type of properties available;
- Mid Argyll (47%) and Lorn (55%) residents were the most likely to say they moved to their current home because it was quiet and peaceful;
- Bute (37%) and Mid Argyll (31%) residents were the most likely to say they moved to their current home because they have always lived in the area
- Mid Argyll (31%) and Lorn (40%) residents were the most likely to say they moved to their current home due to employment

	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Size of properties available	43.1%	61.6%	5.0%	19.4%	8.4%	55.4%	55.9%	7.5%	61.7%
Good general location	38.4%	53.3%	15.8%	23.4%	12.7%	39.3%	44.2%	17.1%	53.8%
Type of properties available	31.6%	54.1%	2.2%	6.3%	5.7%	44.8%	39.5%	4.9%	47.5%
Quiet/peaceful	29.2%	14.3%	8.6%	11.0%	5.1%	27.4%	46.8%	15.6%	55.1%
Always lived in this area/settled here	26.9%	37.3%	27.8%	23.4%	25.6%	23.6%	26.5%	30.6%	26.3%
Employment	26.2%	22.7%	13.9%	14.8%	16.9%	29.6%	30.7%	18.9%	39.7%
Good outlook/view	24.3%	16.0%	7.5%	8.2%	5.3%	22.7%	38.0%	10.3%	45.7%
Good value for money/property cost	20.7%	14.6%	4.7%	6.1%	3.1%	25.1%	26.1%	5.8%	41.6%
Safe area/low crime	17.9%	6.6%	6.7%	6.8%	5.3%	12.8%	27.9%	7.2%	37.3%
Nicely landscaped/ good open spaces	17.0%	4.8%	7.8%	3.8%	4.4%	11.9%	28.5%	8.4%	37.5%
Friends and family	15.9%	9.7%	14.8%	7.2%	14.1%	19.1%	21.6%	11.3%	24.1%
Permanent accommodation	7.3%	1.2%	22.8%	10.0%	15.3%	4.0%	3.8%	23.3%	4.8%
No choice/only place housing available	6.8%	1.7%	1.1%	1.2%	2.3%	15.8%	10.2%	4.9%	10.1%
Local services	5.6%	2.8%	-	1.2%	-	11.9%	7.6%	0.3%	9.8%
Good local schools	5.5%	4.0%	-	3.7%	-	4.3%	7.3%	0.6%	10.3%
Security of tenure	5.5%	8.9%	3.4%	2.8%	6.2%	4.6%	7.9%	4.5%	5.8%
Good facilities for children	4.7%	2.0%	-	1.3%	-	3.8%	6.8%	0.3%	11.1%
Other	4.5%	0.3%	17.0%	6.7%	14.0%	1.2%	2.3%	20.6%	0.8%
Good local leisure facilities	4.4%	1.7%	-	0.3%	-	3.7%	3.6%	0.9%	13.0%
Local shops	3.3%	2.6%	-	0.6%	0.2%	6.7%	3.4%	-	6.4%
Access to health/ social care services or facilities	2.5%	0.3%	0.3%	2.3%	2.8%	2.6%	4.8%	1.3%	2.7%
Had second/holiday home here	2.1%	1.1%	2.8%	1.8%	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%	0.9%	4.6%
Transport/accessibility	1.7%	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%	-	2.6%	2.0%	-	3.5%

Residents overall rating of their current neighbourhood was high across all areas, ranging from 99% in Bute and Lorn to 96% in Coll & Tiree, Cowal and Islay, Jura and Colonsay.


Q35 Thinking a	bout your c	urrent n	eighbourh	ood, how v	would you rate	it as a pl	ace to liv	/e?	
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Very good	88.8%	89.0%	83.0%	80.8%	85.3%	87.8%	91.7%	83.9%	97.6%
Fairly good	8.7%	9.6%	12.5%	15.0%	10.9%	8.7%	6.0%	14.2%	1.7%
Neither good nor bad	1.2%	0.6%	1.7%	1.3%	1.0%	2.7%	1.4%	0.9%	0.5%
Fairly poor	0.9%	-	1.7%	2.6%	2.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.7%	0.3%
Very poor	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-
Don't know/ no opinion	0.4%	0.9%	1.1%	0.3%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	-	-

A full breakdown of reasons why residents rated their neighbourhood as poor can be found in Appendix 2.

10.7 Future household formation

The following analysis will focus on new households likely to form within the next seven years. With the exception of Lorn, the proportion of residents who are likely to form a new household is very small and this analysis should therefore be treated with caution.

Lorn residents were the most likely to say they would like to move in the next two years (11%) and also the most likely to say they would like to move in the next two to seven years (11%). Bute residents were the least likely to say they would like to move in the next two years (1%) and also the least likely say they would like to move in the next two to seven years (1%).



Islay, Jura and Colonsay (4%) and Mid Argyll (3%) residents were the most likely to form more than two households in the next seven years.

	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	7	8	14	26	32	36	18	80
Weighted	87	30	319	135	383	538	108	1753
1	85.7%	75.0%	87.0%	92.8%	84.3%	69.5%	73.4%	83.7%
2	14.3%	25.0%	13.0%	3.5%	15.7%	27.4%	26.6%	16.3%
3	-	-	-	3.7%	-	3.0%	-	-


The majority of all households likely to form across all areas will consist of single adults aged under 60.

Household compos	ition for h	ouseholds	s likely to	form				
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	8	10	16	29	37	48	23	93
Weighted	99	38	360	150	443	718	137	2040
Single Adult (under 60yrs)	62.6%	92.1%	94.2%	91.3%	91.9%	82.9%	80.3%	95.8%
Single Adult (60yrs+)	-	10.5%	-	-	-	-	-	1.1%
Two Adult (under 60yrs)	37.4%	-	-	5.3%	2.7%	2.1%	-	1.1%
Two Adults (one under 60yrs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3+ Adults all over 16yrs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1%
1 Parent Family	-	-	5.8%	-	-	-	15.3%	1.0%
2 Parent Family	-	-	-	-	5.4%	-	4.4%	-
Other	-	_	-	3.3%	-	-	-	-
Don't Know	-	-	-	_	-	15.0%	-	-

The below table shows the preferred tenure for households likely to form. Buying with a mortgage on the market was the most likely to be the preferred tenure across all areas with the exception of Islay, Jura and Colonsay where the preferred choice was most likely to be renting from an RSL (23%).

Q41a Preferred tenure f	or housel	nolds like	ly to form	١				
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	8	10	16	29	37	48	23	93
Weighted	99	38	360	150	443	718	137	2040
Buy with a mortgage – on market	74.7%	28.9%	55.6%	22.0%	73.1%	72.6%	25.5%	79.7%
Mortgage or loan for self-build	-	-	11.4%	-	-	-	13.1%	1.1%
Buy a property outright	-	-	21.4%	5.3%	-	-	-	2.2%
Rent from a private landlord	25.3%	21.1%	-	15.3%	5.4%	15.0%	8.8%	-
Rent from employer/living in tied accommodation	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.4%	-
Rent from a housing association or other Registered Social Landlord	-	10.5%	5.8%	22.7%	21.4%	12.5%	15.3%	12.7%
Rent from another Local Authority	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.4%	1.0%
Be a shared owner, paying part rent and part mortgage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shared equity ownership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
As a lodger/rented room	-	21.1%	-	6.7%	-	-	-	3.3%
Other	-	21.1%	-	6.0%	-	-	4.4%	-
Student accommodation	-	-	-	12.7%	-	-	20.4%	-
Don't know/ unsure	-	-	5.8%	5.3%	-	-	4.4%	-

Kintyre residents (18%) were the most likely to say they were currently on a waiting list while Coll & Tiree were the least likely (0%).



Mull & Iona residents (61%) were most likely to say they will form a new household in the same area while Bute residents (75%) were the most likely to say they will move out with Argyll and Bute.

Q41d Area profile for a	l house	nolds like	ly to form	n				
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	8	10	16	29	37	48	23	93
Weighted	99	38	360	150	443	718	137	2040
Bute	25.3%	-	11.4%	-	2.7%	-	-	16.1%
Coll & Tiree	-	21.1%	5.8%	-	-	-	-	-
Cowal	-	-	15.6%	-	-	-	-	1.1%
Helensburgh and Lomond	-	-	-	-	-	12.5%	-	20.4%
Islay	-	-	-	32.0%	-	2.1%	4.4%	-
Jura & Colonsay	-	-	-	12.0%	-	-	-	-
Lorn	-	-	-	-	10.2%	2.1%	-	8.5%
Kintyre (includes Gigha)	-	-	-	-	11.5%	-	-	1.2%
Mid Argyll (includes Tarbert)	-	-	-	-	5.4%	12.3%	-	2.1%
Mull & Iona	-	-	-	-	-	-	61.3%	-
Local area within the HMA	-	-	28.9%	-	-	2.1%	-	-
Elsewhere in Scotland	74.7%	71.1%	38.3%	31.3%	8.1%	14.5%	21.2%	10.7%
Elsewhere in the UK	-	-	-	-	29.6%	12.4%	12.4%	17.7%
Abroad/ overseas	-	-	-	-	-	4.0%	-	4.2%
Don't know/ not stated	-	10.5%	-	24.7%	32.5%	37.7%	-	18.0%

Reasons why new households are likely to form varied by area, revealing:

- Just under one in ten (9%) Lorn residents said they were likely to form a new household because there are no jobs available locally;
- Half of Mid Argyll (50%) and Mull & Iona (50%) residents who are likely to form a new household said this was for employment reasons;
- One in three (30%) Lorn residents said they were likely to move to find affordable housing;
- 15% of Lorn residents likely to form a new household said there is not RSL or PRS properties available in this area

Q41e Why new households are likely to form											
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn			
Unweighted	8	10	16	29	37	48	23	93			
Weighted	99	38	360	150	443	718	137	2040			
To attend university/college	25.3%	39.5%	-	26.0%	16.3%	8.2%	20.4%	5.3%			
No jobs available locally	-	-	5.8%	6.0%	5.2%	8.4%	-	8.8%			
Other employment reasons	-	31.6%	11.4%	12.7%	8.1%	41.6%	49.6%	20.4%			
To form a joint household with someone living in another household	25.3%	-	11.4%	6.7%	37.5%	8.5%	-	6.2%			
To find affordable housing	-	-	11.4%	-	8.1%	10.3%	6.6%	30.4%			
No Council or Housing Association housing for rent in this area	-	-	-	8.7%	-	4.2%	-	6.3%			
No private rented properties available in this area	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.6%			
Wants to live in a larger town	12.1%	-	11.7%	3.3%	8.1%	8.2%	-	6.6%			
Want better social/community facilities	24.2%	-	15.6%	3.3%	-	-	-	1.1%			
Want to be near better transport facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
To move to a better area	-	-	-	8.7%	2.7%	2.1%	-	3.1%			
Other	12.1%	28.9%	32.8%	24.7%	14.0%	6.3%	33.6%	3.2%			

Analysis of barriers to moving by area revealed:


- Financial constraints were of most concern in Kintyre (69%) and Mid Argyll (61%);
- Lack of availability in the area of choice was more likely to be a barrier in Lorn (30%), Coll & Tiree (29%) and Islay, Jura and Colonsay (29%);
- Unavailability of specialist accommodation or support was a barrier for 6% of Islay, Jura and Colonsay residents likely to form a new household;
- Coll & Tiree (71%) residents were most likely to say there was nothing that would prevent them from moving while Kintyre residents (14%) were the least likely.

Q42 What might preven	t the pers	son(s) cor	ncerned fr	om moving o	ut of this p	roperty?		
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal Islay, Jure Cowal and Colonsay		Kintyre Mid Argyll		Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	9	10	17	31	42	49	29	98
Weighted	112	38	381	163	503	733	173	2146
Financial Constraints	43.8%	-	36.2%	22.7%	68.6%	61.0%	42.8%	49.3%
Lack of availability in area of choice	10.7%	28.9%	10.8%	28.8%	17.1%	16.8%	23.1%	29.5%
Requires specialist accommodation or support that is not available	-	-	-	6.1%	-	4.1%	3.5%	2.1%
Other	-	-	-	22.1%	-	-	3.5%	3.9%
Nothing would prevent them moving	43.8%	71.1%	52.8%	20.9%	14.3%	18.3%	32.4%	15.3%

N.B. Please note the base for this analysis is more than the number of households as some new households likely to form will consist of more than one person.

10.8 Future intentions of current households

All households were asked if they would like or need to move out of their property in the next 2 years. Lorn residents (13%) were the most likely to say they would like to move while Islay, Jura and Colonsay residents (3%) were the most likely to say they need to move.




Analysis by tenure reveals:

- Owner-occupiers in Lorn (11%) were the most likely to say they would like to move while Islay, Jura and Colonsay (3%) were the most likely to say they need to move;
- PRS tenants in Lorn (43%) were the most likely to say they would like to move while Coll & Tiree (8%) PRS tenants were the most likely to say they need to move;
- RSL tenants in Mid Argyll (11%) were the most likely to say they would like to move while Mull & Iona (6%) RSL tenants were the most likely to say they need to move.

	Q43 Would you currently like to or do you need to move out of this property into somewhere else in the next 2 years?											
	Owner	occupier	PI	RS	RSL							
Unweighted	19	945	22	23	47	74						
Weighted	24	211	33	73	68	93						
	Like to move	Need to move	Like to move	Need to move	Like to move	Need to move						
Bute	0.9%	-	12.5%	6.3%	8.2%	1.2%						
Coll & Tiree	4.2%	1.8%	15.4%	7.7%	8.3%	-						
Cowal	2.5%	1.8%	13.6%	-	4.8%	-						
Islay, Jura and Colonsay	6.9%	2.6%	15.2%	3.0%	5.4%	3.6%						
Kintyre	8.9%	0.4%	39.1%	-	6.3%	1.0%						
Mid Argyll	5.5%	0.8%	38.2%	-	10.8%	1.5%						
Mull & Iona	3.6%	2.0%	13.6%	-	9.4%	6.3%						
Lorn	11.1%	1.9%	43.2%	6.8%	-	-						

Further analysis of preferred housing options, reasons for moving, preferred location, reasons for preferred location, property type and preferred expenditure can be found in Appendix 2.


Residents who said they did not want to move in the next two years were then asked how they feel about moving from their current home. Lorn (2%) and Kintyre (2%) residents were the most likely to say they are planning on moving in the next two to seven years. Lorn (7%) and Mid Argyll (5%) residents were the most likely to say they may possibly move but not for more than seven years.



Mull & lona residents (43%) were the most likely to say they were very likely to move out of their property into somewhere else within the next two to seven years while 16% of Mid Argyll residents who said they would like or need to move said they were not at all likely to move within the next two to seven years.

Q52 How likely c	ire you to n	nove ou	t of this p	roperty int	o somewhere else	within th	e next 2	to 7 year	s?
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	330	21	17	27	44	52	55	28	86
Weighted	4723	270	57	675	229	635	834	180	1844
Very likely	26.1%	23.8%	26.9%	30.3%	28.9%	31.4%	16.5%	42.5%	25.5%
Fairly Likely	33.5%	57.8%	59.9%	34.6%	44.8%	30.9%	20.2%	26.3%	35.0%
Not very likely	13.5%	4.6%	-	11.9%	12.1%	9.2%	22.0%	11.4%	13.9%
Not at all likely	10.4%	4.6%	6.6%	6.1%	4.3%	15.8%	14.5%	7.0%	10.3%
Don't know/ not stated	16.4%	9.2%	6.6%	17.1%	10.0%	12.7%	26.7%	12.7%	15.3%

Residents who said they were very or fairly likely to move were then asked if they were actively trying to move or purchase a property at present. Lorn (66%), Mull & Iona (64%) and Kintyre (63%) residents were the most likely to say they were actively looking to move or purchase a property at present. Further analysis of action taken can be found in Appendix 2.



Analysis of barriers to moving by area reveals:

- Financial constraints were of most concern in Kintyre (54%) and least concern in Islay, Jura and Colonsay (10%);
- Lack of available property in the area was of most concern in Lorn (46%) and Mid Argyll (42%) and of least concern in Coll & Tiree (0%);
- Housing being too expensive was more of a concern for Mid Argyll residents (52%) than Bute (0%) or Cowal (0%) residents;
- Lack of suitable property was of more concern to Lorn (37%) residents than it was for Coll & Tiree (0%) or Mull & Iona (0%) residents.

	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	217	12	10	20	29	32	46	16	52
Weighted	3122	154	34	497	142	386	695	101	1112
Financial Constraints	42.3%	50.4%	11.1%	25.4%	10.0%	53.3%	47.9%	12.0%	49.1%
Lack of available property in area	33.9%	17.5%	-	13.2%	19.6%	28.4%	42.1%	21.5%	46.0%
Housing too Expensive	28.9%	-	27.7%	-	15.8%	40.8%	52.3%	11.4%	30.3%
Lack of suitable property	25.1%	8.1%	-	8.3%	3.3%	22.0%	33.2%	-	36.9%
Not ready to move	8.6%	16.1%	22.2%	28.6%	10.0%	9.8%	2.3%	5.7%	1.8%
Other	6.9%	-	16.9%	20.3%	17.0%	2.9%	-	29.1%	3.9%
Would prefer to receive care or support in my current home	5.9%	-	-	-	3.3%	3.1%	4.2%	6.3%	11.8%
Not stated/ refused	4.2%	-	-	-	6.9%	9.6%	10.6%	12.0%	-
Still undecided	3.2%	-	22.2%	8.3%	23.3%	3.1%	-	5.7%	-
Waiting for children to move out	1.8%	8.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.9%
Lack of specialist accommodation e.g. sheltered or supported	1.4%	-	11.1%	-	3.3%	-	4.3%	6.3%	-
Lack of support to move	0.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8%
Lack of information and advice on options available	0.6%	-	11.1%	-	3.3%	-	-	9.0%	-


Residents who do not want or need to move were then asked why this was. The majority of residents in each area said they were happy and settled in the area they currently live and that they were happy with their home.

