

## Supporting Communities Fund SCORING MATRIX

SCORING MATRIX SUMMARY				
Section	Max. Score	Weighted score for section	Overall Weighting	Final score
Community Impact	10	#VALUE!	50%	#VALUE!
Sustainability	10	#VALUE!	30%	#VALUE!
Financial	10	#VALUE!	20%	#VALUE!
			Total	#VALUE!

(out of 10)

COMMUNITY IMPACT								
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q1	Fairer communities - tackling poverty by sharing opportunities	Weak	0	10		General benefit with no aims to improve circumstances for people on low incomes or tackle poverty	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Project provides short term solutions to poverty / benefits people on low income in the short term		
		Strong	10			Project has clear aims to tackle poverty and / or creates opportunities for long term change for people on low incomes		
Q2	Resilient communities - capacity building / rebuilding and repairing from a pandemic	Weak	0	10		No pandemic recovery activity / project does not support community resilience (geographical or community of interest)	9%	#VALUE!
Average		5	Some recovery activity delivered / attempt to build resilience within the community (geographical or community of interest)					
Strong		10	Project supports recovery activity / builds community resilience (geographical or community of interest)					
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome

Q3	Greener, cleaner communities - environmental action	Weak	0	10		No environmental targets / benefits evidenced	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Does not specifically contribute to climate change but some environmental benefits evidenced		
		Strong	10			Has clear climate change targets / improves built or natural environment / has positive biodiversity impacts		
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q4	Creative communities - creativity for health and wellbeing	Weak	0	10		No creative activity or aims	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Clear creative aims but does not specifically target health and wellbeing		
		Strong	10			Clear aims to improve health and wellbeing with creative activities e.g. diversionary activities		
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q5	Education and training	Weak	0	10		No clear learning targets	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Project has broad aims but may provide the opportunity for skills development for participants		
		Strong	10			Project aims to increase skills and knowledge / qualifications of participants		
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q6	Health and wellbeing	Weak	0	10		No clear health and wellbeing targets	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			General statement provided without targets or means to assess impact		
		Strong	10			The organisation can evidence clear physical or mental health and wellbeing targets and how these will be measured		

Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q7	Addressing social inclusion - targeted groups: people with disabilities, black and minority ethnic groups, young people, people on low incomes, elderly people, LGBTQ+	Weak	0	10		General community benefit	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			No specific group supporting people with protected characteristics named, but clear benefits for one or more of these groups		
		Strong	10			One or more groups of people with protected characteristics targeted		
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q8	Community capacity building	Weak	0	10		Activities may result in individuals or organisations gaining more skills or confidence to make a difference in their community, but this is not an aim of the project or measured	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Skills and / or structures of the organisation are developed to enable it to play a stronger role within its community		
		Strong	10			The project supports community engagement to identify needs and priorities e.g. development of a Community-led Action Plan		
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Activity brings people together for personal benefit		

Q9	Community impact	Average	5	10	0	Activity brings people together or creates short-term (< ~1 year approx.) improvement or development of their local community (geographical or community of interest)	9%	0.00
		Strong	10			Activity brings people together for activity that creates or sustains long term improvements to their local community (geographical or community of interest)		
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Awarded	Weighting	Outcome
Q10	Alleviation of rural isolation	Weak	0	10		Community is not disadvantaged by its rural location for accessing services	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Project increases access for rural communities or small towns to services that are available in urban areas		
		Strong	10			Project increases access for island and remote rural communities to services that are available in small towns or urban areas		
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q11	Partnership working	Weak	0	10		Applicant evidences no partnership working or support from other organisations	9%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Applicant has consulted or can show support for the project from other relevant organisations		
		Strong	10			The project involves partners or is delivered in partnership with other organisations. Duplication of service delivery or potential displacement of other organisations has been considered.		

Total Scores							100%	#VALUE!
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	------	---------

<b>SUSTAINABILITY</b>								
-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q1	How will the project continue in the future, beyond the initial funding?	Weak	0	10		The organisation has not identified potential funding sources or strategies for continuation of the project	25%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			The organisation is aware of potential continuation options (funders etc) but has no specific plans in place		
		Strong	10			Standalone project / activity or if the project is expected to continue, clear plans for how the project will be funded are in place		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q2	Has the project provided evidence of need?	Weak	0	10		No evidence provided	25%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			General statement provided with limited evidence e.g. anecdotal, or not locally relevant data		
		Strong	10			Strong evidence of need for project provided, either qualitative (community or participative research) or quantitative (data from consultations, statistics, research reports)		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
Q3	What are the barriers and challenges to the project?	Weak	0	10		Little or no identification of risks, barriers and challenges to project delivery	25%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Some risks to the project, people or organisation have been identified but risk management is missing or basic		
		Strong	10			Relevant risks to the project, people or organisation have been identified and good risk management demonstrated		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome

Q4	How will the project be monitored and evaluated?	Weak	0	10		Applicant has no evaluation plan for the project/the plan lacks clear measures	25%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Some targets and success measures are identified but largely quantitative		
		Strong	10			Evaluation plan involves / gathers feedback from service users and demonstrates how this will inform change and shape future activities		

Total Scores							100%	#VALUE!
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	------	---------

**FINANCE**

Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
-----	--	-------	-------	-----------	---------	---------	-----------	---------

Q1	Increasing opportunities for new applications and projects: first time application / or project has not received funding in the last 2 years	Weak	0	10		Repeat application for a project which has received funding from Argyll and Bute Council in the last 2 years	25%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			The organisation has not received funding for this project from Argyll and Bute Council previously although has received a Supporting Communities Fund award for other activities within the last 2 years		
		Strong	10			First time applicant or not received funding from Argyll and Bute Council in the last 2 years		

		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
--	--	-------	-------	-----------	---------	---------	-----------	---------

Q2	Match funding, viability of project being delivered within the funding period	Weak	0	10		Project requires additional funding which is not yet applied for / no clear fundraising plans	25%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			Project requires additional funding which has been applied for / or clear fundraising plan in place		
		Strong	10			Secured or not required i.e. application is for full funding		

		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
--	--	-------	-------	-----------	---------	---------	-----------	---------

Q3	Reserves	Weak	0	10		The organisation has significant unrestricted reserves which could be used to fund the activity	25%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			The organisation has some unrestricted reserves which are ring fenced for other purposes		
		Strong	10			The organisation has no unrestricted reserves which could support the activity or a robust reserves policy in place to support service delivery		
		<b>Level</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Max Score</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Weighting</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
Q4	Additionality	Weak	0	10		Project activity is a core function	25%	#VALUE!
		Average	5			The project is in addition to organisation's core activities and has been previously delivered		
		Strong	10			The project is new and in addition to organisation's core activities / the organisation has been constituted within the last 2 years.		
Total Scores							100%	#VALUE!