Q56 Why do you r	not want or	need to	move ou	ut of curre	ent accommodati	on?			
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2312	327	175	338	319	292	298	277	286
Weighted	29754	4082	628	7427	1701	3461	4444	1657	6353
Happy/ like where I am/ quite happy here/settled	87.2%	91.4%	95.1%	94.4%	90.3%	87.4%	75.0%	92.8%	81.4%
Happy with my house/home/ property	63.8%	57.2%	57.1%	68.6%	80.1%	67.8%	58.8%	58.7%	61.4%
Like the area	48.9%	52.6%	46.8%	68.8%	62.4%	36.8%	48.3%	48.1%	27.1%
Very quiet/ peaceful	32.8%	17.0%	44.6%	47.3%	56.1%	19.2%	33.5%	48.2%	21.5%
Nice neighbours	28.2%	16.8%	32.2%	46.0%	46.3%	20.5%	29.7%	35.8%	10.8%
It's close to family/friends/ support network	18.3%	4.9%	40.4%	32.5%	52.6%	8.4%	11.5%	33.5%	5.0%
Housing is affordable	15.0%	8.3%	5.8%	19.4%	11.0%	14.4%	18.2%	15.0%	14.2%
It's close to employment	12.9%	4.9%	21.0%	18.6%	21.0%	6.0%	13.7%	19.3%	9.7%
Other	0.7%	-	1.5%	1.1%	2.2%	-	0.3%	3.1%	-

Further analysis of preferred housing options if circumstances were to change can be found in Appendix 2.

10.9 Individual needs

More than one third of Islay, Jura and Colonsay (34%) and Mull & Iona (35%) residents said someone in their household has a long-term illness, health problem or disability which limits their daily activities, more than twice the amount reported in Bute (15%).



Analysis of health conditions by area reveals:

- Bute residents (83%) were the most likely to report no one in their household suffered from a health condition while Islay, Jura and Colonsay residents (59%) were the least likely;
- Mobility and physical health problems were more prevalent in Islay, Jura and Colonsay (24%), Mull & Iona (23%) and Cowal (21%) than they were in Lorn (8%);
- Frailty due to old age was reported more in Mull & Iona (16%) and Islay, Jura and Colonsay (14%) than it was anywhere else in Argyll and Bute;
- Islay, Jura and Colonsay (7%) had the highest proportion of households with someone suffering from a chronic disease, closely followed by Mull & Iona (6%).

Q60 Is there anyone in the household	who suffers from	n any of th	e following	?					
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
None of these	71.3%	82.8%	73.0%	66.0%	59.1%	71.0%	77.2%	61.0%	72.0%
Mobility/Physical health problem	14.8%	12.6%	19.5%	20.8%	23.8%	11.8%	14.0%	23.0%	7.7%
Being frail due to old age	4.7%	0.6%	7.0%	4.8%	13.6%	4.9%	2.0%	16.3%	3.5%
A chronic disease (such as cancer, HIV, diabetes, heart disease or epilepsy)	3.5%	0.6%	4.5%	2.4%	6.8%	4.3%	2.3%	5.8%	5.4%
Difficulties with hearing	3.3%	0.9%	3.1%	2.4%	4.7%	4.9%	2.6%	2.4%	5.1%
Don't know/ Refused	2.8%	1.7%	3.3%	2.3%	4.3%	2.1%	3.1%	4.1%	3.5%
Mental ill health	2.5%	0.6%	1.1%	3.4%	2.4%	3.9%	1.1%	1.0%	3.1%
Difficulties with sight	1.6%	0.3%	0.8%	3.2%	4.0%	0.6%	0.3%	2.6%	1.6%
Learning difficulties	1.1%	0.3%	-	2.1%	0.3%	0.6%	-	0.3%	1.9%
Dementia	1.0%	-	1.7%	1.8%	1.2%	0.3%	0.6%	2.2%	0.8%
Developmental disorder	0.5%	-	-	0.8%	0.8%	0.3%	-	1.1%	0.8%
Other	0.4%	-	0.8%	0.8%	1.0%	-	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%
Drug/Alcohol dependency	0.0%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	-	-

All households (100%) in Mid Argyll who have someone who suffers from a health condition said their current housing meets their needs while Coll & Tiree (11%) had the highest proportion of households who said their current housing does not meet their needs very well or not at all well.

Q61 How well do	you think	that you	r current	housing	meets their needs	?			
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	708	54	47	118	128	94	69	107	91
Weighted	8915	675	162	2575	707	1104	1038	642	2011
Very well	74.7%	68.6%	46.0%	64.2%	58.2%	87.0%	90.0%	54.1%	90.4%
Fairly well	22.6%	27.7%	43.5%	33.6%	38.5%	7.6%	10.0%	44.2%	7.5%
Not very well	1.6%	3.7%	8.2%	0.8%	0.7%	4.3%	-	1.8%	1.1%
Not at all well	0.9%	-	2.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.1%	-	-	1.0%
Don't know/ not stated	0.1%	-	-	-	1.2%	-	-	-	-

Coll & Tiree (6%) and Kintyre (5%) households were the most likely to say they have an unmet need for special forms of housing.

Q62 Do you or any OF HOUSING?	one in you	r househ	old have	an UNME	T NEED for any o	of the follo	wing SPI		RMS
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	708	54	47	118	128	94	69	107	91
Weighted	8915	675	162	2575	707	1104	1038	642	2011
None	96.8%	98.2%	94.1%	96.5%	96.1%	95.7%	95.7%	99.0%	97.8%
Accommodation without stairs	1.0%	-	5.9%	-	2.5%	2.1%	1.5%	-	1.1%
Sheltered housing (with warden)	0.9%	-	-	1.9%	-	1.1%	1.5%	-	-
Retirement/ older persons housing	0.7%	-	-	0.8%	1.4%	1.1%	-	-	1.1%
Residential care/ nursing home	0.4%	-	-	0.8%	-	1.1%	-	-	-
Accommodation suitable for a wheelchair	0.3%	1.8%	-	-	-	-	1.4%	-	-
Very Sheltered/ Extra care housing	0.3%	-	-	0.8%	-	-	-	1.0%	-

Lorn residents were the most likely to say they currently receive regular contact with social services, health or other caring organisations (51%) and also the most likely to currently receive further support but less than 24-hour support from social services, health or other caring organisation (21%).

Q63 Proportion of households with someone v	Q63 Proportion of households with someone who needs and receives (base: all who suffer with a health condition)										
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn		
Unweighted	708	54	47	118	128	94	69	107	91		
Weighted	8915	675	162	2575	707	1104	1038	642	2011		
Regular contact with social services, health or other caring organisations	35.5%	18.7%	25.9%	38.6%	19.4%	25.8%	43.2%	17.5%	50.8%		
Further support but less than 24-hour support from social services, health or other caring organisation	11.6%	3.8%	12.9%	8.2%	7.8%	6.4%	17.3%	8.2%	20.9%		
24-hour support from other caring organisations	1.6%	-	7.0%	1.8%	-	3.1%	2.9%	-	1.0%		
Shared housing with support from other residents and caring organisations	0.5%	-	2.3%	0.8%	-	-	-	3.7%	-		

Mull and Iona residents (3%) were most likely to say they need but don't receive regular contact with social services, health or other caring organisations while residents in Coll & Tiree (2%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (3%) and Mull & Iona (3%) need but don't receive further support but less than 24-hour support from social services, health or other caring organisation.

Q63 Proportion of households with someone who needs and but doesn't receive (base: all who suffer with a health condition)												
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn			
Unweighted	708	54	47	118	128	94	69	107	91			
Weighted	8915	675	162	2575	707	1104	1038	642	2011			
Regular contact with social services, health or other caring organisations	0.8%	-	1.2%	0.9%	2.1%	-	1.5%	2.8%	-			
Further support but less than 24-hour support from social services, health or other caring organisation	0.5%	-	2.3%	-	2.7%	-	-	2.8%	-			
24-hour support from other caring organisations	0.1%	-	-	-	1.3%	-	-	-	-			
Shared housing with support from other residents and caring organisations	0.1%	-	-	-	-	1.1%	-	-	-			

With regards to special forms of housing, an unmet need for level access showers was most prevalent in Islay, Jura and Colonsay (6%) and Mull & Iona (5%) while an unmet need for handrails was most common in Islay, Jura and Colonsay (5%).

Q64 Proportion of households with an UNMET				ne (buse.					
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	708	54	47	118	128	94	69	107	91
Weighted	8915	675	162	2575	707	1104	1038	642	2011
Level access showers	2.9%	3.7%	1.2%	3.5%	5.9%	1.0%	1.5%	5.4%	2.0%
Handrails	1.5%	3.7%	2.3%	1.6%	5.2%	-	1.4%	1.9%	-
Bath/shower seat	1.1%	-	2.3%	1.8%	2.0%	-	-	2.8%	1.0%
Stairlift	0.8%	-	2.3%	0.9%	1.8%	2.1%	-	0.9%	-
Adapted toilet seat	0.5%	-	-	-	2.0%	-	-	0.9%	1.0%
Door widening	0.3%	2.0%	4.7%	-	-	-	-	0.9%	-
Ramps	0.3%	-	4.7%	-	0.7%	-	-	1.8%	-
Equipment to help get in and out of bed	0.3%	-	2.3%	-	1.3%	-	-	1.8%	-
Adapted kitchen	0.3%	-	2.3%	-	-	-	-	-	1.0%
Accommodation with emergency/ alarm call system	0.1%	-	2.3%	-	-	-	-	0.9%	-
Door entry system	0.1%	-	2.3%	-	0.7%	-	-	-	-
Relocated light switches and power points	0.1%	-	2.3%	-	-	-	-	0.9%	-
Special furniture	0.1%	1.8%	-	_	-	-	_	_	_

Analysis of action taken to access adaptions can be found in Appendix 2.

The two most common forms of care and support services received are home care e.g. help with housework, cooking and cleaning and help with shopping. Cowal residents (6%) were the most likely to currently receive home care while Bute (5%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (5%) and Lorn (5%) residents were the most likely to receive help with shopping.

Q66 In terms of care/ support services provided, do you or anyone in the household currently receive or need these services? (% stating current service										
	Average	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn	
Unweighted	2642	348	193	367	363	345	355	306	374	
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197	
Home care/ home help (helping with housework, cooking, cleaning)	4.8%	5.5%	4.7%	6.1%	5.1%	3.8%	3.7%	5.1%	4.0%	
Home care (helping with washing/ bathing, dressing, toilet)	1.7%	1.2%	2.2%	1.5%	3.8%	1.4%	2.0%	4.5%	1.1%	
Meals delivered to home/ meals on wheels	0.7%	1.2%	-	1.1%	-	0.9%	-	0.3%	0.8%	
Day care/ day centre (in hospital, residential home or other organisation)	0.7%	-	-	0.8%	-	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%	1.1%	
Respite/ short term care in residential/ nursing home	0.5%	-	-	0.5%	-	0.3%	0.3%	1.0%	1.1%	
Occupational therapy/ physiotherapy	2.5%	2.3%	0.6%	2.8%	0.5%	2.3%	3.1%	2.6%	2.4%	
Help with shopping	3.9%	4.9%	3.4%	4.2%	5.2%	2.6%	2.8%	1.6%	4.5%	
Night care (someone present at night only)	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%	-	1.0%	-	0.3%	0.3%	-	
Technology enabled care	0.5%	-	-	-	-	0.9%	0.3%	0.3%	1.6%	
Support from local voluntary & community organisations	1.7%	-	1.6%	1.7%	1.1%	1.1%	2.0%	-	3.2%	
Carers Support	1.4%	0.6%	0.6%	1.6%	-	1.7%	1.4%	0.9%	2.0%	
Other	0.0%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	-	-	

As can be seen from the table below, the proportion of residents who said they require care and support services but do not currently receive them is low across all areas.

Q66 In terms of care/ support services provided, do you or anyone in the household currently receive or need these services? (% stating services require										
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn	
Unweighted	2642	348	193	367	363	345	355	306	374	
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197	
Home care/ home help (helping with housework, cooking, cleaning)	0.3%	0.3%	-	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%	0.3%	0.6%	-	
Home care (helping with washing/ bathing, dressing, toilet)	0.0%	-	0.6%	-	0.3%	-	-	-	-	
Meals delivered to home/ meals on wheels	0.1%	-	-	0.6%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	
Day care/ day centre (in hospital, residential home or other organisation)	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	
Respite/ short term care in residential/ nursing home	0.0%	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Occupational therapy/ physiotherapy	0.1%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	0.3%	1.3%	-	
Help with shopping	0.1%	0.3%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	-	
Night care (someone present at night only)	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	
Technology enabled care	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	
Support from local voluntary & community organisations	0.0%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	0.3%	-	
Carers Support	0.1%	-	0.6%	-	0.5%	-	-	0.3%	-	
Other	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Residents living in Mid Argyll (74%) were the most likely to receive formal care and support services provided by either the Council, a voluntary organisation or a private organisation, while Bute residents 29% were the least likely.

Q67a Who is the c	Q67a Who is the carer?													
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn					
Unweighted	460	55	25	76	56	54	60	53	81					
Weighted	6405	691	93	1654	327	638	903	313	1786					
Other Household Member	6.6%	7.2%	8.2%	5.0%	11.6%	1.8%	3.3%	9.2%	9.9%					
Other Relative	27.1%	40.0%	14.4%	24.7%	22.2%	23.9%	14.9%	5.5%	37.1%					
Friend	1.7%	1.8%	-	2.5%	1.4%	-	-	1.8%	2.4%					
Neighbour	0.9%	-	4.1%	2.5%	4.0%	-	-	-	-					
Provided by Council	29.9%	11.0%	32.6%	31.0%	23.3%	26.0%	51.9%	45.4%	24.7%					
Provided by voluntary organisation	8.5%	3.7%	8.2%	9.7%	4.0%	9.2%	11.7%	-	9.8%					
Private Organisation	19.1%	14.4%	28.5%	20.9%	29.1%	33.8%	9.9%	20.7%	16.1%					
Other	3.2%	16.5%	-	2.5%	1.4%	3.6%	-	7.3%	-					
Don't know/not stated	3.0%	5.4%	4.1%	1.3%	2.9%	1.8%	8.3%	10.1%	-					

Mid Argyll (22%), Mull & Iona (21%) and Coll & Tiree (20%) residents were the most likely to receive care from someone at the same address or building. Kintyre (19%) residents were the most likely to receive care from someone more than 10 miles away from their address.

Q67b Distance?													
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn				
Unweighted	460	55	25	76	56	54	60	53	81				
Weighted	6405	691	93	1654	327	638	903	313	1786				
Same address/ building	10.4%	9.0%	20.4%	1.3%	14.5%	1.8%	21.7%	20.7%	13.7%				
Neighbouring/in neighbourhood	13.8%	73.0%	6.2%	12.5%	16.5%	1.8%	3.3%	16.5%	1.2%				
Up to 1 mile away	10.9%	3.6%	8.2%	34.2%	5.4%	3.8%	-	5.5%	2.3%				
Over 1 up to 5 miles away	24.7%	1.8%	8.2%	39.3%	16.7%	20.0%	26.6%	15.0%	24.9%				
Over 5 up to 10 miles away	19.9%	-	36.7%	-	17.1%	31.5%	33.5%	7.3%	37.0%				
Over 10 up to 20 miles away	5.8%	-	-	-	1.4%	18.8%	5.0%	1.8%	11.0%				
Over 20 miles away	2.0%	7.2%	12.2%	2.5%	_	-	-	7.9%	-				
Don't know /not stated	12.4%	5.4%	8.2%	10.2%	28.4%	22.4%	9.9%	25.3%	9.9%				

Cowal residents (79%) were the most likely to receive care and support for 10 hours or less per week while more than one fifth (21%) of Lorn residents who receive care and support services said they receive continuous care, the highest proportion of the areas surveyed.

Q67c Number of h	nours?								
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	460	55	25	76	56	54	60	53	81
Weighted	6405	691	93	1654	327	638	903	313	1786
Less than 2 hrs p/wk	15.7%	27.1%	4.1%	33.4%	8.0%	1.9%	11.7%	9.7%	5.0%
2-4 hours p/wk	30.0%	36.1%	34.8%	19.9%	28.5%	28.0%	28.4%	19.1%	40.4%
5-10 hours p/wk	16.3%	-	12.2%	25.3%	12.3%	24.1%	20.0%	26.4%	8.8%
11–19 hours p/wk	4.8%	14.6%	8.2%	1.3%	9.8%	7.4%	5.0%	11.2%	1.2%
20-49 hours p/wk	3.5%	3.8%	-	6.3%	1.4%	-	-	1.8%	4.8%
50 or more hours p/wk	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	1.7%	-	1.2%
Continuous care	9.2%	9.2%	12.2%	1.3%	13.0%	7.5%	1.7%	1.8%	21.4%
It varies	16.6%	-	24.5%	11.5%	19.7%	29.4%	25.0%	20.5%	17.1%
Don't know/ Not stated	3.3%	9.3%	4.1%	1.3%	7.2%	1.8%	6.6%	9.5%	-

Mull & Iona (57%) residents were the most likely to have paid care while Mid Argyll residents (17%) were the least likely.

Q67d Paid car	Q67d Paid care?												
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn				
Unweighted	460	55	25	76	56	54	60	53	81				
Weighted	6405	691	93	1654	327	638	903	313	1786				
Overall proportion of paid care	31.2%	27.6%	38.8%	35.4%	37.5%	44.9%	16.6%	56.6%	25.1%				

10.10 Household income

Kintyre (27%), Islay, Jura and Colonsay (26%) and Mull & Iona (26%) residents were the most likely to say the total gross income for themselves and their partner is less than £25,000 while Lorn residents (45%) were the most likely to say their total gross income is over £25,000.

Q68a Could you p current TOTAL GR		n which	category	you woul	d place your	(and you	r spouse	/ partner's)
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Under £4,500	0.2%	-	0.3%	-	0.7%	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	-
£4,500-£6,499	0.5%	0.3%	-	0.9%	1.5%	-	-	2.6%	-
£6,500-£7,499	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%	2.1%	0.3%	0.6%	1.9%	1.2%
£7,500-£9,499	1.3%	1.4%	1.9%	1.9%	4.4%	1.2%	-	2.2%	0.3%
£9,500-£11,499	1.6%	1.4%	3.4%	0.9%	3.0%	4.5%	0.9%	2.8%	0.6%
£11,500-£13,499	2.1%	1.5%	1.4%	2.8%	3.6%	2.6%	2.6%	4.6%	0.3%
£13,500-£15,499	2.2%	0.9%	1.4%	0.8%	1.7%	5.0%	3.1%	2.8%	2.5%
£15,500-£17,499	3.2%	0.9%	3.4%	3.0%	3.4%	6.7%	3.7%	3.1%	2.7%
£17,500-£24,999	6.5%	2.4%	6.4%	6.4%	6.0%	6.2%	8.2%	5.5%	8.3%
£25,000-£29,999	5.9%	4.0%	4.4%	4.3%	3.5%	9.5%	6.9%	6.9%	6.6%
£30,000-£39,999	9.4%	7.5%	4.4%	4.0%	4.9%	13.0%	11.9%	3.0%	15.3%
£40,000-£49,999	5.9%	3.2%	3.6%	4.5%	3.3%	7.4%	7.9%	2.7%	8.2%
£50,000-£74,999	5.2%	-	1.7%	2.8%	2.7%	1.2%	6.7%	3.6%	12.8%
£75,000-£99,999	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%	-	0.7%	0.3%	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%
£100,000 or over	0.7%	0.6%	-	-	_	2.0%	0.3%	0.3%	1.3%
Don't know/not stated/ Refused	53.4%	74.5%	64.9%	67.1%	58.2%	38.3%	45.5%	56.0%	38.5%
Nothing	0.5%	0.3%	1.1%	-	0.2%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%

Estimations of total gross income for other adults in the household can be found in Appendix 2.

With regards to respondents expenditure for rent or mortgage payments, Kintyre (54%), Mid Argyll (53%) and Lorn (52%) residents were the most likely to pay 20% or less of their income to cover these costs. Kintyre residents (24%) were also the most likely to spend more than 20% of their income towards rent and mortgage costs. Three quarters of Coll & Tiree (76%) residents own their home outright and therefore do not have mortgage or rent payments.

Q69 Could yo	u please estime	ate wha	t proportion	of your i	ncome is require	ed to mee	et your m	ortgage	or rent?
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Less than 10%	22.0%	28.4%	7.3%	9.4%	17.2%	29.9%	26.3%	9.5%	29.3%
10-20%	20.1%	17.6%	9.5%	17.0%	12.3%	24.5%	26.7%	10.2%	23.0%
21-25%	11.8%	7.5%	2.5%	11.0%	6.3%	13.4%	14.5%	5.1%	16.0%
26-30%	3.5%	0.3%	0.6%	3.3%	1.4%	9.2%	4.1%	1.5%	3.5%
31-40%	1.2%	-	0.6%	3.0%	1.4%	1.2%	0.6%	2.2%	0.3%
More than 40%	0.6%	0.3%	1.7%	1.6%	0.7%	-	0.3%	1.8%	-
Own outright	38.1%	44.1%	75.6%	49.6%	54.7%	19.9%	26.2%	66.1%	27.2%
Don't know	2.7%	1.7%	2.3%	5.1%	6.1%	2.0%	1.4%	3.6%	0.9%

When asked if they had experienced difficulty in keeping up with their housing payments, Bute residents (94%) were the most likely to say they had no difficulties in meeting their housing payments, while Coll & Tiree (74%) and Islay, Jura and Colonsay (74%) residents were the least likely. Q70 The following lists various reasons why some people experience difficulties in keeping up with housing payments. Do any of them apply to this household?

household?				_					
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
No, not having any difficulties meeting housing payments	87.2%	93.9%	73.8%	86.6%	73.6%	85.1%	89.7%	76.2%	90.5%
Don't know/not stated/refused	3.9%	4.3%	15.0%	4.7%	10.1%	1.2%	3.4%	9.1%	1.0%
Unexpected high bills	3.6%	0.6%	3.6%	3.7%	6.8%	6.8%	3.4%	4.8%	2.6%
Increase in rent/mortgage payments	2.3%	-	2.5%	1.3%	4.2%	1.7%	1.2%	2.6%	5.0%
Cost of heating home too expensive	2.3%	0.6%	5.8%	2.3%	8.4%	1.5%	0.6%	7.8%	1.5%
Illness/disability of self/partner	1.7%	-	7.8%	1.9%	5.0%	2.3%	0.3%	9.4%	-
Other	1.6%	-	1.1%	1.4%	2.2%	4.9%	2.0%	0.8%	1.0%
Loss of job	1.3%	0.6%	4.7%	1.0%	4.2%	1.8%	0.3%	4.8%	0.6%
Death of partner or someone else in household	1.0%	-	2.2%	0.9%	3.5%	0.9%	0.9%	5.6%	-
Divorce/separation or some other reason for household splitting	0.8%	-	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%	0.6%	-	2.0%	1.3%
Loss of partner's job	0.7%	-	1.7%	0.6%	3.0%	0.6%	0.3%	4.2%	-
Reduced benefits	0.4%	-	0.6%	0.3%	2.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.7%	-
Supporting unemployed 16-17 year-olds	0.4%	-	-	0.3%	0.5%	-	-	0.3%	1.3%
Failure of business	0.3%	-	0.6%	0.3%	1.2%	-	0.3%	1.7%	-
Baby born or someone else joining household	0.3%	-	-	0.3%	0.3%	0.9%	0.3%	0.5%	-
Interest rate rises	0.1%	-	-	0.3%	-	-	-	1.6%	-
Supporting full-time students	0.1%	-	-	0.3%	0.5%	-	-	-	-
Delay in Housing Benefit/Income Support payments	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7%	-
Administrative error at bank/building society	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-

All respondents were asked if they believed there was a need for more affordable housing to be developed in their area. The table below has highlighted in blue where more than 50% of residents have indicated there is a need for more affordable housing. This shows that island residents of Coll & Tiree, Islay, Jura and Colonsay and Mull & Iona were the most likely to say their area is in need of more affordable housing.

Q72 Do you think that there is a need for more affordable housing to be developed in your area/community/town/?												
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn				
Unweighted	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372				
Weighted	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197				
Yes – housing for sale at low cost	5.8%	73.8%	41.1%	80.9%	42.8%	50.1%	75.8%	46.7%				
Yes – social rented/housing association homes	5.7%	59.6%	48.0%	83.2%	40.2%	43.7%	79.4%	30.6%				
Yes – quality private rented housing	2.3%	29.8%	17.3%	53.0%	16.0%	25.5%	49.7%	25.0%				
Yes – housing for young people/families	35.0%	72.2%	65.2%	85.4%	43.7%	51.3%	82.9%	54.5%				
Yes – housing for older people	6.9%	37.6%	31.9%	62.6%	13.6%	23.5%	57.2%	14.1%				
No – already enough low cost houses	28.0%	1.1%	3.7%	1.0%	0.6%	0.3%	2.2%	0.3%				
No – already enough social rented houses	26.8%	1.1%	3.2%	0.7%	-	0.3%	1.6%	0.8%				
No demand	4.9%	9.2%	5.2%	2.4%	4.6%	3.1%	6.1%	3.0%				
Don't know	28.3%	10.8%	17.6%	8.5%	37.0%	25.9%	6.6%	24.3%				

Finally, all residents were given the opportunity to provide any additional comments about housing need and demand in Argyll and Bute. Residents feeling there was a lack of affordable housing for young people, and that young people were being priced out of the market was most prevalent in Coll & Tiree (31%) while housing prices being too expensive and there being a shortage of affordable homes was most prevalent in Islay, Jura and Colonsay (36%).

Q73 Do you have any additional comments you wish to make about housing need and demand in Argyll and Bute?									
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	1004	22	119	145	254	73	82	217	92
Weighted	10666	273	433	3242	1320	879	1234	1295	1990
Lack of affordable housing for young people and locals/ priced out of the market	22.1%	4.6%	31.3%	21.3%	24.9%	26.4%	25.6%	23.1%	17.5%
House prices are too expensive/ shortage of affordable houses	18.1%	13.7%	27.8%	10.7%	36.3%	20.5%	17.1%	21.7%	14.1%
Shortage of suitable/ affordable homes for families	15.8%	18.2%	7.9%	15.0%	14.4%	9.7%	20.8%	18.4%	17.5%
Too many holiday/ second homes	12.6%	-	31.8%	9.3%	20.0%	1.4%	9.9%	17.6%	14.2%
More should be done to encourage more young people to the island/ area and stop them leaving	12.2%	9.1%	22.5%	9.5%	14.1%	16.4%	13.3%	12.0%	10.8%
Other	9.8%	4.5%	4.4%	18.0%	4.8%	4.1%	4.9%	7.6%	8.7%
More houses need to be built	7.7%	-	5.3%	5.2%	12.6%	2.6%	12.2%	7.0%	10.0%
Shortage of social/ Council housing	7.6%	-	5.7%	6.5%	13.6%	12.2%	9.8%	11.4%	1.0%
Improvements needed to infrastructure e.g. roads, pavements, broadband	7.5%	-	6.6%	12.4%	11.0%	5.7%	4.8%	6.9%	1.1%
Accommodation is very expensive e.g. council tax, rent, utilities	4.9%	-	2.2%	2.6%	3.2%	12.4%	3.6%	4.9%	8.5%
More appropriate housing for elderly and disabled i.e. smaller, access level	4.8%	-	5.3%	7.4%	10.7%	1.3%	2.4%	3.2%	1.1%
Lack of accommodation for workers i.e. doctors, nurses, teachers, seasonal staff	4.7%	-	5.3%	_	17.7%	-	4.8%	8.9%	3.3%
There is already enough housing/ I don't want them to build anymore	4.6%	4.5%	3.5%	6.3%	2.5%	-	1.2%	6.2%	6.5%
Shortage of sheltered accommodation	4.5%	-	6.2%	6.3%	9.8%	1.4%	2.4%	4.0%	1.0%

Research Resource

Shortage of rental accommodation	4.3%	-	7.5%	1.9%	8.2%	6.7%	4.9%	5.8%	3.2%
More one bedroom homes for single people/ couples	3.4%	4.5%	1.8%	1.9%	3.1%	9.9%	5.1%	1.9%	3.2%
Too many empty houses	2.5%	54.5%	1.8%	1.4%	1.4%	-	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%
Restrictions on self-builds and sale of land are too strict	1.1%	-	4.8%	1.3%	2.2%	-	1.2%	0.9%	-
There is a need for more jobs	1.0%	-	-	2.4%	1.3%	-	-	0.9%	-
Council should focus on upgrading existing properties	0.9%	4.6%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%	2.7%	1.2%	0.5%	-
Parking is too expensive	0.8%	-	0.9%	-	-	-	-	6.5%	-
There isn't enough land to build more houses	0.6%	-	_	1.3%	0.4%	-	1.2%	0.4%	-
Housing need fluctuates with season	0.6%	-	_	-	0.6%	-	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%

Appendix 1:

Survey Questionnaire

Project number	P1015
Project name	Argyll and Bute HNDA Household Survey 2019

INTRODUCTION (Read out) Good morning, afternoon, evening. My name is from Research Resource, and we are carrying out an important survey on behalf of Argyll and Bute Council. Your address has been selected at random to participate. The survey as about your housing circumstances and will help the Council plan for the future of housing in Argyll and Bute, making sure it meets the needs of residents. The interview will take about 30 minutes. Can you spare the time to speak to me just now?

Can I assure you that all your answers will remain totally confidential and anonymous. Nobody at **Argyll and Bute Council** will know your individual answers without your permission. You do not need to answer any questions you don't want to and you have the right to end the interview at any time. This interview will be recorded for quality monitoring and training purposes. Can I confirm that you are happy to take part in the survey?

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

Q1	Can I just check, are you the head of the household or their partner? Base: All Respondents				
1	Yes, head of household	1	GO TO Q2		
2	Yes, partner of head of household	2			
3	Neither 3 ARRANGE TO CALL BACK				

Q2	Is this your only/main residence or is it a second/holiday home?					
1	Only/Main Residence	1	GO TO Q3			
2	Second/Holiday Home	2	THANK AND CLOSE			

Q3	How many people currently live at this address, including yourself, any other adults and children? Base: All Respondents				
	Confirm total number in household		GO TO Q4		

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS - SHOWCARD

Q4-Q7. Now I'm going to ask you a few questions about each person at this address, starting with yourself. Could you tell me the sex, age, relationship to you for each member of your household starting with yourself? SINGLE CODE ONLY

	-	4. Sex =1 F=2	Q5. Age Band	Q6. Relationship to Respondent	Q7 Main Economic Activity
Person	1				
Person 2	2				
Person	3				
Person	4				
Person	5				
Person	6				
Person	7				
Person	8				
Person	9				
Person	10				
		INTERVI	EWER: USE SHOWC	ARDS – Q5-Q7 CODING	GRID
Q5. Age	e Codes	Q6. Re	elationship Codes	Q7 Main Ec	onomic Activity
1	0-4	Respo	ondent	More than 3	38 hrs p/wk
2	5-10	Husba	and/wife/partner	31-37 hrs p/	'wk
3	11-15	Son/d	laughter	16-30 hrs p/	'wk
4	16-17	Son/d	laughter in law	6-15 hrs p/v	vk

5	18-24	Mother/father	Under 5 hrs p/wk
6	25-34	Parent in law	Government Training Scheme
7	35-54	Brother/Sister	Modern Apprenticeships
8	55-64	Brother/Sister in law	Volunteer
9	65-74	Grandchild	Seasonal Employment
10	75+	Grandparent	Unemployed/Seeking Work
11	Don't Know	Boarder/lodger	Unemployed/Not seeking work
12	Refused	Other Relative (Please State)	Registered Disabled/Long-term Sick
13		Other Unrelated (Please State)	At Home/Not Seeking Work
14			Full-time Carer (Registered)
15			Full-time Carer (Not Registered)
16			Full-time Student
17			Part Time Student
18			Fully Retired
19			Pre School
20			School
21			Other (Please State)

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

SHOWCARD Which of the following groups do you consider you belong to? **Q8 Base: All Respondents** White Scottish 1 Other British 2 3 Irish Gypsy/Traveller 4 5 Polish Other white ethnic group (specify) 6 Mixed or multiple ethnic groups 7 Any mixed or multiple ethnic groups Asian, Asian Scottish, or Asian British Pakistani, Pakistani Scottish or Pakistani British 8 Indian, Indian Scottish or Indian British 9 Bangladeshi, Bangladeshi Scottish or Bangladeshi 10 British Chinese, Chinese Scottish or Chinese British 11 GO TO Q9 Any other Asian ethnic group (specify) 12 African African, African Scottish Or African British 13 Any other African ethnic group (specify) 14 **Caribbean or Black** Caribbean, Caribbean Scottish or Caribbean British 15 Black, Black Scottish or Black British 16 17 Any other black background (specify) **Other Ethnic Group** Arab, Arab Scottish or Arab British 18 Any other background (specify) 19 (specify)

CURRENT HOME

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q9	Do you own or rent this home, or is there some other a Base: All Respondents	rrangement?	?	
	Rent from:			
	Housing association or charitable trust	1		
	Tied accommodation (rent/ rent free from	2		
	employer)		GO TO Q10	
	Private landlord (furnished)	3		
	Private landlord (unfurnished)	4		
	Owner-Occupier:			
	Own outright	5		
	Buying with a loan/mortgage	6		
	Shared owner (part own, part rent)	7	GO TO Q11	
	Shared equity	8		
	Other (Please State)	9]	
	Don't know	10		

ASK Q10 TO ALL THOSE RENTING

Q10	Please describe the nature of your current let? Base: Private renters or tied accommodation		
	Long Term Let (6 months +)	1	
	Short Term Let (up to 6 months)	2	
	Seasonal Let (accommodation available for a	3	
	number of weeks/months a year)		
	Mid Market Rent	4	GO TO Q11
	Temporary Accommodation	5	
	Other (Please State)	6	
	Don't Know	7	

Q11	What type of housing is this property? Base: All Respondents		
	Detached House	1	
	Semi-detached House	2	
	End terrace House	3	GO TO Q14
	Mid-terrace House	4	GO 10 Q14
	Detached Bungalow	5	
	Semi-detached Bungalow	6	
	Four in a block Flat	7	
	Tower block/Slab Flat	8	
	Conversion Flat	9	GO TO Q12
	Purpose-built Flat	10	GOTOQIZ
	Conversion Maisonette	11	
	Purpose-built Maisonette	12	
	Supported/ Specialist/ Sheltered accommodation	13	
	Mobile home/caravan	14	
	Chalet	15	GO TO Q14
	Other (Please State)	16	
	Don't know	17	1

ASK Q12 AND Q13 IF FLAT OR MAISONETTE. ALL OTHERS GO TO Q14

Q12	What floor is the flat/maisonette on? Base: All who live in a flat or maisonette				
	Basement/ground	1			
	1st_2nd	2			
	3 rd -5 th	3	GO TO Q13		
	6 th -9 th	4			
	10 th or above	5			

Q13	How many storeys, including the ground floor and basement, are there in this building? Base: All who live in a flat or maisonette			
	2-3	1		
	4-9	2	GO TO Q14	
	10 or more	3	6010014	
	Don't know	4	1	

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS SHOWCARD

Q14	Can you tell me approximately when your home was built? Base: All Respondents			
	Before 1919	1		
	1919-1944	2		
	1945-1964	3		
	1965-1974	4		
	1975-1982	5	GO TO Q15	
	1983-1990	6		
	1991-1997	7		
	After 1997	8		
	Don't know	9		

Q15	Does your household share any roc which rooms? Base: All Respondents	oms with any othe	er person or household? IF YES,
	No – none shared	1	
	Yes - kitchen	2	
	Yes - bathroom	3	
	Yes - WC	4	- GO TO Q16
	Yes - Other	5	
	Don't know	6	

Q16	And how many public rooms do you have (dining/living /lounge)? Exclude kitchens/bathroom & bedroom Base: All Respondents			
	1	1		
	2	2		
	3	3	CO TO 017	
	4	4	GO TO Q17	
	5	5		
	6	6	1	

Q17	How many bedrooms do you have in your home? Please include bedrooms being used for other purposes Base: All Respondents			
	O (BEDSIT)	1		
	1	2		
	2	3		
	3	4	GO TO Q18	
	4	5		
	5	6		
	6+	7		

Q18	Which of these best describes the nur Base: All Respondents	nber of bedro	ooms you have in your home?
		1	
	Two or more than needed		
	One too many	2	GO TO Q22
	About the right number	3	
	One fewer than needed	4	CO TO 019
	Two or more fewer than needed	5	GO TO Q19
	Don't know	6	GO TO Q22

Q19	Do you require separate/additional Base: All Overcrowded	l bedrooms for ar	ny of the following reasons?
	Medical needs	1	
	Religious needs	2	
	Accommodation for a Carer	3	
	Social / Amenity Needs (i.e.	4	
	Study, dining room)		
	Different Sex Children Sharing a	5	GO TO Q20
	Bedroom (over age of 12 yrs)		
	Custody of Children part of the	6	
	week/month		
	Commercial / Business Needs	7	
	Other (Please State)	8	

Q20	If you own your own home, do you have any plans to extend your current property to add additional rooms or space? Base: All Owners		
	YES	1	GO TO Q21
	NO	2	CO TO 022
	Don't Know	3	GO TO Q22

Q21	Which additional rooms do you pla Base: YES plan to extend	n to add?		
		WHICH ROOMS?	HOW MANY?	
1.00	Dining Room			
2.00	Living Room/ Public Room			
3.00	Toilet/W/C			
4.00	Bathroom/Shower room			GO TO
5.00	Bedrooms (State How Many)			Q22
6.00	Conservatory			
7.00	Garage			
8.00	Other (Please State)			

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q22	On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your home? Base: All Respondents		
1.00	Very satisfied		
2.00	Fairly satisfied	GO TO Q24	
3.00	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		
4.00	Fairly dissatisfied	GO TO Q23	
5.00	Very dissatisfied	6010 023	
6.00	No opinion	GO TO Q24	

Q23	Why do you say you are dissatisfied? Bas	se: All who are a	dissatisfied
_	Anti Social Behaviour		
	Harassment from neighbours	1	
	Harassment from others	2	
	Problems with neighbours	3	
	Property Related Reasons		
	Lack of aids/adaptations/special facilities	4	
	Require some form of specialist or	5	
	supported accommodation e.g. sheltered housing		
	Dislike type of housing	6	
	Home in poor condition	7]
	Home needs modernising	8	
	Home too small	9	
	Home too big	10	
	Home is difficult to heat	11	
	On wrong floor	12	GO TO Q24
	No garden/need larger garden	13	
	Location Related Reasons		
	Dislike area	14	_
	Not near facilities	15	_
	Not near Employment	16	_
	Not near Social Network	17	
	Not near Schools	18	
	Not near Family/Support Network	19	
	Financial Reasons		
	Dislike owning/renting	20	
	Too expensive	21	
	Any other reason		1
	Other (Please State)	22	
	Don't know/not stated	23	1

SHOWCARD - ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Do you spend more than 10% of your income on heating your home?		
Base: All Respondents		
Yes	GO TO Q25	
No	CO TO 024	
Don't Know	GO TO Q26	
	Base: All Respondents Yes No	

Q25	Would you like us to pass your name and address over to Argyll and Bute Council so that they can provide you with information and advice about energy efficiency and how to make sure you are not spending too much on heating your home? All your other answers will be completely confidential and anonymous. Base: Those who spend more than 10% of their income on heating their home			
1	Yes			
2	No	GO TO Q26		
3	Don't Know			

C. REPAIRS/PROPERTY CONDITION

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q26	As far as you know, are there any outstanding mainte to your home? Base: All Respondents	nance/repair j	jobs that need doing
	Yes	1	
	No	2	GO TO Q27
	Don't know	3	

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS: SHOWCARD

Q27	Looking at this card, does your property require any o improvements? Base: All Respondents	of the following	upgrades or
	Full central heating	1	
	Hot and cold water supply to the wash hand basin	2	
	A bath or shower	3	
	Hot and cold water supply to the bath or shower	4	
	Satisfactory facilities for cooking within the house	5	
	Satisfactory thermal insulation	6	
	Loft insulation (if there is a loft)	7	
	Cavity wall insulation (if there is a cavity)	8	
	Satisfactory heating, lighting and ventilation	9	GO TO Q28
	An effective system for the drainage and disposal of foul and surface water	10	6010 020
	Satisfactory access to the building	11	
	Supply of electricity	12	
	Safe Electrical Wiring	13	
	Fire/smoke alarms	14	
	An inside toilet	15	
	Double glazing	16	
	Have all of these things	17	

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS: SHOWCARD

Q.28	Does your property have any of the following condition Base: All Respondents	on-related issue	es?
	Has significant dampness (e.g. rising or penetrating dampness)	1	
	Has condensation	2	
	Requires major structural repairs	3	
	Has non mains water supply	4	
	Lacks a bathroom	5	GO TO Q29
	Lacks a kitchen	6	
	Lacks an inside toilet	7	
	None of these apply	8	
	Don't Know/Unsure	9	

Q.29	Are you able to carry out the repairs needed on your home? Base: All Respondents				
	Yes 1 GO TO Q31				
	No	2	GO TO Q30		
	Don't know	3	GO TO Q31		

Q.30	If you are unable to carry out repairs on your home, is this be Base: All those unable to carry out repairs (Select all that app	-	OW CARD)
	You cannot afford to	1	
	Unable to get a grant/assistance from the Council	2	
	Repairs are communal and can't get agreement to have them done	3	
	Repairs are responsibility of landlord/ Council/Housing Association	4	
	Repair problems are too severe	5	
	You cannot physically manage	6	GO TO Q31
	Require independent advice on what is needed	7	
	Require to find a reliable builder/other contractor	8	
	Need DIY skills	9	
	Don't know who to ask to repair things	10	
	Other reason (Please State)	11	
	Not stated	12	

RECENT MOVING BEHAVIOUR

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

I'm going to ask you questions about when you first moved into this house.

Q31A	How many people first moved into this house? Base: All respondents	
	Total Number	GO TO Q31B

Q31B	Who were they? Base: All respondents	
	Person 1	GO TO Q31C
	Person 2	
	Person 3	
	Person 4	
	Person 5	
	Person 6	

SHOWCARD

	Q31B. Relationship Codes
1	Respondent
2	Husband/wife/partner
3	Son/daughter
4	Son/daughter in law
5	Mother/father
6	Parent in law
7	Brother/Sister
8	Brother/Sister in law
9	Grandchild
10	Grandparent
11	Boarder/lodger
12	Other Relative (Please State)
13	Other Unrelated (Please State)

Q31C	How easy was it for you to afford this house? Base: All respondents	?	
	Very Easy to afford	1	
	Fairly Easy to afford	2	
	Affordable	3	GO TO Q32
	Fairly Difficult to afford	4	
	Very Difficult to afford	5	

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q32	How many years have you lived at this addre Base: All Respondents	ess?	
	Less than 1 year	1	
	1 up to 2 years	2	GO TO Q33
	2 up to 5 years	3	
	5 up to 10 years	4	
	10 up to 15 years	5	
	15 up to 20 years	6	GO TO Q34
	20+ years	7	
	Don't know/can't remember/not stated	8	

ASK ALL LIVING AT CURRENT ADDRESS LESS THAN 5 YEARS. SHOWCARDS

Q33	How many different addresses lived at in last 5 yrs? Most recent first. Base: All moved in past 5 yrs					
	A. Area	B Year Moved In	C. Year Moved Out	D. Tenure	E. Why did you move from that address?	
Add 1						
Add 2						
Add 3						
Add 4						
Add 5						
Add 6						

		Q33 CODING GRID	
	A. Location	D Tenure Codes	E Reasons Why Moved
1	Bute	Owner-occupier	Larger property/garden
2	Coll & Tiree	Tenant, Council	Smaller property/garden
3	Cowal	Tenant, Other council	Neighbourhood/area
4	Helensburgh and Lomond	Tenant, Housing	Improved/different type of
		Association	property
5	Islay	Tenant, Private Landlord	Garage/parking
6	Jura & Colonsay	Other own accommodation (Please State)	Previous home temporary
7	Lorn	Living with parents (specify tenure)	Issues with neighbours
8	Kintyre (includes Gigha)	Lived with other relatives/friends (specify tenure)	Lack of services/facilities
9	Mid Argyll (includes Tarbert)	Lived in hostel	Wanted own home
10	Mull & Iona	Lived in halls of residence	Health/age reasons
11	Local area within the HMA (specify town/ village)	Other (Please State)	Got married/moved in with partner
12	Elsewhere in Scotland (specify other local authority)	Don't know/not stated	Relationship breakdown/left partner
13	Elsewhere in the UK		Near friends/relatives/birthplace
14	Abroad/ overseas		To live/move in with/friends/other students
15	Don't know/ not stated		Wanted to move out of halls of residence
16			Nearer university/study place
17			To live in a student area
18			Work moved me here/changed job/ employment opportunity
19			Nearer work
20			Wanted to buy own home
21			Make an investment
22			Moving up housing market
23			Reduce costs
24			Had to move out of halls of residence
25			Evicted by landlord
26			Thrown out by relatives/friends
27			Repossessed by mortgage/loan company
28			Overcrowded
29			Other (please state)
30			Don't know

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

234	What was the main reason why you decided to ma Base: All Respondents	ove into you	r current home?
	Employment	1	
	Transport/accessibility	2	
	Friends and family	3	
	Nicely landscaped/ good open spaces	4]
	Safe area/low crime	5	
	Good outlook/view	6	
	Quiet/peaceful	7	
	Local services	8	
	Local shops	9	
	Good local schools	10	
	Good facilities for children	11	
	Good local leisure facilities	12	GO TO Q35
	Access to health/ social care services or facilities	13	6010 035
	Good general location	14	
	Size of properties available	15	
	Type of properties available	16	
	Security of tenure	17	
	Permanent accommodation	18	
	Good value for money/property cost	19	
	Always lived in this area/settled here	20	
	Had second/holiday home here	21	
	No choice/only place housing available	22	
	Other (Please State)	23	

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q35	135 Thinking about your current neighbourhood, how would you rate it as live? Base: All Respondents			
1.00	Very Good			
2.00	Fairly Good	GO TO Q37		
3.00	Neither Good nor Bad			
4.00	Fairly Poor			
5.00	Very Poor	GO TO Q36		
6.00	Don't Know/No Opinion	GO TO Q37		

36	Why do you say it is poor? Base: All who think neighbourhood is poor		
	Area poorly maintained/run down	1	
	Poor public transport	2	1
	Poor outlook/view	3	
	Problem with neighbours	4	
	Problem with dogs	5	
	Unsafe area/ crime	6	
	Poor local shops	7	
	Vandalism	8	
	Poor local leisure facilities	9	
	Poor access to health/ social care services	10	
	Drug abuse	11	
	Poor local schools	12	
	No say in what happens locally	13	GO TO Q37
	Don't feel part of the community	14	
	Alcohol abuse	15	
	Nowhere for children to play	16	
	Noise	17	
	Young people hanging about/ nothing for	18	
	young people to do		
	Parking problems	19	
	Too much traffic	20	
	Fast/speeding traffic	21	
	Other (Please State)	22	
	Not stated	23	-

FUTURE HOUSEHOLD FORMATION

READ OUT: We are interested in future demand for housing so are trying to find out whether there are people within households who may want to have their own separate accommodation in the next two years, perhaps older children for example.

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q37	Is there anyone currently living in this household accommodation, within the next few years, if tha Base: All Respondents		-
	YES, would like to move in the next 2 years	1	GO TO Q38
	Yes, would like to move in the next 2-7 years	2	6010 638
	No, no plans to move	3	CO TO 043
	Don't know/not stated	4	GO TO Q43

Q38	From the people in the household you have told me about, who would be likely to move into separate accommodation, if that were possible? Base: All with someone likely to move out			
	Relationship to Respondent Age			
Person 1				
Person 2	12			
Person 3		GO TO Q39		
Person 4				
Person 5				

Q39 How many separate households are they likely to form –how many differen are they likely to need? Base: All with someone likely to move out			-how many different properties
	1		
	2		
	3		GO TO Q40
	4		GO 10 Q40
	5		
	6		

Q40 Please describe the households likely to form Base: All with someone likely to move out		
Household 1		
Household 2		
Household 3		GO TO Q41
Household 4		
Household 5		

SHOWCARD

	Q40. Household Composition	
1	Single Adult Under 60yrs	
2	Single Adult 60yrs+	
3	Two Adult Under 60yrs	
4	Two Adults (One Under 60yrs)	
5	3+ Adults All over 16yrs	
6	1 Parent Family	
7	2 Parent Family	
8	Other (Please State)	
9	Don't Know	

Q41	Please describe the household's aspirations? Base: All with at least one person likely to move out in next 2 years					
	A. Preferred Tenure	B. Likely Future Tenure	C. On a waiting list? Y=1.00 N=2.00	D. Where are they likely to live?	E. Why will they live there?	
H/hold 1						
H/hold 2						
H/hold 3						GO TO
H/hold 4						Q42
H/hold 5						

	A-B Preferred/Likely Tenure	D. Where are they likely to live?	E Reason Likely to Move
1	Buy with a mortgage – on market	Bute	To attend university/college
2	Mortgage or loan for self- build	Coll & Tiree	No jobs available locally
3	Buy a property outright	Cowal	Other employment reasons
4	Rent from a private landlord	Helensburgh and Lomond	To form a joint household with someone living in another household
5	Rent from employer/living in tied accommodation	Islay	To find affordable housing
6	Rent from a housing association or other Registered Social Landlord	Jura & Colonsay	No Council or Housing Association housing for rent in this area
7	Rent from another Local Authority	Lorn	No private rented properties available in this area
8	Be a shared owner, paying part rent and part mortgage	Kintyre (includes Gigha)	Wants to live in a larger town
9	Shared equity ownership	Mid Argyll (includes Tarbert)	Want better social/community facilities
10	As a lodger/rented room	Mull & Iona	Want to be near better transport facilities
11	Other (Please State)	Local area within the HMA (specify town/ village)	To move to a better area
12		Elsewhere in Scotland (specify other local authority)	Other (Please State)
13		Elsewhere in the UK	
14		Abroad/ overseas	
15		Don't know/ not stated	

Q42	2 What might prevent the person(s) concerned from moving out of this pro Base: All with at least 1 person likely to move out in next 2 years. MULTI				
Pers	on 1				
Pers	on 2				
Pers	on 3		GO TO Q43		
Pers	on 4				
Pers	on 5				
SHOV	VCARD				
	Q42	Reason Codes			
1	Fina	ncial Constraints			
2	Lac	k of availability in area of choice			
3	Req	Requires specialist accommodation or support that is not available			
4	Oth	Other (Please State)			
5	Not	Nothing would prevent them moving			

FUTURE INTENTIONS OF CURRENT HOUSEHOLDS

ASK AL	L RESPONDENTS			
Q43	Would you currently like to or do you need to move out of this property into somewhere			
	else in the next 2 years? Base: All Respondents			
	Like to Move	1		
	Need to Move	2	GO TO Q45	
	Don't Want to Move	3	CO TO 044	
	Don't know/Not Stated	4	GO TO Q44	

Q44	Which of the following best describes how you feel about moving from this your current home? Base: All who do not want to move in the next 2 years		
	I am planning on moving in the next 2 to 7 years	1	GO TO Q45
	I will possibly move but not for more than 7 years	2	GO 10 Q45
	I have no plans to move at all	3	GO TO Q56
	Don't know/Not Stated	4	GO 10 Q56

SHOWCARD

Q45	To which of the following housing options would you like o Base: All who would like OR need to move	or need?	
	Rent from a Housing Association	1	
	Other council	2	
	Mid Market Rent	3	
	Private landlord	4	
	Low cost home ownership (LIFT, rent to buy)	5	
	Owner occupier on the open market	6	ALL GO TO
	Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	7	Q46
	Move in with family/friends	8	
	Self Build	9	
	Shared Equity	10	
	Other (Please State)	11	
	Don't know/not stated	12	

Q46	Would you require further information and advice on the of Base: All who would like OR need to move	options you have	selected?
	Rent from a Housing Association	1	
	Other council	2	
	Mid Market Rent	3	
	Private landlord	4]
	Low cost home ownership (LIFT, rent to buy)	5	
	Owner occupier on the open market	6	
	Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	7	ALL GO TO
	Move in with family/friends	8	Q46B
	Self Build	9	
	Shared Equity	10	
	Other (Please State)	11	
	Don't know/not stated	12	
	None	13	GO TO Q47

Q46B	Are you happy that we pass your name and address to Argyll and Bute Council so that they can provide further information on the options you have noted? All your other responses will remain completely confidential. Base: All who would like OR need to move				
	Yes 1				
	No	2			

Q47	What are the main reasons why you would like OR need Base: All who would like OR need to move	d to move at pr	esent?
	Change of job	1	
	Nearer current work place	2	
	Retirement	3	
	Addition to family	4	
	Children left home	5	
	Death of member of family	6	
	New relationship/marriage	7	
	Relationship breakdown/divorce/separation	8	
	To be nearer family/friends	9	
	Bigger home	10	
	Smaller home	11	
	Better neighbourhood	12	
	Garden/larger garden	13	ALL GO TO
	Illness/disability	14	Q48
	Different kind of environment	15	_
	Start/increase housing investment	16	
	Reduce housing costs	17	
	For better services/facilities (specify)	18	
	Better access to health or social care facilities	19	-
	Need some form of specialist accommodation e.g. Sheltered/supported accommodation	20	
	More permanent accommodation?	21	1
	Other housing reasons (Please State)	22	1
	Other non-housing reasons (Please State)	23]
	Don't know/not stated	24	

Q48	Where would you like or need to move to? Base: All who would like OR need to move		
	Bute	1	
	Coll & Tiree	2	
	Cowal	3	
	Helensburgh and Lomond	4	
	Islay	5	
	Jura & Colonsay	6	
	Lorn	7	
	Kintyre (includes Gigha)	8	
	Mid Argyll (includes Tarbert)	9	
	Mull & Iona	10	GO TO Q49
	Local area within the HMA (specify town/ village)	11	
	Elsewhere in Scotland (specify other local authority)	12	
	Elsewhere in the UK	13	1
	Abroad/ overseas	14	
	Don't know/ not stated	15	

Q49	Please could you provide reasons as to why you would like or need to move there? Base: All who would like OR need to move			
	More Affordable Housing	1		
	Greater variety of Housing Choices	2		
	More Employment Opportunities	3		
	Closer to Family/Friends	4		
	Access to health and social care facilities/ services	5	GO TO Q50	
	Move nearer to place of employment	6	GO 10 Q50	
	Schooling	7		
	Better Quality of Life	8		
	Other (Please State)	9		
	Don't know/not stated	10		

Q50	What type of property would you like or need to move to? Base: All who would like OR need to move		
	Detached House	1	
	Semi-detached House	2	
	End terrace House	3	
	Mid-terrace House	4	
	Detached Bungalow	5	
	Semi-detached Bungalow	6	
	Four in a block Flat	7	
	Tower block/Slab Flat	8	
	Conversion Flat	9	GO TO Q51
	Purpose-built Flat	10	
	Conversion Maisonette	11	
	Purpose-built Maisonette	12	
	Supported/ Specialist/ Sheltered accommodation	13	
	Mobile home/caravan	14	
	Chalet	15	
	Other (Please State)	16	
	Don't know	17	

Q51	Approximately how much wou week/month?	ld you be prepared to sp	oend on rent or	mortgage per
	Base: All who would like OR ne	ed to move		
	WEEKLY	MONTHLY		
	Under £25	Under £100	1	
	£25-£49	£100-£199	2	
	£50-£74	£200-£299	3	
	£75-£99	£300-£399	4	
	£100-£124	£400-£499	5	
	£125-£149	£500-£599	6	
	£150-£174	£600-£699	7	GO TO Q52
	£175-£199	£700-£799	8	
	£200-£224	£800-£899	9	
	£225-£249	£900-£999	10	
	£250 or more	£1,000 or more	11]
	Don't know/not	Don't know/not	12	
	stated/Refused	stated/Refused		

Q52	How likely are you to move out of this property into somewhere else within the next 2 to 7 years? Base: All who would like OR need to move		
	Very likely	1	
	Fairly likely	2	GO TO Q53
	Not very likely	3	
	Not at all likely	4	GO TO Q55
	Don't know/not stated	5	

Q53	Are you actively trying to move or purchase a property at present? Base: All who are fairly/likely to move		
	Yes	1	GO TO Q54
	No	2	GO TO Q55
	Don't know	3	GO 10 Q55

Q54	What action have you taken to find a new property?Base: All who would like OR need to move		
	HA/RSL Waiting List Application	1	
	HA Transfer List Application	2	
	Looking at Buying on Housing Market	3	
	Looking to Rent Privately	4	GO TO Q59
	Other (specify)	5	

Q55	If you are not likely to move or not actively trying to move, why? Base: All who would like OR need to move but not likely to			
	Financial Constraints	1		
	Housing too Expensive	2		
	Lack of available property in area	3		
	Lack of suitable property	4		
	Lack of specialist accommodation e.g. sheltered or supported	5	GO TO Q59	
	Would prefer to receive care or support in my current home	6		
	Lack of support to move	7		
	Lack of information and advice on options available	8		
	Other (Please State)	9		

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS WHO SAID THEY WOULD NOT LIKE OR NEED TO MOVE IN Q44

Q56	Why do you not want or need to move out of current accommodation? Base: Ask all who don't want /need to move			
	Happy/like where I am/quite happy here/settled			
	Happy with my house/home/property	2		
	Like the area	3		
	Nice neighbours	4		
	Very quiet/peaceful	5	GO TO Q57	
	Housing is affordable	6		
	Its close to employment	7		
	Its close to family/friends/support network	8		
	Other (Please State)	9		

Q57	If your housing circumstances were to change in the future, which of the following options would you consider to meet your needs? Base: Ask all who don't want/need to move					
	Rent from a Housing Association	1				
	Rent from Other council	2				
	Mid Market Rent	3				
	Private landlord	4				
	Low cost home ownership e.g. LIFT, rent to buy	5				
	Owner occupier on the open market	6				
	Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	7	GO TO Q58			
	Move in with family/friends	8				
	Self Build	9				
	Shared Equity	10				
	Other (Please State)	11				
	Don't know/not stated	12				

SHOWCARD

Q58	Would you require further information and advice on the Base: ASK ALL WHO DON'T WANT/NEED TO MOVE	options you have selected?
	Rent from a Housing Association	
	Rent from Other council	
	Mid Market Rent	
	Private landlord	
	Low cost home ownership e.g. LIFT, rent to buy	
	Owner occupier on the open market	
	Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	GO TO Q58B
	Move in with family/friends	
	Self Build	
	Shared Equity	
	Other (Please State)	
	Don't know/not stated	CO TO 058
	None	GO TO Q59

Q58B	Are you happy that we pass your name and address to they can provide further information on the options you responses will remain completely confidential. Base: All who would like OR need to move		
	Yes	1	
	No	2	

INDIVIDUAL NEEDS

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q59	Does anyone living in this household have any long-term illness, health problem or disability, which limits their daily activities or the work they can do (including problems due to old age)? Base: All Respondents				
	Yes 1				
	No	2	ASK Q60		

Q60	Is there anyone in the household who suffers from any of the following? Base: All Respondents			
	Mental ill health	1		
	Mobility/Physical HEALTH PROBLEM	2		
	Learning difficulties	3		
	Developmental disorder	4		
	Difficulties with sight	5	ASK Q61	
	Difficulties with hearing	6		
	Dementia	7		
	Being frail due to old age	8		
	Drug/Alcohol dependency	9		
	Other (Please State)	10		
	None of these	11	GO TO Q66	
	Don't know/Refused	12	0010 088	

Q61	How well do you think that your current housing meets their needs? Base: All with someone who suffers			
	Very well	1		
	Fairly well	2		
	Not very well	3	GO TO Q62	
	Not at all well	4		
	Don't know/not stated	5		

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q62	Do you or anyone in your household have an UNMET NEED for any of the following SPECIAL FORMS OF HOUSING? Base: All Respondents				
	Accommodation suitable for a wheelchair	1			
	Accommodation without stairs	2			
	Retirement/ older persons housing	3			
	Sheltered housing (with warden)	4	GO TO Q63		
	Very Sheltered/ Extra care housing	5			
	Residential care/ nursing home	6			
	None	7			

Q63	Do you or anyone in your household either a) need and receive or b) need but don't receive any of the following SPECIAL FORMS OF SUPPORT? Base: All Respondents					
		a)Need and receive	B) need but don't receive	c) don't need		
	Regular contact with social services, health or other caring organisations	1	2	3		
	Further support but less than 24-hour support from social services, health or other caring organisation	1	2	3	GO TO	
	24-hour support from other caring organisations	1	2	3	Q64	
	Shared housing with support from other residents and caring organisations	1	2	3		

SHOWCARD

Q64	ADAPTATION? For each please state if this is available in your home, if it is needed but				
	not available or if it is not needed. Base: All			<u> </u>	
		a)have	b) need but	c) do not	
			don't have	need	
	Door widening	1	2	3	
	Ramps	1	2	3	
	Stairlift	1	2	3	
	Through floor lift	1	2	3	
	Accommodation with emergency/alarm call system	1	2	3	
	Door entry system	1	2	3	
	Relocated light switches and powerpoints	1	2	3	
	Equipment to help get in and out of bed	1	2	3	GO TO
	Handrails	1	2	3	Q65
	Hoists	1	2	3	
	Bath/shower seat	1	2	3	
	Level access showers	1	2	3	
	Adapted toilet seat	1	2	3	
	Adapted kitchen	1	2	3	
	Special furniture	1	2	3	
	Any other special adaptations/facilities. (Please State)	1	2	3	
	None of these			1	Go to Q66

Q65	Have you taken action to access any of the adaptations you c Base: All requiring adaptations	urrently nee	d?
	Yes, I have contacted my GP	1	
	Yes, I have contacted Social Services	2	60.10
	Yes, I have contacted an Occupational Therapist	3	GOTO
	Yes, I have contacted local Housing office	4	Q66
	Yes, I plan to install them myself	5	
	No, I require information and advice	6	GO TO
	No, it is not possible to adapt the type of property I live in	7	Q65b
	Other (Please State)	8	GO TO
			Q66

Q65B	Are you happy that we pass your name and address to they can provide further information about adaptations? remain completely confidential. Base: All who would like OR need to move		
	Yes	1	
	No	2	

Q66	In terms of care/ support services provided, do you or anyone in the household currently receive or need these services? READ OUT LIST Base: All Respondents				
	· · ·	Current services	Services required	Services not required	
А	Home care/ home help (helping with housework, cooking, cleaning)	1	2	3	
В	Home care (helping with washing/ bathing, dressing, toilet)	1	2	3	
С	Meals delivered to home/ meals on wheels	1	2	3	
D	Day care/ day centre (in hospital, residential home or other organisation)	1	2	3	CURRENT SERVICES GO TO Q67
E	Respite/ short term care in residential/ nursing home	1	2	3	
F	Occupational therapy/ physiotherapy	1	2	3	SERVICES
G	Help with shopping	1	2	3	REQUIRED/
Η	Night care (someone present at night only)	1	2	3	NOT REQUIRED
	Technology enabled care	1	2	3	GO TO Q68
J	Support from local voluntary & community organisations	1	2	3	
Κ	Carers Support	1	2	3]
L	Other (Please State)	1	2	3	
	None			1]

Q67	Please describe the no Base: All respondents			e or support se	ervices	
		A. Who is carer?	B. Distance?	C. How many hours per week?	D. Paid Care?	
(helpin	care/ home help ng with housework, ng, cleaning)					
	care (helping with ng/ bathing, dressing,					
	delivered to home/ on wheels					
hospite	are/ day centre (in al, residential home or organisation)					-
Respite	e/ short term care in htial/ nursing home					GO TO Q68
Occup	pational therapy/ therapy					
	rith shopping care (someone present					-
	blogy enabled care					-
Suppo	rt from local voluntary munity organisations					
	Support (Please State)					-

SHOWCARDS

Q67	CODING GRID			
	A. Who is Carer?	B. Distance	C. No. Hours	D. Paid Care
1	Other Household Member	Same address/ building	Less than 2 hrs p/wk	YES
2	Other Relative	Neighbouring/in neighbourhood	2-4 hours p/wk	NO
3	Friend	Up to 1 mile away	5-10 hours p/wk	
4	Neighbour	Over 1 up to 5 miles away	11–19 hours p/wk	
5	Provided by Council	Over 5 up to 10 miles away	20-49 hours p/wk	
6	Provided by voluntary organisation	Over 10 up to 20 miles away	50 or more hours p/wk	
7	Private Organisation	Over 20 miles away	Continuous care	
8	Other	Don't know /not stated	It varies	
9	Don't know/not stated		Don't know/ Not stated	

HOUSEHOLD INCOME

To look at how affordable housing is across the area, we need to collect information about how much people currently pay for their housing and how they pay for it. Can I remind you again that any information you give will be kept strictly confidential and will only be used to produce statistics. No one will see any information about you personally.

ASK ALL RESPONDENTS

Q68A Could you please tell me in which category you would place your (and your spouse/partner's) current TOTAL GROSS INCOME from ALL SOURCE BEFORE DEDUCTIONS, TAX AND NATIONAL INSURANCE – that is INCOME from WORK, PENSIONS, BENEFITS and INVESTMENTS

Q68B Could you estimate the income of any/all other adults aged 16 and over in your household. I mean current TOTAL GROSS INCOME from ALL SOURCE BEFORE DEDUCTIONS, TAX AND NATIONAL INSURANCE – that is INCOME from WORK, PENSIONS, BENEFITS and INVESTMENTS

Q68	WEEKLY	MONTHLY	ANNUAL	A. Respondent & Partner	B. Other HH Adult/s
1.00	Up to £86	Up to £375	Under £4,500		
2.00	£87-£125	£375-£542	£4,500-£6,499		
3.00	£126-£144	£543-£625	£6,500-£7,499		
4.00	£145-£182	£626-£792	£7,500-£9,499		
5.00	£183-£221	£793-£958	£9,500-£11,499		
6.00	£222-£259	£959-£1,125	£11,500-£13,499		
7.00	£260-£298	£1,126 -£1,292	£13,500-£15,499		
8.00	£299-£336	£1,293-£1,458	£15,500-£17,499		
9.00	£337-£480	£1,459-£2,083	£17,500-£24,999		
10.0	£481-£576	£2,084-£2,500	£25,000-£29,999		
11.0	£577-£769	£2,501-£3,333	£30,000-£39,999		
12.0	£770-£961	£3,334-£4,167	£40,000-£49,999		
13.0	£962-£1,441	£4,168-£6,250	£50,000-£74,999		
14.0	£1,442-£1,922	£6,251-£8,333	£75,000-£99,999		
15.0	£1,923 or over	£8,334 or over	£100,000 or over		
16.0			Don't know/not stated/ Refused		
17.0			Nothing		

Q69	Could you please estimate what proportion of your income mortgage or rent? Base: All Respondents	e is required t	o meet your
	Less than 10%	1	
	10-20%	2	
	21-25%	3	GO TO
	26-30%	4	Q70
	31-40%	5	
	More than 40%	6	
	Own outright	7	

SHOWCARD

Q70	The following lists various reasons why some people experi up with housing payments. Do any of them apply to this ho Base: All Respondents		es in keeping
	No, not having any difficulties meeting housing	1	
	payments		
	Loss of job	2	
	Loss of partner's job	3	
	Failure of business	4	
	Increase in rent/mortgage payments	5	
	Cost of heating home too expensive	6	
	Illness/disability of self/partner	7	
	Death of partner or someone else in household	8	
	Baby born or someone else joining household	9	
	Divorce/separation or some other reason for household	10	GO TO
	splitting		Q71
	Delay in Housing Benefit/Income Support payments	11	
	Reduced benefits	12	
	Unexpected high bills	13	
	Interest rate rises	14	
	Administrative error at bank/building society	15	
	Supporting full-time students	16	
	Supporting unemployed 16-17 year-olds	17	
	Other (Please State)	18	
	Don't know/not stated/refused	19	

Q.71	I will now read out a list of situations which may d circumstances. Please indicate for each if it is not problem; or a serious problem for your household Base : All Respondents	t a problem/ı		
		Not a problem	Slight Problem	A serious problem
1	Your accommodation is too expensive	1	2	3
2	You are under notice of eviction/repossession, real threat of notice or your lease is coming to an end (i.e. insecure tenure/temporary accommodation/ threatened with homelessness etc)	1	2	3
3	Someone in the household is suffering harassment or threats of harassment from neighbours or others in the area	1	2	3
4	The health of a household member is suffering because of the condition of the home	1	2	3
5	Your home is subject to major disrepair or unfitness	1	2	3
6	You have difficulty maintaining your home	1	2	3
7	You have to share a bathroom/toilet/kitchen with another household	1	2	3
8	You lack basic facilities (such as bathroom/toilet/ kitchen)	1	2	3
9	A household member has difficulty using the stairs and /or lifts to or within your home	1	2	3
10	You need to be close to a relative/friend to give care	1	2	3
11	You need to be close to a relative/friend to receive care	1	2	3
12	You need to be closer to employment and /or other essential facilities	1	2	3
13	The property is overcrowded and contains a secondary or latent household (eg adult child or elderly relative) which in ideal circumstances would prefer to live independently	1	2	3

Q72	Do you think that there is a need for more affordable hous area/community/town? Base: All Respondents	sing to be develo	ped in your
	Yes – housing for sale at low cost	1	
	Yes – social rented/housing association homes	2	
	Yes – quality private rented housing	3	
	Yes – housing for young people/families	4	GO TO
	Yes – housing for older people	5	Q73
	No – already enough low cost houses	6	
	No – already enough social rented houses	7	
	No demand	8	
	Don't know	9	

Q73	Do you have any additional comments you wish to make about housing need and demand in Argyll and Bute? [INTERVIEWER RECORD IN FULL] Base: All Respondents		
		END	

END THANK RESPONDENT

Appendix 2:

Further analysis by area

Q5 Age prof	ile by area								
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull and Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	5707	616	399	745	721	804	828	634	960
Weighted	76257	7687	1442	16459	3764	9549	12356	3830	21172
0-4	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%	1.9%	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%	2.0%	1.5%
5-10	4.9%	4.7%	4.6%	6.1%	2.2%	7.1%	4.1%	5.7%	4.0%
11-15	7.0%	4.2%	4.6%	6.5%	3.2%	9.9%	7.5%	3.7%	8.2%
16-17	2.9%	0.8%	1.6%	1.8%	1.3%	2.2%	3.5%	1.7%	5.0%
18-24	5.5%	2.3%	4.5%	2.9%	3.4%	7.4%	5.2%	4.9%	8.6%
25-34	9.5%	10.6%	2.1%	5.6%	3.7%	13.6%	8.6%	2.5%	13.6%
35-54	22.7%	20.5%	15.9%	22.1%	13.4%	24.9%	26.2%	18.8%	23.6%
55-64	16.7%	17.0%	21.4%	15.2%	19.0%	12.2%	20.4%	15.0%	17.3%
65-74	19.4%	31.8%	25.1%	21.2%	28.2%	12.5%	17.2%	26.9%	14.5%
75+	9.1%	6.2%	12.6%	16.7%	21.7%	7.3%	5.7%	17.5%	3.2%
Don't know	-	0.2%	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-	-
Refused	0.5%	-	5.8%	-	1.9%	0.3%	0.5%	1.3%	0.4%

Q5 Economic activ	vity by area								
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	5707	616	399	745	721	804	828	634	960
Weighted	76257	7687	1442	16459	3764	9549	12356	3830	21172
More than 38 hrs p/wk	24.6%	10.9%	18.9%	18.4%	16.2%	29.1%	30.9%	19.2%	31.4%
31-37 hrs p/wk	11.5%	21.6%	12.2%	8.2%	10.7%	9.8%	10.6%	9.3%	12.1%
16-30 hrs p/wk	7.4%	6.1%	10.2%	8.9%	8.0%	6.6%	7.4%	5.8%	7.1%
6-15 hrs p/wk	1.1%	1.1%	1.5%	1.4%	2.6%	0.4%	1.1%	2.9%	0.7%
Under 5 hrs p/wk	0.1%	-	-	-	0.1%	-	-	0.4%	0.1%
Government Training Scheme	0.1%	0.2%	-	-	-	-	0.5%	-	-
Modern Apprenticeships	0.0%	-	0.3%	-	-	-	-	0.4%	-
Volunteer	0.1%	-	0.3%	-	-	0.1%	-	0.7%	0.1%
Seasonal Employment	0.1%	-	-	-	0.5%	-	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Unemployed/ Seeking Work	1.2%	0.8%	-	1.2%	0.4%	1.5%	0.7%	0.2%	1.8%
Unemployed/Not seeking work	0.3%	-	-	0.3%	0.6%	1.1%	-	0.3%	0.3%
Registered Disabled/Long- term Sick	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%	4.0%	2.8%	1.7%	0.7%	1.7%	0.7%
At Home/Not Seeking Work	1.3%	2.3%	0.5%	2.1%	0.1%	1.4%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%
Full-time Carer (Registered)	0.3%	0.3%	-	0.7%	0.3%	-	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%
Full-time Carer (Not Registered)	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	-	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%
Full-time Student	1.8%	0.6%	1.3%	0.6%	1.2%	2.6%	1.2%	2.5%	2.9%
Part Time Student	0.1%	-	-	-	-	0.4%	0.2%	-	0.1%
Fully Retired	31.4%	42.5%	35.0%	37.0%	42.9%	23.8%	28.7%	37.8%	24.6%
Pre School	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%	2.0%	1.4%	2.6%	1.2%	2.0%	1.6%
School	14.0%	9.7%	11.9%	14.2%	6.8%	18.9%	15.0%	10.6%	14.7%
Other	0.2%	-	0.3%	0.1%	1.8%	-	0.4%	0.5%	-
Self-employed	0.7%	-	4.2%	1.0%	3.1%	-	-	3.7%	0.1%

Q5 Ethnicity b	oy area								
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Scottish	80.9%	89.4%	69.1%	81.4%	73.4%	88.8%	85.2%	54.7%	77.7%
Other British	16.5%	9.4%	30.9%	16.2%	24.1%	9.9%	14.2%	43.3%	16.2%
Irish	0.1%	-	_	_	1.0%	-	-	0.3%	-
Gypsy/ Traveller	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Polish	1.6%	0.9%	-	0.4%	0.2%	1.0%	0.3%	-	5.2%
Other white ethnic group	0.7%	-	-	1.2%	1.0%	0.3%	0.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Any mixed or multiple ethnic groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pakistani, Pakistani Scottish or Pakistani British	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indian, Indian Scottish or Indian British	0.0%	-	-	-	0.2%	-	-	-	-
Bangladeshi, Bangladeshi Scottish or Bangladeshi British	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chinese, Chinese Scottish or Chinese British	0.1%	-	-	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-
Any other Asian ethnic group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
African, African Scottish Or African British	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Any other African ethnic group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Caribbean, Caribbean Scottish or Caribbean British	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black, Black Scottish or Black British	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Any other black background	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arab, Arab Scottish or Arab British	0.1%	0.3%	-	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-
Any other background	0.1%	_	_	0.3%	_	_	_	_	-

Q11 What type of h	nousing is t	his prope	rty?					
	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Detached House	7.7%	72.1%	23.6%	36.1%	13.6%	20.4%	53.2%	29.7%
Semi-detached House	5.7%	11.2%	25.1%	29.9%	24.2%	33.5%	18.8%	26.1%
End terrace House	2.3%	-	5.1%	5.2%	8.1%	4.8%	2.7%	1.9%
Mid-terrace House	14.6%	0.6%	6.4%	10.7%	24.3%	12.4%	3.5%	11.1%
Detached Bungalow	1.1%	10.9%	9.0%	8.3%	5.0%	8.2%	12.3%	4.8%
Semi-detached Bungalow	0.3%	2.0%	6.5%	3.1%	1.5%	4.6%	3.2%	0.8%
Four in a block Flat	6.6%	-	4.3%	1.6%	4.3%	3.7%	1.6%	0.5%
Tower block/Slab Flat	14.7%	-	1.0%	0.4%	-	-	0.3%	0.3%
Conversion Flat	7.5%	0.3%	4.1%	0.4%	0.3%	1.2%	-	2.6%
Purpose-built Flat	39.2%	0.3%	7.6%	0.2%	18.6%	8.5%	1.3%	17.0%
Conversion Maisonette	-	-	0.7%	-	-	0.6%	-	1.3%
Purpose-built Maisonette	-	-	3.9%	-	0.3%	1.4%	-	1.9%
Supported/ Specialist/ Sheltered accommodation	0.3%	0.6%	2.1%	2.0%	-	-	1.4%	-
Mobile home/caravan	-	0.6%	-	0.5%	-	-	0.6%	-
Chalet	-	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	0.3%	0.5%	0.8%	-	0.6%	0.8%	-
Don't know	-	-	-	_	-	0.3%	-	2.1%
Farmhouse/ croft	-	1.1%	-	0.7%	-	-	0.3%	-

Q14 Can you	tell me approx	kimately	when yo	ur home w	vas built?				
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Before 1919	10.9%	25.9%	44.5%	11.9%	29.0%	1.4%	0.8%	34.4%	0.8%
1919-1944	5.2%	7.7%	13.3%	5.4%	8.3%	3.2%	6.4%	5.2%	2.4%
1945-1964	14.2%	14.0%	4.8%	16.9%	11.5%	13.5%	14.4%	8.3%	14.5%
1965-1974	10.4%	3.1%	5.3%	12.0%	10.8%	11.6%	14.1%	6.5%	10.7%
1975-1982	2.9%	2.8%	2.5%	2.0%	4.8%	2.4%	3.4%	9.8%	1.7%
1983-1990	2.7%	0.6%	1.4%	3.2%	3.3%	1.4%	5.1%	4.9%	1.9%
1991-1997	2.1%	6.6%	1.9%	2.1%	2.8%	0.9%	0.6%	4.1%	0.6%
After 1997	10.1%	5.7%	20.9%	10.8%	19.5%	1.4%	8.6%	24.9%	10.7%
Don't know	41.7%	33.6%	5.3%	35.7%	9.9%	64.2%	46.6%	2.1%	56.8%

Q15 Does yo	ur household sl	hare any	rooms w	ith any oth	her person or ho	usehold?	IF YES, wh	ich rooms [•]	2
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
No – none shared	94.4%	93.2%	97.8%	99.4%	97.0%	94.3%	93.4%	97.6%	88.9%
Yes - kitchen	4.1%	6.8%	1.7%	-	2.3%	0.3%	3.7%	1.1%	10.0%
Yes - bathroom	1.4%	6.0%	0.6%	-	1.6%	1.2%	0.3%	0.8%	1.4%
Yes - WC	0.1%	-	-	-	0.2%	-	0.3%	0.8%	-
Yes - Other	0.1%	-	·	[<u> </u>			0.3%	-	0.3%
Don't know	1.2%	-	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	4.5%	2.6%	1.2%	-

Q16 And how	v many public r	rooms d	o you have	(dining/l	iving /lounge)	?			
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
1	74.0%	86.0%	48.2%	65.6%	63.4%	87.5%	74.1%	53.0%	78.4%
2	20.7%	12.0%	43.4%	26.5%	27.4%	9.7%	22.2%	36.5%	17.0%
3	4.7%	1.7%	7.8%	7.2%	7.8%	2.9%	2.8%	8.6%	4.0%
4	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%	1.2%		0.8%	0.9%	0.5%
5	0.1%	<u> </u>	-		0.2%	-	-	0.9%	-
6	-		-		-	-		-	-

Q19 Do you require	e separate/ado	ditional be	drooms f	or any of	the following	reasons?	}		
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	65	1	8	7	16	18	2	10	3
Weighted	658	12	30	156	83	214	29	69	64
Medical needs	4.4%	-	12.5%	-	5.7%	-	-	-	32.0%
Religious needs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Accommodation for a Carer	3.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34.0%
Social / Amenity Needs (i.e Study, dining room)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Different Sex Children Sharing a Bedroom (over age of 12 yrs)	31.7%	100.0%	12.5%	44.5%	11.4%	33.3%	50.0%	39.7%	-
Custody of Children part of the week/month	3.5%	-	-	-	-	10.9%	-	-	-
Commercial / Business Needs	1.9%	-	12.5%	-	-	-	-	13.1%	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Family visits/ for visitors	15.6%	-	37.5%	13.3%	60.0%	-	-	29.7%	-
Own room for children (same sex/ or different sex under 12)	24.1%	-	12.5%	42.2%	11.4%	27.7%	50.0%	8.3%	-
For more space	15.4%	-	12.5%	-	11.4%	28.1%	-	9.2%	34.0%

Q20 If you own your own home, do you have any plans to extend your current property to add additional rooms or space?

	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn			
Unweighted	65	1	8	7	16	18	2	10	3			
Weighted	658	12	30	156	83	214	29	69	64			
Yes	15.0%	-	12.5%	13.3%	17.1%	28.1%	-	-	-			
No	55.2%	-	87.5%	71.1%	67.1%	33.7%	50.0%	59.4%	66.0%			
Don't know	29.8%	100.0%	-	15.6%	15.7%	38.2%	50.0%	40.6%	34.0%			

Q21a Which rooms	?								
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	10	-	1	1	3	5	-	-	-
Weighted	99	-	4	21	14	60	-	-	-
Dining Room	4.8%	-	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	_
Living Room/ Public Room	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Toilet/W/C	4.8%	-	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	-
Bathroom/Shower room	4.8%	-	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	-
Bedrooms (State How Many)	35.4%	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-
Conservatory	12.2%	-	-	-	-	20.0%	-	-	-
Garage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	3.9%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loft conversion	48.6%	-	-	-	-	80.0%	-	-	-

	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	54	1	8	7	15	5	1	14	3
Weighted	530	12	27	170	86	63	15	94	63
Harassment from neighbours	6.6%	-	-	20.6%	-	-	-	-	-
Harassment from others	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Problems with neighbours	2.5%	-	-	-	15.1%	-	-	-	-
Lack of aids/adaptations/special facilities	12.6%	-	-	20.6%	-	17.8%	-	-	32.6%
Require some form of specialist or supported accommodation e.g. sheltered housing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dislike type of housing	6.6%	-	-	14.3%	5.8%	-	-	6.1%	-
Home in poor condition	26.9%	100.0%	35.9%	14.3%	51.6%	22.1%	-	40.1%	-
Home needs modernising	26.0%	-	50.2%	14.3%	26.5%	44.1%	-	52.9%	-
Home too small	26.0%	-	-	26.5%	11.3%	38.0%	-	41.0%	32.6%
Home too big	19.5%	-	14.2%	24.3%	5.5%	17.8%	100.0%	6.1%	34.7%
Home is difficult to heat	42.5%	-	14.6%	61.4%	21.0%	39.9%	-	56.4%	32.6%
On wrong floor	2.6%	-	-	-	-	22.1%	-	-	-
No garden/need larger garden	4.6%	-	-	14.3%	-	-	-	-	-
Dislike area	5.2%	-	14.2%	-	-	38.0%	-	-	-
Not near facilities	1.6%	-	14.2%	-	5.5%	-	-	-	-
Not near Employment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not near Social Network	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not near Schools	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not near Family/Support Network	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dislike owning/renting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Too expensive	15.0%	-	7.2%	-	-	44.1%	-	31.4%	32.6%
Other	6.8%	100.0%	14.2%	-	9.6%	-	-	12.2%	-
Don't know/not stated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	s you know, ar (% stating yes)		any outst	anding n	naintenance,	/repair job	s that ne	ed doing	g to
	All respondent s	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowa I	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighte d	376	8	64	84	96	13	22	78	11
Weighted	3902	100	231	1881	504	162	333	462	230
Owner occupier	6.6%	24.9%	94.0%	69.3%	68.6%	74.2%	57.4%	81.8%	28.5%
PRS	-	-	4.3%	11.2%	10.0%	25.8%	24.4%	5.8%	71.5%
RSL	2.5%	75.1%	1.7%	19.4%	21.5%	-	18.1%	12.3%	-

	All respondent s	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Full central heating	4.0%	-	19.5%	6.7%	13.1%	2.1%	1.7%	14.2%	0.3%
Hot and cold water supply to the wash hand basin	0.1%	-	-	-	0.5%	0.3%	-	-	-
A bath or shower	0.4%	0.3%	2.2%	1.1%	0.5%	-	-	0.6%	-
Hot and cold water supply to the bath or shower	0.0%	-	-	-	0.5%	-	-	-	-
Satisfactory facilities for cooking within the house	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Satisfactory thermal insulation	1.2%	-	6.1%	0.8%	3.4%	1.2%	1.7%	4.3%	0.3%
Loft insulation (if there is a loft)	1.4%	0.3%	7.3%	1.3%	5.6%	0.6%	1.4%	4.8%	0.3%
Cavity wall insulation (if there is a cavity)	1.7%	0.6%	5.6%	1.7%	4.9%	1.7%	1.7%	5.5%	0.3%
Satisfactory heating, lighting and ventilation	0.4%	-	-	0.8%	1.2%	-	0.3%	1.2%	-
An effective system for the drainage and disposal of foul and surface water	0.5%	0.3%	1.7%	1.3%	0.8%	-	-	1.2%	-
Satisfactory access to the building	0.0%	-	1.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Supply of electricity	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-
Safe Electrical Wiring	0.9%	-	3.1%	2.3%	3.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.6%	-
Fire/smoke alarms	0.1%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0.3%	-	-	-	-
An inside toilet	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Double glazing	5.0%	2.3%	20.7%	8.3%	16.5%	1.2%	2.5%	15.1%	0.3%
Have all of these things	89.3%	96.6%	64.9%	79.7%	70.6%	94.9%	94.6%	72.4%	98.7%

Q28 Does your p	property have a	iny of th	e followir	ng condit	ion-related is	sues?			
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Has significant dampness (e.g. rising or penetrating dampness)	4.4%	0.9%	14.8%	7.1%	14.9%	1.2%	3.5%	12.5%	0.8%
Has condensation	5.1%	0.9%	9.0%	6.7%	9.7%	6.3%	5.0%	4.0%	4.1%
Requires major structural repairs	1.0%	0.9%	4.4%	1.5%	3.8%	-	0.6%	2.5%	-
Has non mains water supply	0.1%	-	5.0%	-	0.3%	-	-	0.6%	-
Lacks a bathroom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lacks a kitchen	0.0%	-	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lacks an inside toilet	0.0%	-	-	-	0.2%	-	-	-	-
None of these apply	85.6%	97.7%	76.6%	83.2%	77.3%	82.6%	85.6%	77.0%	87.8%
Don't Know/Unsure	6.3%	0.6%	3.3%	6.3%	4.0%	9.9%	7.4%	7.3%	7.6%

Q28 Does your property have any of the following condition-related issues? (% with a condition related issue) Islay, All Coll & Mid Mull & Bute Cowal Jura and Kintyre Lorn respondents Tiree lona Argyll Colonsay 25 262 39 25 46 17 Unweighted 7 38 65 Weighted 2796 88 138 852 360 306 383 288 380 Owner 56.5% 48.0% 28.1% 91.4% 63.1% 19.6% 30.8% 63.7% 23.0% occupier 11.2% 36.4% PRS 18.4% 15.3% 5.8% 8.2% 29.8% 18.8% 27.0% 33.7% 56.6% 32.3% RSL 2.8% 28.6% 44.1% 39.5% 17.6% 50.0%

Q31c How ea	ısy was it for yo	ou to af	ford this ho	ouse? (%	stating difficult by	tenure)			
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	17	-	2	2	6	2	-	5	-
Weighted	134	-	8	41	29	28	-	29	-
Owner occupier	75.5%	-	100.0%	100.0%	82.5%	-	-	100.0%	-
PRS	24.5%	-	-	-	17.5%	100.0%	-	-	-
RSL	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-

Q32 How many ye	ears have you l	ived at t	his addre	ess?					
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	2642	348	192	365	363	344	353	305	372
Weighted	34477	4352	685	8102	1930	4096	5278	1837	8197
Less than 1 year	2.4%	2.3%	1.1%	4.8%	2.1%	1.5%	0.8%	1.9%	1.6%
1 up to 2 years	3.3%	2.1%	1.4%	6.6%	1.2%	1.9%	2.3%	2.2%	3.2%
2 up to 5 years	7.9%	5.6%	6.7%	9.3%	7.6%	5.9%	4.6%	7.0%	11.4%
5 up to 10 years	26.1%	35.5%	23.1%	24.5%	17.3%	27.5%	25.3%	17.3%	26.9%
10 up to 15 years	17.6%	21.2%	13.3%	12.9%	11.3%	20.5%	27.1%	15.8%	14.9%
15 up to 20 years	12.9%	11.7%	7.5%	11.6%	10.5%	12.4%	12.1%	9.8%	17.4%
20+ years	28.2%	20.8%	46.8%	30.3%	49.8%	28.8%	24.7%	45.5%	21.4%
Don't know/ can't remember/ not stated	1.6%	0.9%	-	-	0.3%	1.4%	3.1%	0.3%	3.2%

	All respondent s	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	24	-	3	8	7	1	1	3	1
Weighted	327	-	11	209	41	12	15	18	21
Problem with neighbours	55.1%	-	-	66.8%	32.1%	-	100.0%	67.8%	-
Drug abuse	22.3%	-	-	23.3%	-	100.0%	-	67.8%	-
Poor local leisure facilities	19.2%	-	-	21.6%	-	100.0%	-	32.2%	-
Young people hanging about/ nothing for young people to do	14.9%	-	-	23.3%	-	-	-	-	-
Poor local shops	11.8%	-	-	9.9%	-	100.0%	-	32.2%	-
Alcohol abuse	9.2%	-	-	11.7%	-	-	-	32.2%	-
Don't feel part of the community	7.8%	-	-	9.9%	11.7%	-	-	-	-
Poor outlook/view	7.5%	-	-	11.7%	-	-	-	-	-
Not stated	6.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0%
Other	5.5%	-	66.7%	-	11.7%	-	-	32.2%	-
Poor public transport	4.7%	-	-	-	24.0%	-	-	32.2%	-
Area poorly maintained/run down	3.7%	-	-	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-
Too much traffic	2.5%	-	-	-	20.5%	-	-	-	-
Fast/speeding traffic	2.5%	-	-	-	20.5%	-	-	-	-
Poor local schools	1.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.2%	-
Unsafe area/ crime	1.2%	-	33.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vandalism	1.2%	-	33.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Problem with dogs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poor access to health/ social care services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
No say in what happens locally	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nowhere for children to play	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Noise	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parking problems	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-

	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	330	21	17	27	44	52	55	28	86
Weighted	4723	270	57	675	229	635	834	180	1844
Rent from a Housing Association	25.6%	28.1%	6.6%	17.5%	46.1%	29.2%	28.3%	49.1%	21.6%
Other council	10.6%	4.6%	6.6%	3.1%	26.3%	7.9%	13.5%	31.3%	10.0%
Mid-Market Rent	4.7%	5.0%	-	-	2.1%	1.8%	7.8%	14.6%	5.6%
Private landlord	3.1%	14.2%	-	-	4.1%	2.2%	5.7%	8.2%	1.1%
Low cost home ownership (LIFT, rent	3.3%	-	-	-	4.3%	4.4%	1.8%	22.8%	3.5%

to buy)

Owner occupier on

the open market Owner occupier under the Right to

Buy scheme Move in with

family/friends Self-Build

Shared Equity

Don't know/not

Other

stated

60.7%

2.5%

2.5%

2.6%

0.2%

3.2%

7.9%

48.0%

4.6%

-

-

-

-

4.6%

53.1%

_

3.5%

16.9%

-

6.6%

19.9%

56.7%

_

3.1%

-

_

6.1%

19.6%

37.0%

4.3%

-

15.0%

-

14.5%

2.1%

67.3%

_

-

-

_

3.7%

5.7%

64.2%

3.5%

-

1.8%

-

2.0%

5.7%

32.2%

3.2%

6.4%

24.8%

6.4%

6.7%

3.2%

66.0%

3.3%

4.7%

1.1%

-

1.2%

6.7%

	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	330	21	17	27	44	52	55	28	86
Weighted	4723	270	57	675	229	635	834	180	1844
Smaller home	30.2%	13.8%	6.6%	19.0%	11.4%	33.7%	23.2%	24.1%	42.4%
Reduce housing costs	23.6%	9.6%	-	13.5%	7.3%	14.3%	20.6%	8.2%	38.1%
Different kind of environment	23.3%	9.6%	-	-	2.1%	30.2%	32.8%	3.2%	32.5%
Bigger home	16.8%	18.5%	6.6%	13.4%	2.2%	31.7%	25.2%	25.1%	10.3%
Change of job	13.0%	19.6%	-	-	-	11.4%	20.2%	3.2%	17.0%
Retirement	12.4%	_	6.6%	8.3%	6.5%	7.5%	16.2%	-	17.7%
More permanent accommodation?	11.7%	10.0%	3.3%	20.8%	13.1%	8.8%	5.9%	23.4%	11.1%
Garden/larger garden	9.9%	4.6%	-	6.7%	-	16.8%	16.1%	-	9.2%
To be nearer family/friends	9.8%	4.6%	19.9%	9.8%	12.4%	7.4%	7.6%	12.7%	11.6%
Better access to health or social care facilities	9.1%	9.2%	13.3%	-	6.2%	1.9%	5.5%	12.7%	16.3%
Illness/disability	8.8%	4.6%	13.3%	9.2%	9.9%	5.7%	3.6%	3.2%	12.9%
Children left home	8.5%	4.6%	-	-	2.1%	11.5%	15.9%	-	9.6%
Better neighbourhood	7.3%	-	-	8.8%	-	15.6%	9.1%	3.2%	5.6%
Don't know/not stated	6.7%	4.6%	6.6%	-	4.1%	3.7%	5.3%	3.5%	11.8%
Addition to family	5.4%	4.6%	-	6.1%	4.3%	9.2%	5.5%	-	4.7%
Start/increase housing investment	4.9%	10.0%	-	5.2%	-	9.7%	5.3%	-	3.5%
Other housing reasons	4.1%	-	23.6%	-	15.9%	2.2%	7.7%	14.9%	2.2%
New relationship/marriage	3.2%	4.6%	-	3.1%	5.7%	-	1.8%	3.2%	4.6%
Other non-housing reasons	2.9%	5.0%	30.0%	6.1%	12.4%	-	-	8.2%	1.1%
Death of member of family	2.7%	-	-	-	-	5.7%	-	3.5%	4.7%
Nearer current work place	2.0%	4.6%	-	3.1%	2.1%	1.8%	-	3.2%	2.2%
Relationship breakdown/divorce/separation	1.9%	-	-	3.1%	-	2.2%	2.0%	-	2.2%
Need some form of specialist accommodation e.g. Sheltered/supported accommodation	1.3%	-	6.6%	3.1%	5.7%	1.9%	-	6.7%	-
For better services/facilities	0.8%	5.0%	-	-	10.4%	-	-	-	-

	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	205	4	13	16	31	32	34	18	57
Weighted	2810	50	50	331	147	384	501	103	1245
Smaller home	44.6%	25.0%	7.7%	31.3%	6.5%	50.0%	35.3%	33.3%	57.9%
Different kind of environment	24.5%	-	-	-	3.2%	28.1%	35.3%	5.6%	31.6%
Reduce housing costs	19.2%	-	-	6.3%	-	6.3%	14.7%	5.6%	33.3%
Bigger home	17.5%	25.0%	7.7%	12.5%	-	43.8%	32.4%	16.7%	7.0%
Retirement	15.2%	-	7.7%	6.3%	3.2%	12.5%	17.6%	-	21.1%
Children left home	12.5%	25.0%	-	-	3.2%	12.5%	26.5%	-	12.3%
Better access to health or social care facilities	10.8%	-	15.4%	-	9.7%	3.1%	5.9%	22.2%	17.5%
Illness/disability	10.7%	-	15.4%	18.8%	9.7%	-	2.9%	5.6%	15.8%
To be nearer family/friends	10.2%	-	23.1%	12.5%	19.4%	9.4%	2.9%	22.2%	10.5%
Don't know/not stated	10.2%	-	7.7%	-	6.5%	3.1%	8.8%	-	17.5%
Change of job	8.2%	25.0%	-	-	-	9.4%	17.6%	5.6%	7.0%
Garden/larger garden	7.7%	-	-	6.3%	-	12.5%	11.8%	-	7.0%
Addition to family	6.3%	-	-	12.5%	3.2%	9.4%	5.9%	-	5.3%
Start/increase housing investment	4.8%	-	-	-	-	12.5%	8.8%	-	3.5%
Death of member of family	4.4%	-	-	-	-	9.4%	-	-	7.0%
Better neighbourhood	3.9%	-	-	-	-	15.6%	8.8%	5.6%	-
New relationship/marriage	3.6%	25.0%	-	6.3%	3.2%	-	2.9%	5.6%	3.5%
Other non-housing reasons	3.2%	-	30.8%	12.5%	19.4%	-	-	5.6%	-
Need some form of specialist accommodation e.g. Sheltered/supported accommodation	1.7%	-	7.7%	6.3%	3.2%	3.1%	-	5.6%	-
Nearer current work place	1.1%	-	-	6.3%	3.2%	-	-	5.6%	-
For better services/facilities	0.8%	-	-	-	16.1%	-	-	-	-
Other housing reasons	0.8%	-	15.4%	-	3.2%	-	-	11.1%	_
Relationship breakdown/divorce/separation	0.7%	-	-	6.3%	-	-	-	-	-
More permanent accommodation?	0.6%	-	-	_	3.2%	-	-	11.1%	-

	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	82	8	3	7	8	10	13	5	28
Weighted	1371	108	6	246	40	139	212	45	575
Reduce housing costs	38.9%	12.5%	-	28.6%	-	40.0%	46.2%	20.0%	50.0%
More permanent accommodation?	38.0%	25.0%	-	57.1%	62.5%	40.0%	23.1%	40.0%	35.7%
Change of job	25.2%	37.5%	-	-	-	10.0%	30.8%	-	39.3%
Different kind of environment	23.9%	12.5%	-	-	-	20.0%	38.5%	-	35.7%
Better neighbourhood	13.3%	-	-	14.3%	-	20.0%	7.7%	-	17.9%
Bigger home	10.9%	-	-	-	12.5%	-	15.4%	20.0%	17.9%
To be nearer family/friends	9.6%	-	-	-	-	-	23.1%	-	14.3%
Other housing reasons	9.6%	-	66.7%	-	37.5%	10.0%	23.1%	20.0%	7.1%
Retirement	7.5%	-	-	14.3%	25.0%	-	7.7%	-	7.1%
Better access to health or social care facilities	7.2%	-	-	-	-	-	7.7%	-	14.3%
Garden/larger garden	7.0%	-	-	-	-	10.0%	-	-	14.3%
Start/increase housing investment	7.0%	25.0%	-	14.3%	-	10.0%	-	-	3.6%
Smaller home	6.3%	-	-	-	-	-	7.7%	20.0%	10.7%
Relationship breakdown/divorce/separation	5.2%	-	-	-	-	10.0%	7.7%	-	7.1%
Illness/disability	4.0%	-	-	-	-	10.0%	-	-	7.1%
Other non-housing reasons	3.3%	12.5%	33.3%	-	-	-	-	20.0%	3.6%
Addition to family	3.1%	-	-	-	12.5%	-	7.7%	-	3.6%
Nearer current work place	3.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.1%
New relationship/marriage	3.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.1%
Children left home	1.0%	-	-	-	-	10.0%	-	-	-
For better services/facilities	1.0%	12.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Death of member of family	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Need some form of specialist accommodation e.g. Sheltered/supported accommodation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know/not stated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_

	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	43	9	1	4	5	10	8	5	1
Weighted	542	112	2	98	42	112	121	32	24
Garden/larger garden	29.0%	11.1%	-	25.0%	-	40.0%	62.5%	-	-
Bigger home	28.4%	33.3%	-	50.0%	-	30.0%	12.5%	60.0%	-
Smaller home	16.3%	22.2%	-	25.0%	40.0%	20.0%	-	-	-
Different kind of environment	15.5%	11.1%	-	-	-	50.0%	12.5%	-	-
Illness/disability	10.8%	11.1%	-	-	20.0%	20.0%	12.5%	-	-
Retirement	10.0%	-	-	-	-	-	25.0%	-	100.0%
Better neighbourhood	9.4%	-	-	25.0%	-	10.0%	12.5%	-	-
To be nearer family/friends	8.9%	11.1%	-	25.0%	-	10.0%	-	-	-
Reduce housing costs	7.4%	11.1%	-	-	40.0%	10.0%	-	-	-
Other housing reasons	7.4%	-	100.0%	_	40.0%	-	12.5%	20.0%	-
Change of job	6.9%	-	-	-	-	20.0%	12.5%	-	-
Children left home	6.5%	-	-	-	-	10.0%	-	-	100.0%
Addition to family	6.4%	11.1%	-	_	-	20.0%	-	-	-
Don't know/not stated	5.5%	11.1%	-	-	-	10.0%	-	20.0%	-
Better access to health or social care facilities	4.6%	22.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nearer current work place	4.4%	11.1%	-	_	-	10.0%	-	-	-
Need some form of specialist accommodation e.g. Sheltered/supported accommodation	2.7%	-	-	-	20.0%	-	-	20.0%	-
More permanent accommodation?	2.7%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	40.0%	-
New relationship/marriage	1.5%	-	-	-	20.0%	-	-	-	-
Death of member of family	1.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0%	-
Relationship breakdown/divorce/separation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Start/increase housing investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
For better services/facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other non-housing reasons	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Q48 Where would	you like or nee	ed to mo	ve to?						
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	330	21	17	27	44	52	55	28	86
Weighted	4723	270	57	675	229	635	834	180	1844
Bute	15.9%	61.9%	-	30.3%	-	7.2%	9.0%	-	14.0%
Coll & Tiree	1.1%	-	23.6%	-	2.1%	-	1.8%	-	1.1%
Cowal	5.3%	-	-	34.2%	-	-	-	-	1.2%
Helensburgh and Lomond	6.3%	-	-	-	-	5.8%	11.0%	-	9.3%
Islay	2.5%	-	-	-	45.9%	-	1.8%	-	-
Jura & Colonsay	0.8%	-	-	-	16.7%	-	-	-	-
Lorn	10.1%	-	-	-	-	-	3.7%	-	24.2%
Kintyre (includes Gigha)	5.0%	-	_	-	-	37.0%	-	_	-
Mid Argyll (includes Tarbert)	8.8%	-	_	-	2.1%	10.0%	31.1%	-	4.7%
Mull & Iona	3.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	77.7%	1.2%
Local area within the HMA	3.3%	-	19.9%	9.2%	4.1%	-	-	6.4%	3.3%
Elsewhere in Scotland	6.5%	19.3%	26.5%	18.0%	12.6%	-	3.9%	6.4%	2.4%
Elsewhere in the UK	17.8%	-	16.7%	3.1%	12.4%	16.9%	18.3%	3.2%	28.1%
Abroad/ overseas	2.5%	-	6.6%	-	-	7.5%	-	-	3.6%
Don't know/ not stated	10.6%	18.8%	6.6%	5.2%	4.1%	15.5%	19.4%	6.4%	7.0%

Q49 Please could y	ou provide rea	sons as	to why you	J would lik	e or need to move	e there?			
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	330	21	17	27	44	52	55	28	86
Weighted	4723	270	57	675	229	635	834	180	1844
Closer to Family/Friends	32.8%	23.5%	26.5%	30.8%	30.6%	21.3%	27.4%	17.8%	43.2%
Greater variety of Housing Choices	30.0%	-	-	3.1%	6.5%	30.8%	30.6%	3.2%	50.1%
More Affordable Housing	21.0%	24.2%	-	3.1%	2.1%	19.6%	24.5%	13.2%	29.8%
More Employment Opportunities	20.1%	14.2%	3.5%	5.2%	4.3%	27.0%	18.5%	8.2%	28.5%
Better Quality of Life	19.7%	23.5%	3.5%	19.6%	12.1%	42.0%	27.2%	-	11.4%
Access to health and social care facilities/ services	10.8%	-	13.3%	3.1%	8.3%	13.2%	10.8%	6.4%	15.1%
Other	10.0%	13.8%	29.9%	11.9%	40.6%	5.4%	5.3%	56.3%	3.6%
Don't know/not stated	7.8%	4.6%	-	24.8%	2.1%	5.7%	7.1%	-	4.7%
Move nearer to place of employment	4.1%	10.0%	-	3.1%	2.1%	4.1%	5.5%	14.6%	2.3%
Schooling	3.8%	-	23.4%	8.8%	2.1%	1.9%	1.8%	6.4%	3.6%

Q49 Please could you provide reasons as to why you would like or need to move there? (Reasons provided by owner-occupiers)											
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn		
Unweighted	205	4	13	16	31	32	34	18	57		
Weighted	2810	50	50	331	147	384	501	103	1245		
Closer to Family/Friends	38.4%	-	30.8%	37.5%	41.9%	25.0%	29.4%	22.2%	49.1%		
Greater variety of Housing Choices	33.5%	-	-	6.3%	3.2%	21.9%	38.2%	5.6%	50.9%		
Better Quality of Life	16.7%	25.0%	-	18.8%	9.7%	59.4%	17.6%	-	5.3%		
Access to health and social care facilities/ services	14.2%	-	15.4%	6.3%	12.9%	21.9%	11.8%	11.1%	15.8%		
More Affordable Housing	12.8%	25.0%	-	6.3%	3.2%	6.3%	14.7%	5.6%	17.5%		
More Employment Opportunities	12.6%	25.0%	-	-	3.2%	15.6%	14.7%	5.6%	15.8%		
Other	9.3%	50.0%	30.8%	6.3%	29.0%	3.1%	5.9%	50.0%	5.3%		
Don't know/not stated	7.6%	-	-	18.8%	3.2%	-	11.8%	-	7.0%		
Schooling	4.3%	-	23.1%	-	3.2%	3.1%	2.9%	11.1%	5.3%		
Move nearer to place of employment	3.8%	-	-	6.3%	3.2%	3.1%	5.9%	16.7%	1.8%		

Q49 Please could you provide reasons as to why you would like or need to move there? (Reasons provided by PRS tenants)											
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn		
Unweighted	82	8	3	7	8	10	13	5	28		
Weighted	1371	108	6	246	40	139	212	45	575		
More Affordable Housing	40.3%	37.5%	-	-	-	40.0%	61.5%	40.0%	53.6%		
More Employment Opportunities	37.5%	12.5%	33.3%	14.3%	12.5%	40.0%	30.8%	20.0%	57.1%		
Greater variety of Housing Choices	27.8%	-	-	-	25.0%	40.0%	23.1%	-	46.4%		
Closer to Family/Friends	25.7%	12.5%	-	14.3%	-	20.0%	38.5%	20.0%	32.1%		
Better Quality of Life	21.5%	12.5%	33.3%	28.6%	12.5%	20.0%	15.4%	-	25.0%		
Don't know/not stated	8.7%	-	-	42.9%	-	10.0%	-	-	-		
Access to health and social care facilities/ services	7.2%	-	-	-	-	-	7.7%	-	14.3%		
Move nearer to place of employment	6.3%	25.0%	-	-	-	10.0%	7.7%	20.0%	3.6%		
Other	5.7%	-	-	14.3%	62.5%	-	-	40.0%	-		
Schooling	2.7%	-	33.3%	14.3%	-	-	-	-	-		

Q49 Please could you provide reasons as to why you would like or need to move there? (Reasons provided by RSL tenants)											
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn		
Unweighted	43	9	1	4	5	10	8	5	1		
Weighted	542	112	2	98	42	112	121	32	24		
Better Quality of Life	30.0%	33.3%	-	-	20.0%	10.0%	87.5%	-	-		
Other	24.5%	11.1%	100.0%	25.0%	60.0%	20.0%	12.5%	100.0%	-		
Closer to Family/Friends	21.8%	44.4%	-	50.0%	20.0%	10.0%	-	-	-		
Greater variety of Housing Choices	17.5%	-	-	-	-	50.0%	12.5%	-	100.0%		
More Employment Opportunities	15.5%	11.1%	-	-	-	50.0%	12.5%	-	-		
More Affordable Housing	15.0%	11.1%	-	-	-	40.0%	-	-	100.0%		
Don't know/not stated	6.4%	11.1%	-	-	-	20.0%	-	-	-		
Schooling	4.5%	-	-	25.0%	-	-	-	-	-		
Access to health and social care facilities/ services	2.8%	-	-	-	-	-	12.5%	-	-		
Move nearer to place of employment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-		

Q50 What type of pro	operty would ye	ou like o	r need to	o move to	?				
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	330	21	17	27	44	52	55	28	86
Weighted	4723	270	57	675	229	635	834	180	1844
Detached House	35.6%	38.1%	26.7%	15.3%	33.1%	48.2%	41.9%	50.4%	34.8%
Detached Bungalow	34.0%	4.6%	6.6%	6.1%	14.0%	46.5%	46.9%	13.1%	43.7%
Semi-detached House	31.8%	33.5%	-	29.0%	6.2%	46.6%	38.3%	19.1%	30.0%
Semi-detached Bungalow	27.1%	4.6%	-	-	6.2%	40.8%	39.7%	9.6%	35.1%
Don't know	19.0%	19.2%	56.5%	33.0%	30.6%	9.4%	23.8%	14.6%	12.9%
Purpose-built Flat	18.7%	14.2%	-	5.2%	2.2%	20.5%	13.1%	6.4%	30.1%
End terrace House	16.8%	13.9%	-	-	6.2%	33.3%	33.0%	13.1%	12.6%
Conversion Flat	15.2%	9.2%	-	-	3.6%	19.9%	16.8%	3.5%	22.2%
Four in a block Flat	14.8%	9.6%	-	-	3.6%	28.0%	24.1%	6.4%	15.0%
Mid-terrace House	14.2%	13.9%	-	5.2%	6.2%	27.8%	25.3%	16.6%	9.0%
Tower block/Slab Flat	6.4%	5.0%	-	-	-	8.8%	5.7%	6.7%	9.4%
Conversion Maisonette	5.3%	-	-	-	2.2%	12.3%	9.8%	-	4.6%
Supported/ Specialist/ Sheltered accommodation	4.5%	-	6.6%	6.1%	10.5%	4.1%	7.6%	6.7%	2.3%
Purpose-built Maisonette	4.2%	-	-	-	2.2%	12.3%	3.9%	-	4.6%
Other	2.2%	-	3.5%	-	18.1%	1.8%	-	15.2%	1.1%
Chalet	0.8%	-	-	-	2.2%	-	3.9%	-	-
Mobile home/caravan	0.7%	-	-	-	-	-	3.9%	-	-

Q51 Approxima	tely how much	would y	vou be pr	epared to	spend on rent or n	nortgage	per wee	k/month?	
	All respondent s	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyr e	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	330	21	17	27	44	52	55	28	86
Weighted	4723	270	57	675	229	635	834	180	1844
Under £100	0.3%	-	6.6%	-	-	1.9%	-	-	-
£100-£199	0.8%	-	-	-	-	2.2%	2.0%	3.5%	-
£200-£299	4.7%	4.6%	6.6%	-	-	11.2%	14.6%	8.2%	-
£300-£399	17.0%	13.8%	-	5.2%	7.9%	13.6%	27.4%	5.0%	20.9%
£400-£499	13.0%	28.5%	-	5.2%	13.6%	7.5%	8.9%	15.2%	17.5%
£500-£599	9.8%	14.6%	-	5.2%	6.3%	18.2%	7.3%	6.7%	10.1%
£600-£699	2.6%	5.0%	-	-	-	11.6%	1.8%	-	1.1%
£700-£799	2.2%	-	-	-	-	3.8%	2.0%	-	3.6%
£800-£899	0.5%	-	-	-	-	3.8%	-	-	-
£1,000 or more	0.4%	-	-	-	-	1.9%	-	5.0%	-
Don't know/ not stated/ Refused	48.6%	33.4%	86.7%	84.4%	72.1%	24.4%	36.1%	56.3%	46.8%

Q54 What action hav	re you taken to	find a n	ew proper	ty?					
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	109	9	5	7	13	20	9	12	34
Weighted	1580	115	15	177	73	249	139	79	732
Looking at Buying on Housing Market	51.4%	34.2%	74.1%	74.6%	26.0%	69.0%	34.1%	28.9%	50.4%
Looking to Rent Privately	19.0%	21.6%	13.0%	-	17.9%	-	10.6%	7.2%	32.6%
HA/RSL Waiting List Application	18.0%	44.2%	-	13.7%	11.4%	21.3%	55.3%	11.4%	8.4%
Other	7.8%	-	-	11.7%	24.4%	9.6%	-	22.5%	5.8%
Looking for land to self-build	3.3%	-	13.0%	-	20.3%	-	-	18.6%	2.8%
HA Transfer List Application	0.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.4%	-

Q57 If your housing c meet your needs?														
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn					
Unweighted	2312	327	175	338	319	292	298	277	286					
Weighted	29754	4082	628	7427	1701	3461	4444	1657	6353					
Owner occupier on the open market	52.7%	59.5%	42.5%	47.7%	33.1%	46.8%	56.0%	36.5%	65.3%					
Rent from a Housing Association	21.6%	22.3%	6.1%	16.4%	22.0%	28.3%	26.3%	14.5%	23.6%					
Don't know/ not stated	20.9%	12.5%	31.0%	29.9%	26.9%	21.7%	17.9%	26.0%	13.4%					
Rent from Other council	5.1%	3.7%	4.9%	6.6%	12.8%	1.4%	4.5%	10.5%	3.0%					
Private landlord	3.6%	7.1%	1.5%	3.3%	2.5%	3.5%	3.3%	3.8%	2.6%					
Other	2.7%	0.3%	13.1%	1.4%	12.2%	2.7%	0.3%	14.6%	0.7%					
Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	2.0%	2.7%	1.2%	0.6%	0.3%	-	4.4%	1.2%	3.5%					
Self-Build	2.0%	1.2%	6.1%	3.0%	6.1%	0.3%	1.0%	6.9%	-					
Mid-Market Rent	1.5%	-	0.3%	0.3%	1.1%	-	3.2%	2.7%	3.4%					
Move in with family/friends	1.4%	2.7%	1.9%	0.8%	3.9%	1.1%	1.4%	4.6%	-					
Low cost home ownership e.g. LIFT, rent to buy	1.3%	1.0%	0.6%	-	0.3%	1.4%	1.7%	2.1%	3.1%					
Shared Equity	0.1%	-	-	-	0.3%	-	-	1.4%	-					

Q57 If your housing circumstances were to change in the future, which of the following options would you consider to meet your needs? (Owner-occupiers)

meet you needs: (C	All	Bute	Coll &	Cowal	Islay, Jura and	Kintyre	Mid	Mull &	Lorn
	respondents	007	Tiree	045	Colonsay		Argyll	lona	005
Unweighted	1740	227	154	265	243	193	220	233	205
Weighted	21401	2811	586	5486	1151	2313	3240	1334	4479
Rent from a Housing Association	4.4%	4.8%	3.9%	2.3%	7.4%	2.6%	8.2%	6.4%	3.4%
Rent from Other council	1.7%	1.3%	3.9%	1.9%	5.3%	0.5%	1.8%	4.7%	-
Mid-Market Rent	0.2%	-	-	-	0.4%	-	0.5%	-	0.5%
Private landlord	0.5%	0.9%	1.3%	0.8%	2.5%	-	-	0.9%	-
Low cost home ownership e.g. LIFT, rent to buy	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	-	-	1.6%	1.8%	1.3%	-
Owner occupier on the open market	69.6%	79.7%	44.8%	61.1%	46.1%	68.9%	75.9%	44.2%	86.3%
Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	2.2%	4.0%	1.3%	0.8%	0.4%	-	4.5%	0.9%	3.4%
Move in with family/friends	1.4%	2.2%	1.3%	1.1%	3.7%	1.0%	1.4%	4.7%	-
Self-Build	2.3%	1.3%	6.5%	3.0%	7.4%	0.5%	1.4%	8.6%	-
Shared Equity	0.0%	-	-	-	0.4%	-	-	0.4%	-
Other	2.9%	0.4%	13.0%	1.9%	11.1%	2.6%	0.5%	14.6%	0.5%
Don't know/ not stated	21.8%	13.7%	31.2%	32.8%	29.2%	25.9%	17.3%	27.0%	9.8%

Q57 If your housing circumstances were to change in the future, which of the following options would you consider to meet your needs? (PRS tenants)

meet your needs: (i	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn
Unweighted	141	24	10	15	25	13	21	17	16
Weighted	2002	324	20	527	126	181	342	153	329
Rent from a Housing Association	40.5%	12.5%	30.0%	26.7%	44.0%	61.5%	52.4%	47.1%	62.5%
Rent from Other council	21.2%	-	20.0%	13.3%	32.0%	7.7%	28.6%	35.3%	43.8%
Mid-Market Rent	13.0%	-	10.0%	-	4.0%	-	19.0%	29.4%	43.8%
Private landlord	40.8%	62.5%	10.0%	33.3%	4.0%	61.5%	38.1%	29.4%	43.8%
Low cost home ownership e.g. LIFT, rent to buy	8.7%	8.3%	_	-	4.0%	-	_	11.8%	37.5%
Owner occupier on the open market	21.0%	45.8%	-	13.3%	12.0%	7.7%	-	5.9%	50.0%
Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	1.3%	-		-		-	4.8%	5.9%	-
Move in with family/friends	2.5%	-	20.0%	-	12.0%	7.7%	4.8%	-	_
Self-Build	2.9%	4.2%	-	6.7%	8.0%	-	-	-	-
Shared Equity	0.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.8%	-
Other	0.8%	-	10.0%	-	4.0%	-	-	5.9%	-
Don't know/not stated	23.5%	12.5%	40.0%	20.0%	24.0%	15.4%	38.1%	29.4%	25.0%

Q57 If your housing circumstances were to change in the future, which of the following options would you consider to meet your needs? (RSL tenants)

meet your needs? (RSL tendnts)												
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	Islay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn			
Unweighted	431	76	11	58	51	86	57	27	65			
Weighted	6351	947	21	1414	424	967	862	170	1545			
Rent from a Housing Association	73.8%	77.6%	45.5%	67.2%	54.9%	83.7%	84.2%	48.1%	73.8%			
Rent from Other council	11.4%	11.8%	18.2%	22.4%	27.5%	2.3%	5.3%	33.3%	3.1%			
Mid Market Rent	2.2%	-	_	1.7%	2.0%	-	7.0%	-	3.1%			
Private landlord	2.4%	6.6%	-	1.7%	2.0%	1.2%	1.8%	3.7%	1.5%			
Low cost home ownership e.g. LIFT, rent to buy	1.5%	-	-	-	-	1.2%	1.8%	-	4.6%			
Owner occupier on the open market	5.5%	3.9%	18.2%	8.6%	3.9%	1.2%	3.5%	3.7%	7.7%			
Owner occupier under the Right to Buy scheme	1.6%	-	-	-	-	-	3.5%	-	4.6%			
Move in with family/friends	1.1%	5.3%	-	-	2.0%	-	-	7.4%	-			
Self Build	0.5%	-	-	1.7%	2.0%	-	-	-	-			
Shared Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Other	2.7%	-	18.2%	-	17.6%	3.5%	-	22.2%	1.5%			
Don't know/not stated	17.1%	9.2%	18.2%	22.4%	21.6%	12.8%	12.3%	14.8%	21.5%			

Q65 Have you taken ad	tion to access	any of t	he adapt	ations ye	u currently need?		Q65 Have you taken action to access any of the adaptations you currently need?												
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn										
Unweighted	44	5	4	7	12	3	2	9	2										
Weighted	455	63	13	156	64	34	30	53	41										
No, I require information and advice	19.9%	78.7%	14.9%	-	42.6%	-	-	21.7%	-										
Yes, I have contacted Social Services	16.9%	-	-	28.9%	7.4%		-	12.0%	50.0%										
Yes, I plan to install them myself	14.4%	-	-	26.5%	14.8%		49.3%	-	-										
Yes, I have contacted an Occupational Therapist	11.7%	-	-	-	20.4%	100.0%	-	10.9%	-										
Yes, I have contacted my GP	11.2%	-	28.4%	13.3%	-		50.7%	21.7%	-										
Yes, I have contacted local Housing office	10.7%	-	-	31.3%	-	-	-	-	-										
Other	9.9%	/ <u>-</u> '	56.7%	-	7.4%	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	22.8%	50.0%										
No, it is not possible to adapt the type of property I live in	5.3%	21.3%	-	-	7.4%	-	-	10.9%	-										

Q68b Could you e	Q68b Could you estimate the income of any/ all other adults aged 16+ in your household												
	All respondents	Bute	Coll & Tiree	Cowal	lslay, Jura and Colonsay	Kintyre	Mid Argyll	Mull & Iona	Lorn				
Unweighted	829	78	44	99	86	134	119	79	190				
Weighted	11871	972	160	2242	447	1586	1777	485	4201				
Under £4,500	0.5%	-	-	-	-	0.8%	0.8%	2.4%	0.6%				
£4,500-£6,499	1.5%	3.9%	2.4%	-	-	1.5%	-	-	2.7%				
£6,500-£7,499	0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	0.8%	-	-				
£7,500-£9,499	0.8%	-	-	-	-	4.0%	0.8%	-	0.5%				
£9,500-£11,499	0.8%	2.6%	-	-	1.9%	0.8%	1.7%	-	0.5%				
£11,500-£13,499	1.2%	2.5%	-	-	-	1.6%	1.7%	-	1.6%				
£13,500-£15,499	0.3%	-	-	-	-	0.7%	-	1.2%	0.5%				
£15,500-£17,499	1.2%	-	-	-	-	0.8%	3.3%	-	1.6%				
£17,500-£24,999	1.0%	-	-	-	1.1%	-	1.7%	-	2.0%				
£25,000-£29,999	0.8%	-	-	-	1.1%	-	0.9%	1.3%	1.5%				
£30,000-£39,999	0.0%	-	1.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-				
£40,000-£49,999	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.2%	-				
£50,000-£74,999	0.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5%				
£75,000-£99,999	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
£100,000 or over	0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	0.8%	-	-				
Don't know/not stated/ Refused	85.3%	83.3%	94.0%	90.4%	91.8%	85.3%	80.0%	68.1%	86.3%				
Nothing	6.0%	7.7%	2.4%	9.6%	4.2%	4.5%	7.5%	25.9%	1.7%				

Appendix 3:

Technical Report Sheet



TECHNICAL REPORT SHEET – QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH

Project nameArgyll and Bute HNDA Household Survey 2019The purpose of this project was to identify housing need and	
The purpose of this project was to identify housing need and	
Objectives of the research and Bute. These sub-areas include Bute, Coll & Tiree, Cowal, Jura and Colonsay, Kintyre, Mid Argyll, Mull & Iona and Lorn. and Bute Council commissioned Research Resource to carry this survey to provide sufficient disaggregated data to inform robust and credible Housing Need and Development Assess for the authority.	rgyll Islay, Argyll y out n a
Target group Argyll and Bute residents	
Target sample sizeThe aim was to achieve data accurate to +/-5% within hous market sub areas.	ing
Achieved sample size A total of 2,651 interviews were achieved with residents.	
Date of fieldworkInterviewing took place between the 2nd of July and the 12th September 2019.	
A total of 2,651 interviews were carried out with a sample of and Bute residents. Argyll and Bute has a population of 34,4 households, excluding second and holiday homes. Helensbu and Lomond was excluded from this survey as a separate su was carried out in this area in 2018. The sample size for Lom however remained the same. A sample of 2,651 households therefore provides data accurate to +/-2% (based upon a 9 confidence level at the 50% estimate. This confidence level of +/-5% was achieved within each Arg Bute sub-area with the exception of Coll & Tiree where a confidence level of +/-6% was achieved. As Coll & Tiree has small population, a high proportion of interviews were requir achieve a data accuracy level of +/-5% which unfortunately not possible. However, this study provides robust data at the Argyll and Bute level to +/-2%.	77 Jurgh Jirvey 5% gyll and a very red to y was overall
Data collection method Interviews were undertaken with the respondent on either a face basis or by telephone. All responses were recorded on paper questionnaire and the data entered into a survey and package by a team of data processors.	a
Response rate and definition and method of how calculatedThe survey was designed to achieve data accurate to+/- 5% each housing market area.	6 within
Any incentives? No	

Interview validation methods	10% of each interviewers work was back checked to ensure that interviews have been completed accurately and in line with ISO 20252 standards.
Showcards or any other materials used?	Showcards used as per instructions on questionnaire
Weighting procedures	Our analysis of the respondent profile compared to the profile of population illustrated that private rented households and social rented households were slightly under weighted in relation to the population and that owner-occupiers were slightly over represented. It was therefore agreed to weight on the basis of tenure to address this imbalance, at the same time as grossing up the responses to represent the full population of Argyll and Bute. While 2,651 interviews were carried out, tenure data was not available for 9 of these households meaning they could not be included in the weighted analysis. Throughout this report the total unweighted base for all households is therefore 2,642.
Estimating and imputation procedures	Not applicable
Reliability of findings	Data accurate overall to +/-1.83% for Argyll and Bute residents.